

2018 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Bradycardia and Cardiac Conduction Delay Data Supplement

Table of Contents

Abbreviations:	4
Data Supplement 1. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of 12-Lead Electrocardiography in Bradycardia or Conduction Disturbance (Section 4.2.1)	6
Data Supplement 2. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Exercise Stress Testing in Bradycardia and Conduction Disturbances (Section 4.2.2)	9
Data Supplement 3. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Ambulatory Electrocardiography in Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4)	14
Data Supplement 4. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Ambulatory Electrocardiography in Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Section 4.2.4).....	47
Data Supplement 5. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Nocturnal / Sleeping Bradyarrhythmias and Sleep Apnea (Section-4.2.7)	49
Data Supplement 6. RCTs of Implantable Loop Recorder in Patients With Documented or Suspected Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Section 4.3.1)	65
Data Supplement 7. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Electrophysiology Testing in Patients With Documented or Suspected Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Section 4.3.2)	67
Data Supplement 8. RCTs Comparing Atropine to Placebo for Bradycardia (Section 5.3.2.1)	67
Data Supplement 9. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Atropine in SND and Hemodynamically Significant Bradycardia (Section 5.3.2.1)	69
Data Supplement 10. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Isoproterenol Effect in Electrophysiology Laboratory (Section 5.3.2.1)	72
Data Supplement 11. RCTs of Dopamine in Bradycardia (Section 5.3.2.1)	73
Data Supplement 12. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Calcium, Glucagon and High Dose Insulin Therapy to treat Beta-Blocker and Calcium Channel Blocker Toxicity (CCB) (Section 5.3.2.2)	73
Data Supplement 13. RCTs Comparing Anti-Digoxin Fab to placebo (Section 5.3.2.3).....	76
Data Supplement 14. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Digoxin Fab Antibody Fragments (Section 5.3.2.3) ..	77
Data Supplement 15. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Dialysis for Digoxin Toxicity (Section 5.3.2.3 – Patton)	79
Data Supplement 16. RCTs Comparing Methylxanthines in Bradycardic Arrest (Section 5.3.2.4)	79

Data Supplement 17. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Methylxanthines for acute therapy of bradycardia due to spinal cord injury or post-heart transplant (Section 5.3.2.4).....	80
Data Supplement 18. Trials of Temporary Transesophageal or Transvenous Pacing (Section 5.3.3).....	81
Data Supplement 19. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Temporary Transvenous Pacing (TTVP) (Section 5.3.3).....	82
Data Supplement 20. RCTs of Transcutaneous Pacing (Section 5.3.3)	87
Data Supplement 21. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Transcutaneous Pacing (Section 5.3.3).....	87
Data Supplement 22. RCTs of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.4.1)	89
Data Supplement 23. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.4.1)	90
Data Supplement 24. RCTs of Clinical Presentation of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.3)	92
Data Supplement 25. RCTs of Permanent Pacing for Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.4.4)	94
Data Supplement 26. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Reversible Causes of AV block (Section 6.3.1)	101
Data Supplement 27. RCTs Comparing Medical treatment for AV block (Section 6.3.2)	104
Data Supplement 28. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Medical Treatment for AV block (Section 6.3.2)	104
Data Supplement 29. RCTs Comparing Temporary Pacing (Section 6.3.3)	107
Data Supplement 30. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Temporary Pacing (Section 6.3.3).....	109
Data Supplement 31. RCTs of clinical presentation of bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.3)	116
Data Supplement 32. Nonrandomized data of Clinical Presentation of Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.3)	117
Data Supplement 33. RCTs of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4.1).....	135
Data Supplement 34. Nonrandomized data of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4)	135
Data Supplement 35. RCTs of meds/reversible/transient causes of bradycardia due to AVB (Section 6.4.2)	146
Data Supplement 36. Nonrandomized data of Medications/Reversible/Transient Causes of Bradycardia due to AVB (Section 6.4.2)	146
Data Supplement 37. RCT data of additional testing for Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4.3).....	150
Data Supplement 38. Nonrandomized data of additional testing for Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4.3).....	151
Data Supplement 39. RCTs for Permanent Pacing for AV block (Section 6.4.4)	154
Data Supplement 40. Nonrandomized data for Permanent Pacing for AV block (Section 6.4.4).....	158
Data Supplement 41. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Conduction Disorders (Section 7)	162
Data Supplement 42. Randomized Data for Predicting Perioperative Bradycardia (Section 8.1.1)	167
Data Supplement 43. RCTs of Conduction Disorders (Section 7).....	167
Data Supplement 44. Nonrandomized Data for predicting perioperative bradycardia (Section 8.1.1)	167
Data Supplement 45. Nonrandomized Data for predicting complete heart block with pulmonary artery catheter insertion (Section 8.1.1)....	175
Data Supplement 46. Nonrandomized data for Permanent Pacing for TAVI/valve surgery.....	176

The Birmingham Trial	178
Data Supplement 47. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of CABG (Section 8.1.2.1)	194
Data Supplement 48. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Open Surgery for Atrial Fibrillation or Valvular Surgery (Section 8.1.2.2. and 8.1.2.3)	197
Data Supplement 49. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Conduction Abnormalities After TAVR (Section 8.1.2.4)	200
Data Supplement 50. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Pacing after Heart Transplant (Section 8.1.2.5.1) ..	206
Data Supplement 51. Nonrandomized Studies for Alcohol Septal Ablation/Septal Myectomy (Section 8.1.2.5.2)	208
Data Supplement 52. Nonrandomized Studies for ICDs for Alcohol Septal Ablation/Septal Myectomy (Section 8.1.2.5.2)	215
Data Supplement 53. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Adult Congenital Heart Disease (ACHD) (Section 8.2) ..	216
Data Supplement 54. RCTs, Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Bradycardia and Pacemaker Implantation in Patients with an Acute MI (Section 8.3)	221
Data Supplement 55. Nonrandomized Data for Predicting Bradycardia Associated with Seizures (Section 8.4.1)	227
Data Supplement 56. Nonrandomized Data for Device Type (Section 9)	228
References	236

Methodology and Evidence Review

The recommendations listed in this guideline are, whenever possible, evidence based. An extensive evidence review was conducted from January through September 2017, that included literature published through September 2017. Other selected references published through January 2018 were incorporated by the writing committee. Literature included was derived from research involving human subjects, published in English, and indexed in MEDLINE (through PubMed), EMBASE, the Cochrane Library, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and other selected databases relevant to this guideline. Key search words included but were not limited to the following: *adult, adult congenital heart disease, ACS, AF, AL amyloid, AL amyloidosis, alcohol septal ablation, ambulatory electrocardiography, aminophylline, amyloidosis, antiarrhythmic drugs, antibradycardia, aortic dissection, aortic valve, asystole, arrhythmia, atrial fibrillation, atrioventricular block, atropine, AV block, AV block symptoms, beta-adrenergic agonist, beta-blocker, Birmingham trial, biventricular pacemaker, bradyarrest, bradyarrhythmia, bradysystole, bradycardia, bundle branch block, cardiac, cardiac AL amyloid, cardiac arrest, cardiac pacing, cardiac resynchronization therapy, cardiac sarcoidosis, cardiac surgery, cardiology, cardiovascular implantable electronic devices, catecholamines, cilostazol, clinical presentation, clinical trial, complications, conduction, conduction disturbance, congenital AV block, coronary artery bypass, cost, cost-effectiveness, cost-effectiveness analysis, CPAP, deactivation, defibrillator, defibrillator versus pacemaker, device, device implantation, devices, device therapy, digoxin, digoxin antibody, dialysis, dizziness, dopamine, drug therapy, drug induced, dual chamber, dyssynchrony, echocardiogram, electrocardiogram, endocarditis, English, EP study, epidemiology, epinephrine, evaluation studies, event monitor, event recorder, exercise induced, exercise test, exercise treadmill, first degree, first degree AV block, genetic variation, genetics, genotype, glucagon, health status, heart, heart block, heart transplant, hemochromatosis, Holter, Holter monitor, human, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, ICD, ILR, implantable loop recorder, intraoperative bradycardia, isoproterenol, lamin A/C, left bundle branch block, life, LMNA, loop recorder, Lyme carditis, Lyme disease, magnetic resonance imaging, management, medical, medical therapy, medications, mitral valve, mortality, muscular dystrophies, myectomy, myocardial infarction, myocardial perfusion imaging, myocarditis, myotonic dystrophy, natural history, orthotopic heart transplant, OSA, pacemaker, pacemaker syndrome, pacing, pacing induced cardiomyopathy, patients nearing end, pauses, permanent pacemaker, PM, pregnancy, preoperative bradycardia, preoperative risk, procainamide, procedure, prognosis, prophylactic temporary pacing, pulmonary artery catheter, quality of life, radionuclide imaging, RCT, rejection, reversal, reversible causes, review, right bundle branch block, RV pacing, sarcoid, sarcoidosis, seizure, shared decision making, sick sinus syndrome, sinus, sinus arrest, sinus bradycardia, sinus node, sinus node dysfunction, sinus of Valsalva aneurysm, sleep apnea, sleep apnea syndromes, spinal cord dysfunction, spinal cord injury, steroid, sudden cardiac death, syncope, symptomatic, TAVR, temporary, temporary pacemaker, temporary pacing, theophylline, thyroid disease, tomography-emission-computed-single photon, tomography-X-ray computed, transcatheter aortic valve replacement, transcutaneous pacemaker, transesophageal echocardiogram, transient, treatment, vagal, vagally mediated, vagally mediated AV block, ventricular arrhythmia risk, ventricular remodeling*

Abbreviations: 1° indicates primary; 2°, secondary; AAD, antiarrhythmic drug; ABP, atrial-based pacing; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ACLS, advanced cardiac life support; AED, antiepileptic drug; AF, atrial fibrillation; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; AS, aortic stenosis; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiology OR alcohol septal ablation; asx, asymptomatic; ATP, antitachycardia pacing; AV, atrioventricular; AVB, atrioventricular block; AVN, atrioventricular nodal; AVR, aortic valve replacement; BB, beta blocker; BBB, bundle branch block; BiV, biventricular; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; bpm, beats per minute; C, comparator; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCB, calcium channel blocker; ccTGA, congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries; CEA, carotid endarterectomy; CHB, complete heart block; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CI, confidence interval; CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device; CMP, cardiomyopathy; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; CRT-D, device that provides both cardiac resynchronization therapy and defibrillator capabilities; CRT-P, device that provides cardiac resynchronization therapy only; CSM, carotid sinus massage; CV, cardiovascular; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; Cum%VP, cumulative percentage of ventricular pacing; Cx, circumflex coronary artery; CXR, chest X-ray; DC, dual chamber; DCCV, direct current cardioversion; DM, diabetes mellitus; DOE, dyspnea on exertion; D-TGA, d-transposition of the great arteries; Dx, diagnosis; echo, echocardiogram; ECG, electrocardiogram; ED, emergency department; EEG, electroencephalogram; EF, ejection fraction; EMD,

electromechanical dissociation; EMS, emergency medical service; EP, electrophysiologic; EPS, electrophysiologic study; GDMT, guideline-directed medical therapy; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; HDIT, high-dose insulin therapy; HF, heart failure; HFH, heart failure hospitalization; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HOCM, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy; HR, hazard ratio; HTN, hypertension; HUTT, head-up tilt test; Hx, history; I, intervention; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; ICM, ischemic cardiomyopathy; ILR, implantable loop recorder; IV, intraventricular OR intravenous; LA, left atrial; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LAH, left anterior hemiblock; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LHC, left heart catheterization; LMNA, Lamin A/C; LR, lower rate; LV, left ventricular OR left ventricle; LVED, left ventricular end-diastolic; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVES, left ventricular end-systolic; LVFS, left ventricular fractional shortening; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; MDT, Medtronic; MI, myocardial infarction; MLHFQ, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; MPHR, maximum predicted heart rate; ms, millisecond; MVP, mitral valve prolapse; N/A, not applicable; nCPAP, nasal continuous positive airway pressure; nLBBB, new left bundle branch block; NICM, nonischemic cardiomyopathy; NR, not relevant; NS, not significant; NSVT, nonsustained ventricular tachycardia; NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association; OR, odds ratio; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; PA, pulmonary artery; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PerAF, persistent atrial fibrillation; PFO, patent foramen ovale; PM, pacemaker; postop, postoperative; PPI, permanent pacemaker implantation; PPM, permanent pacemaker; ppm, paced beats per minute; preop, preoperative; PSG, polysomnography; pt, patient; pVO₂, peak oxygen consumption; PVC, premature ventricular contraction; QOL, quality of life; QRSd, QRS duration; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RCA, right coronary artery; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; RR, relative risk; RV, right ventricle; SA, sino-atrial; SAS, sleep apnea syndrome; SACT, sino-atrial conduction time; SAVR, surgical aortic valve replacement; SB, sinus bradycardia; SCD, sudden cardiac death; SD, standard deviation; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SND, sinus node dysfunction; SNRT, sinus node recovery time; SR, sinus rhythm; SSS, sick sinus syndrome; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; sx, symptom; TAP, transesophageal atrial pacing; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; TCP, transcutaneous pacing OR transcutaneous pacemaker; TE, thromboembolism; TIA, transient ischemic attack; TPM, temporary pacemaker; TPPM, temporary permanent pacemaker; TTT, tilt table testing; TTVP, temporary transvenous pacing; TVP, transvenous pacemaker; tx, treatment; UNOS, United Network for Organ Sharing; V, volts; VA, ventricular arrhythmia OR ventriculoatrial; VF, ventricular fibrillation; Vp, ventricular pacing; VT, ventricular tachycardia; WHO, World Health Organization.

Data Supplement 1. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of 12-Lead Electrocardiography in Bradycardia or Conduction Disturbance (Section 4.2.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published; PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Linzer M, et al. 1997 (1) 9182479	<p>Study type: Literature Review- MEDLINE search and manual review of bibliographies</p> <p>Size: 4 population-based studies evaluating diagnostic yield of ECG in syncope were included, N=902</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: English language publications from 1980–1995 reporting on diagnostic yield of a test (e.g., Hx and physical, ECG, EEG, Holter, external LR, EPS, HUTT, SAE, ETT, carotid U/S, head CT, psych evaluation) evaluated in 10 or more subjects over 18 y with syncope (±presyncope)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Review articles and case reports</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of a test analyzed separately for each test</p> <p>Results: Diagnostic yield of ECG at presentation was 5% (47/902). This compares to 45% (504/1110) for Hx and physical</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Despite low yield, authors recommend ECG at presentation for virtually all pts with syncope due to its lack of risk and relatively low expense. Further they cite the value of ECG findings indicative of structural heart disease or indicative of potentially life-threatening conditions (e.g., NSVT) in this population • This review did not identify any suitable studies evaluating echocardiography in syncope
Thiruganasamb- amdamoorthy V, et al. 2012 (2) 22813399	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center evaluation of pt characteristics, 12-lead ECG and ED ECG monitoring as predictors of adverse outcomes in consecutive adult ED pts with syncope from 8/1/05–1/30/07</p> <p>Size: 505 visits from 490 separate pts [of whom 470 (93%) had at least 1 ECG]</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥16 y with local address and syncope</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Presyncope, LOC >5 min, ongoing altered mental status, or LOC caused by ETOH or illicit drug use, seizure, head injury, or severe trauma requiring admission</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Composite of death, MI, arrhythmias, and “cardiac procedures” over 30 d</p> <p>Results: 49 serious outcomes including 27 cardiac outcomes (including 2 deaths, 18 PPM, 7 SND, 6 3rd degree AVB, 2 profound bradycardia) and 22 serious noncardiac outcomes. Of 19 primary ECG variables, 2 combination ECG variables (e.g., LBBB with 1st degree AVB) and 8 variables based on QRS or QTc duration) 16 variables were significant predictors of adverse cardiac events at 30 d by univariate analysis. Using recursive</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECG findings in pts presenting to the ED with syncope can predict adverse cardiac events in the short term. • Bradycardia or conduction disorders are an important component to the constellation of predictive ECG findings (19/132 - 14%)

			partitioning they developed ECG criteria for risk that included 5 predictors: 2 nd degree Mobitz type 2 or 3 rd degree AVB, bundle branch block +first-degree AV block, right bundle branch with either left anterior or posterior fascicular block, new ischemic changes, non-SR, left axis deviation, or ED cardiac monitor abnormalities. Using these predictors yielded a sensitivity of 96% (95% CI: 80–100), a specificity of 76% (95% CI: 75–76) and an area under the ROC curve of 0.89 (95% CI: 0.82–0.95).	
Del Rosso A, et al. 2008 (3) 18519550	Study type: Prospective cohort of consecutive pts Size: 516 (260 derivation/256 validation)	Inclusion criteria: Unexplained syncope presenting to 1 of 14 Italian EDs Exclusion criteria: <18 y, syncope of known non-cardiac cause (e.g., seizure, TIA or drop attack)	1° endpoint: Dx of cardiac syncope or death Results: A risk score composed of historical features, exam findings suggesting structural heart disease/CHF, or abnormal ECG (including but not exclusively bradycardia and conduction abnormalities) was predictive of cardiac syncope or death at an avg. Follow-up of 614 d in both derivation and validation cohorts. 56/79 (71%) pts with a defined mechanism of syncope had arrhythmic syncope. Of these, 38/56 (68%) were attributed to bradyarrhythmias or conduction disturbances and 24/38 (63%) syncopal episodes attributable to bradycardia or conduction disturbances were diagnosed on 12 lead ECG.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12 lead ECG in the ED can identify syncope attributable to bradycardia and conduction disturbances in a majority of those with bradycardic syncope presenting to the ED. • As part of the EGSYS risk score, ECG abnormalities (both those indicative of bradyarrhythmias and those indicative of other forms of heart disease) can predict cardiac causes of syncope and all-cause mortality more than 1.5 y after initial presentation.
GESINUR Perez-Rondon J, et al. 2014 (4) 24993462	Study type: Multicenter prospective observational Size: 524 (from a total of 1,080 pts and from 14 of	Inclusion criteria: Pts ≥14 y presenting to ED with transient LOC within 24 h for whom initial questionnaire	1° endpoint: Power of specific ECG criteria to predict 12 mo mortality Results: 65.6% had an abnormal ECG and 6 (6.3%) died at 1 y (only 1 SCD). 22 pts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific ECG abnormalities predict 1 y mortality in adolescents and adults presenting with syncope (ventricular pacing, LVH, AF, and

	19 centers participating in main trial)	<p>data, presenting ECG and 1-y follow-up was available</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p>(4.2%) manifested AV nodal conduction disturbance (13 first-degree, 2 second-degree and 7 third-degree AVB) which did not predict mortality ($p=0.642$). 108 (20.6%) manifested an intraventricular conduction disturbance [13 LBBB (2.5%), 28 RBBB (5.4%), 18 IRBBB (3.4%), 17 NSIVCD (3.3%), 13 LAFB (2.5%) and 19 assorted others (18%)]. Intraventricular conduction disturbances were 1 of 4 independently predictive indicators of mortality (OR: 3.8; 95% CI: 1.7–8.3; $p=0.001$). Other predictive variables included ventricular pacing, AF and LVH.</p>	<p>intraventricular conduction disturbance)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AV nodal block is uncommon on presenting ECG (4.2%) and is not predictive of mortality at 1 y. • Intraventricular conduction disturbances are more common (20.8%) and do predict 1 y mortality in adolescents and adults with syncope.
--	---	--	--	---

Data Supplement 2. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Exercise Stress Testing in Bradycardia and Conduction Disturbances (Section 4.2.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published; PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Lauer MS, et al. 1999 (5) 10022108	<p>Study type: Prospective cohort study between 9/90–12/93</p> <p>Size: 2953 consecutive pts referred for sx-limited ETT with thallium MPI, of whom 1078 (37%) pre-manifested chronotropic incompetence [316 (11%) by % MPHR and 762 (26%) by low chronotropic index]</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Referred for sx-limited ETT thallium and with failure to achieve $\geq 85\%$ MPHR or failure to achieve $\geq 80\%$ of chronotropic index*</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Prior coronary angiography or PCI, cardiac surgery, CHF, valvular heart disease, pre-excitation syndrome, ACHD, or β-blocker therapy.</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Association of chronotropic incompetence with all-cause mortality at 2 y.</p> <p>Results: 91 deaths (8.4%) - 22 cardiac deaths. Those with chronotropic incompetence were older and sicker with more ASCVD risk factors, lower exercise capacity and more angina and perfusion defects during the test (but not more ischemic ECG changes). Cox proportional hazards analyses incorporating 13 clinical confounders (age, sex perfusion defects, etc. but not EF): chronotropic incompetence independently associated with increased risk of death (adjusted RR:1.85; 95% CI: 1.13–3.00; $p=0.01$) when measured by failure to achieve 85% MPHR and adjusted RR:2.19; 95% CI: 1.43–3.44; $p<0.001$) when measured by low chronotropic index. 612 (21%) manifested perfusion defects [reversible in 311 (11%)]. Perfusion defects predictive of mortality with a similar magnitude as chronotropic incompetence. Mortality risk of chronotropic incompetence and perfusion defects were additive (e.g., adjusted RR for combined low chronotropic index and perfusion defect: 3.31; 95% CI: 1.82–6.02; $p<0.001$)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chronotropic incompetence is independently predictive of mortality in those with known or suspected CAD
Savonen KP, et al. 2008 (6) 18556711	<p>Study type: Prospective cohort study (derived from the Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study – a</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Enrolled in KIHD with clinical CAD and underwent bicycle ergometry</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Cancer, heart rate-lowering Rx</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 1) Association of chronotropic incompetence with mortality over an average follow-up of 11 y (0.8–14.8 y) of chronotropic incompetence calculated during bicycle ergometry with VO_2 testing and defined as change in heart rate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conclusion: Heart rate 40–100 independently predicts long-term all-cause mortality in Finnish men with known or suspected CAD Heart rate 40–100 intended to isolate the effects of exercise-induced increases in sympathetic

	<p>longitudinal Finnish population study- representative sample of middle-aged men from Kuopio and environs recruited 3/1984–12/1989)</p> <p>Size: 294 (3235 eligible/2682 participated in primary study/2240 exercise tests/294 with CAD and no exclusions)</p>		<p>between 40% of maximal workload and peak exercise (heart rate: 40–100). 2) Compared ability of heart rate 40–100 to predict death with that of other indices of chronotropic incompetence</p> <p>Results: 61 (20.7%) deaths. Mean (SD) heart rate 40–100 =45 (15) bpm. Risk of death increased 41% for each 1-SD (15 bpm) decrement in heart rate 40–100. Multivariate analysis identified a heart rate 40–100 value at or below the mean (<46 bpm) as a significant predictor of all-cause mortality relative to those with a heart rate 40–100 above the mean (RR: 2.9; 95% CI: 2.0–5.0). With propensity score added to model, the risk decreased to 2.0; 95% CI: 1.22–3.70. Only 1 of 6 other indices of chronotropic incompetence that were evaluated was predictive of mortality, heart rate reserve RR: 1.30; 95% CI: 1.02–1.69. (Chronotropic index not included).</p>	<p>tone on CV physiology and risk from those of parasympathetic withdrawal which predominates in modulating chronotropic responses from baseline to ~100 bpm.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heart rate 40–100 was predictive of mortality in members of this same population w/o clinical evidence of CAD (separate study, Savonen, et al.) Bicycle exercise has a greater isometric component than treadmill walking and is usually associated with lower maximal workloads and brisker heart rate response, all of which may reduce generalizability of findings to other exercise modalities.
<p>Doi A, et al. 2002 (7) 12368930</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective cohort study</p> <p>Size: 44 pts and 20 normal controls</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Unexplained syncope or presyncope (18 exercise-related, 26 exercise-unrelated)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Structural heart disease, PAF, thyroid disease</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Diagnostic accuracy of HUTT and modified exercise treadmill testing according to relationship of unexplained syncope to exercise. Modified ETT included abrupt termination followed by prolonged standing (positive ETT defined as syncope or presyncope with SBP<80 mm Hg and/or heart rate<40 bpm)</p> <p>Results: HUTT: Sensitivity =84% and 77%; Specificity =84% and 85%; Accuracy= 84% and 80% in exercise-related and exercise-unrelated syncope respectively. None of these differences were statistically significant Modified Exercise Test: Sensitivity =78% and 19% (p<0.05); Specificity =95 and 95% (p=NS); Accuracy =86% and 52% (p<0.05) in exercise-related and exercise-unrelated syncope respectively.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modified exercise testing may be as accurate as HUTT with provisional isoproterenol infusion to elicit syncope/presyncope with associated hemodynamic compromise in pts with suspected exercise-related neurally mediated syncope and presyncope. Modified exercise testing is less sensitive but similarly specific to HUTT with provisional isoproterenol infusion to elicit syncope/presyncope with associated hemodynamic compromise in pts with suspected neurally mediated syncope and presyncope unrelated to exercise.

<p>Woelfel AK, et al. 1983 (8) 6875122</p>	<p>Study type: Case series</p> <p>Size: 3 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with exercise-related palpitations or dizziness, or asx progressive intraventricular conduction disorder with 1:1 AV conduction at rest who demonstrate rate related 2:1 and 3:1 rate-related conduction block on exercise treadmill testing w/o overt ischemia. 2 had baseline intraventricular conduction disturbance</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results: All had evidence of infranodal block on EP study. 2 of 3 underwent rapid atrial pacing with evidence of rate related infranodal block. One underwent coronary angiography revealing a 90% RCA and a 60% LAD stenosis but no ischemia on exercise, stress MUGA, no ischemic ECG changes on exercise ECG and no angina. Exercise-related symptoms were relieved with pacing in all 3.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exercise testing can uncover apparent rate-related infranodal conduction block in carefully selected pts with exercise related symptoms or progressive intraventricular conduction disturbance w/o clinical evidence of exercise induced ischemia. The authors suggest such pts should be considered for PPM but acknowledge the natural Hx is undefined. They draw correlates to the high rate of subsequent symptomatic AVB in those with either spontaneous or rapid atrial pacing-induced infra-nodal advanced AVB in previous reports.
<p>Boran KJ, et al. 1983 (9) 6837453</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective case series</p> <p>Size: 10 of 2200 (0.45%) consecutive, clinically-referred pts who underwent symptom-limited exercise treadmill testing (9/10 Bruce protocol) at a single referral center (includes the 2 pts from Oliveros below)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Symptom-limited ECG showing ischemic ST segment changes and intraventricular conduction disturbance. Subsequent coronary angiography <p>Exclusion criteria: Reproducible rate-related intraventricular conduction disturbances w/o evidence of ischemia or a clinical Dx of CAD (N=4 – 3 LAFB and 1 LBBB)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Exercise-induced conduction abnormalities: LAFB in 4, LPFB in 2, RBBB in 2, RBBB with left axis deviation in 1, and LAFB evolving to LBBB in 1. Demographics: 9/10 were men, age 37–71 y. 1 prior MI. All had angina. Stress Test: All had angina and ischemic ST segment changes on ETT that preceded conduction disturbance. All conduction disturbances resolved in recovery as chest pain and ST segment changes resolved. Coronary angiogram: All had proximal LAD stenosis [9/10 ≥90% (one=60%)]. 7/10 had anterior and/or apical regional wall motion abnormalities. EF mildly to moderately reduced in 3. 2 had LMCA stenosis ≥50%. 3 single vessel disease/3 2 V disease/4 3V disease. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ischemically mediated transient intraventricular conduction disturbance elicited by exercise treadmill testing is rare (<0.5%). When present in pts with significant CAD, exercise-induced conduction abnormalities are typically preceded by angina and ischemic ECG changes The constellation of ischemic signs, symptoms and transient conduction disturbance during exercise stress testing connotes a high probability of advanced CAD, and in particular, high-grade proximal LAD disease Revascularization can alleviate ischemically mediated intraventricular conduction disturbances

			5) Response to therapy: 9/10 repeated ETT after CABG or institution of medical Rx. Exercise duration and peak heart rate increased. None manifested angina, ischemic ST changes or conduction disturbance on the repeat ETT.	
Oliveros RA, et al. 1977 (10) 908218	Study type: Case series Size: 2	N/A	1° endpoint: N/A Results: ETT in both revealed LAFB concomitantly with ST segment depression in the lateral leads (V5 in one and I and aVL in the other). In one, LAFB progressed to LBBB and then reverted back to LAFB in recovery before resolving. In the other LAFB resolved after 2 min of recovery.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exercise-induced ischemia associated with proximal LAD stenosis can be manifest as transient LAFB on treadmill stress test.
Bobba P, et al. 1972 (11) 5081145	Study type: Case series Size: 4	Inclusion criteria: New, transient LPFB during supine bicycle ergometry in middle-aged Italian men referred for chest pain and suspicion of CAD. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: N/A Results: All had significant ECG changes with exercise. 3 had inferior ST elevation prior to LPFB (2 of whom had inferior Q waves on baseline ECG). 2 of those with inferior ST elevation also had significant lateral ST segment depression). A 4 th had significant inferior and lateral ST segment depression w/o inferior ST elevation or baseline Q waves. Exercise-related symptoms were not reported. All 3 who underwent coronary angiography manifested significant proximal-mid RCA stenosis.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exercise-related transient LPFB accompanied by ischemic ECG changes can be associated with significant, symptomatic RCA stenosis.
Bharati S, et al. 1977 (12) 299790	Study type: Case report Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: 33 y woman with hypertensive urgency, LVH and pulmonary edema, and angina accompanied by inferior ST segment elevation and progressive AVB (normal conduction at baseline, to 1 st degree, then 2:1 2 nd degree, and ultimately CHB). Symptoms,	1° endpoint: N/A Results: EPS during cath revealed normal A-H and A-V intervals while asx. During an episode of chest pain with ST elevation, she manifested progressive AVB again, culminating in CHB. At all stages of conduction disturbance, the AV node was implicated with prolonged A-H intervals and normal H-V intervals. The pt died 1 d following emergent single vessel CABG to RCA. Pathology revealed slight fibrosis and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Authors speculate right coronary vasospasm or ischemia due to fixed obstruction led to transient AV nodal block w/o evidence of infra-nodal block Extrapolating from other clinical scenarios, there may be a significant component of neurally—mediated AV node dysfunction at play, as well

		<p>ST changes and conduction disturbance were all transient and resolved together. There was no ECG evidence of associated MI. Cath revealed a 90% proximal stenosis of a dominant RCA and moderate LAD and LCx disease. Normal LV systolic function and LVEDP when asx.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>“distinct arteriosclerosis” of the AV node and HIS bundle along with advanced “fibro-elastosis” of the main left bundle w/o ECG correlate antemortem.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coronary vasospasm can be elicited during exercise testing and such exercise-induced vasospasm may also be manifest as progressive AVB as seen in this case
<p>Coplan NL, et al. 1991 (13) 1959424</p>	<p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 62 y woman underwent treadmill exercise test in evaluation of exertional chest pain.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results: She exercised for only 2.5 min of a Bruce protocol and stopped due to dizziness and non-sustained VT. ECG revealed marked anterior ST elevation. 2:1 second-degree AVB developed in early recovery, evolving to complete AVB. By 8 min of recovery both the ST segment changes and the AVB had resolved. She manifested no evidence of infarction related to these events while evaluated in the hospital. Coronary angiography revealed a 90% proximal RCA stenosis w/o significant obstructive CAD elsewhere. Uncomplicated balloon angioplasty of the RCA lesion was followed 3 wk later with a normal exercise thallium myocardial perfusion study w/o ischemic ST segment changes, scintigraphic evidence of ischemia, or conduction disturbance.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors speculate that exercise-induced ischemia (more than coronary vasospasm or occult intrinsic conduction disease) was responsible for the transient exercise-induced AVB that resolved with revascularization. • Cause of marked anterior ST elevation in the absence of significant LAD disease was unclear. • Based on this and other case reports, exercise-induced AVB when accompanied by signs of ischemia is frequently associated with significant right CAD

* **Chronotropic index** = % heart rate (HR) reserve used / % of metabolic reserve used = $(HR_{\text{stage}} - HR_{\text{rest}}) / (220 - \text{age} - HR_{\text{rest}}) \div (MET_{\text{stage}} - MET_{\text{rest}}) / (MET_{\text{peak}} - MET_{\text{rest}})$

Data Supplement 3. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Ambulatory Electrocardiography in Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published' PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Gibson TC and Heitzman MR 1984 (14) 6702676	Study type: Retrospective observational Size: 1,512 of all ages (66% >60 y) referred for Holter for syncope (7,364 total over 5 y)	Inclusion criteria: 24 h 2- channel Holter monitoring in evaluation of syncope Exclusion criteria: Unable to keep diary or technically inadequate recordings	1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of Holter for syncope and presyncope Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 (0.5%) experienced syncope and 23 (1.5%) experienced presyncope (total=2%) associated with a significant arrhythmia. • 225 (17%) had either syncope or presyncope unrelated to arrhythmia. • 2/7 (29%) of syncopal episodes associated with bradyarrhythmia/ conduction disorder: 1 SB, 1 AVB. • 5/23 (22%) of presyncope associated with bradyarrhythmia/ conduction disorder: 1 SB, 2 “sinoatrial abnormality”, 2 AVB • 0.5% of the 1,521 pts studied had symptoms associated with bradycardia or conduction disorder. • 15 (1%) manifested Mobitz type 2 2nd degree or 3rd degree AVB. 3 (20%) were symptomatic. • Of 1,004 pts >60 y, 32 (3%) had SSS: 13 SB while awake, 2 sinus pause, 2 junctional rhythm, 2 AF, 13 tachy-brady. 2 (6%) were symptomatic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24 h Holter rarely yields evidence of bradycardia or conduction disorder temporally related to syncope or presyncope in pts who have previously experienced syncope. • Findings of advanced AVB were rare in this population (1%) and symptomatic only 20% of the time. • Findings of SSS increase with age but were present in only 3% of those >60 y in this cohort and symptomatic only 6% of the time
Linzer M, et al. 1997 (15) 9214258	Study type: Literature review- MEDLINE search and manual review of bibliographies	Inclusion criteria: English language publications from 1980–1995 reporting on diagnostic yield of a test (e.g., Hx	1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of prolonged ambulatory monitoring in pts with syncope or dizziness Results:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors recommend 24-h Holter monitor (or inpatient telemetry) when symptoms suggest arrhythmic syncope, the ECG is abnormal, structural heart disease is present, or

	<p><u>Size:</u> Identified 8 studies that evaluated pts with syncope or presyncope with at least 12 h of Holter monitoring and reported on symptoms, N=2612</p>	<p>and physical, ECG, EEG, Holter, external LR, EPS, HUTT, SAE, ETT, carotid U/S, head CT, psychiatric evaluation) evaluated in 10 or more subjects over 18 y with syncope (± presyncope)</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Review articles and case reports</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15% symptoms w/o arrhythmia (range: 7–39%) • 14% Arrhythmia with no symptoms (range 10–41%) • 4% symptoms with arrhythmia (range 1–26%) • Note: includes Gibson et al. (14), the largest study included 	<p>the cause of syncope remains unexplained after Hx, physical and 12-lead ECG.</p>
<p>Reiffel JA, et al. 2005 (16) 15842970</p>	<p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1,800 randomly selected studies from a single year derived from ~100,000-pt ambulatory monitoring database of a commercial monitoring company. 600 studies each of 3 different classes of monitoring equipment were reviewed [24 h Holter, 30 d memory loop recording, and 30 d autotriggered loop recording]</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Referred for monitoring for known or suspected dysrhythmias</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Relative diagnostic yield of the different monitoring devices</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groups were identical in age and symptoms that prompted monitoring • Fewer women were referred for Holter • 12% <20 y • Majority (50%) referred for palpitations • 292 (16%) referred for syncope • 80 (4%) referred for dizziness • 6 (0.3%) referred for AVB • 5 (0.3%) referred for dyspnea • 42 (23%) pts manifested bradycardia (heart rate <40 for those >10 y). 7 detected by Holter, 4 by memory loop recording, 31 by autotriggered loop recording • For other detected bradyarrhythmias/conduction disorders the events were too few and the differences too slight to suggest an advantage of 1 device over the others (7 (0.4%) pauses >3 s, 15 (0.8%) 2nd degree AVB, 7 (0.4%) 3rd degree AVB) • Autotriggered loop recording produced a higher yield of diagnostic events 	<p><u>Conclusions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Auto-triggered memory loop recorders detects a greater number of arrhythmias than Holter or pt-triggered memory loop recorder, including a greater number of asx events • It is unclear from this analysis what the clinical impact of this enhanced detection might be in the management of bradycardia and conduction disorders due to the limited scope of this analysis and the infrequency of events <p><u>Limitations:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selection of monitoring device was not randomized, chosen on clinical grounds by the referring practitioner. Selection bias may influence results • Statistical significance of differences in detection rates not reported – data is descriptive only. • No data available regarding associated structural heart disease or medications

			(36%) than Holter (6.2%) or memory loop recording (17%).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing of bradycardia not reported (asleep vs. awake) • Proportion of arrhythmias that were symptomatic is not reported
Sivakumaran S, et al. 2003 (17) 12867227	<p>Study type: Prospective randomized observational</p> <p>Size: 100 pts referred for ambulatory ECG monitoring in evaluation of syncope/presyncope randomized to 48 h 2-channel Holter (N=51) or 30 d external loop recorder (N=49)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with syncope or pre-syncope referred from all sources for Holter monitor or external loop recorder</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Relative diagnostic yield of the 2 monitoring strategies for “clinically important arrhythmias” (sinus pause >3 s, CHB, Mobitz II 2nd degree AVB, AF with slow VR, symptomatic SB <40 bpm, SVT >10 s or symptomatic, and VT).</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 31/49 (63%) pts assigned initially to external loop recorder had arrhythmia diagnosed or excluded as cause of symptoms (30 symptoms w/o arrhythmia, 1 symptomatic 5-s conversion pause in AF) • 12/51 (24%) assigned initially to Holter had arrhythmia excluded through symptoms w/o arrhythmia. No symptomatic or asx arrhythmia was diagnosed by Holter • 29/51 randomized to initial Holter accepted cross-over to external loop recorder, while 4/18 with unrevealing initial external loop recorder accepted cross-over to Holter • 13/29 (45%) of cross-over external loop recorders had symptoms w/o arrhythmia during external loop recorder. None had an arrhythmia • None of the 4 pts who underwent cross-over Holter following external loop recorder manifested an arrhythmia or symptoms w/o arrhythmia. 	<p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambulatory monitoring is more likely to document the absence of arrhythmia during symptoms than symptomatic arrhythmia • In this cohort, arrhythmias, symptomatic or otherwise, were rare (1%) • The diagnostic yield of 30 d external loop recorder is more than twice that of 48 h Holter, almost exclusively through its ability to document symptoms w/o arrhythmia • Despite careful instructions and confirmatory test activations, 13/57 (23%) of pts who had symptoms during external loop recorder monitoring failed to successfully activate their device <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low incidence of arrhythmias in this unselected population with syncope or pre-syncope

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all 55 pts had Holter. None had an arrhythmia identified as the cause of presenting symptoms, 12 (22%) had arrhythmia excluded as a cause. • In all 78 pts underwent external loop recorder monitoring. 1 had an arrhythmia thought to be the cause of the presenting symptoms and 43 had arrhythmia excluded as a cause [Diagnostic yield 44/78 (56%; p<0.0001 vs. Holter)] 	
Brown AP, et al. 1987 (18) 3663425	Study type: Retrospective observational Size: 100 unselected pts experiencing palpitations, dizziness, or syncope (collected from 106 pts who underwent external loop recorder over 3 y). 39% had some form of structural heart disease	Inclusion criteria: Unselected pts who had undergone pt-activated ambulatory electrocardiography for up to 3 wk. 42 had undergone prior 24 h Holter of which 17 (40%) were abnormal Exclusion criteria: Incomplete case notes (N=6)	1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of external loop recorder Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Clinically useful information” was obtained in 68% • Of 56 diagnostic recordings and 13 recordings “of some diagnostic value” there were 6 bradyarrhythmias (4 reflecting sinus node dysfunction and 2 implicating conduction disorder) • 6 of 17 pts with paroxysmal arrhythmias had returned to SR by the time the event button was pressed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early study of pt-activated external loop recorder • Authors noted the advantages of a pt-activated external loop recorder over pt-activated recorders w/o a looping memory available at the time • Study demonstrates the feasibility and utility of pt-activated external loop recorder in a population with a relatively high prevalence of structural heart disease and Holter-documented arrhythmias • Authors suggested that the pt-activated external loop recorder was complimentary to 24 h Holter, not a substitute, in part due to the pt-activated external loop recorder’s inability to capture asx events.
Cumbee SR, et al. 1990 (19) 2300833	Study type: Retrospective observational Size: N=39 Derived from first 48 pts referred for pt-activated external cardiac loop recorder	Inclusion criteria: Unexplained syncope or presyncope, referred for pt-activated external loop recorder Exclusion criteria: No documented Hx of syncope or presyncope	1° endpoint: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostic yield of external loop recorder • Frequency with which external loop recorder provided relevant information missed by preceding Holter and EPS • Extent to which external loop recorder influenced pt management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small early evaluation of pt-activated external loop recorder suggesting diagnostic utility • Diagnostic yield in this highly selected population referred to an academic medical center for syncope and presyncope that remained unexplained after fairly extensive testing was understandably lower

	at an academic medical center (see exclusion criteria)	(1), cause of syncope already established (1), inaccessible medical record or loop recorder ongoing at the time data collection was completed (7)	Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 92% had prior Holter, 46% had prior EPS • 35/39 (90%) wore the monitor (2 pts. declined, 1 stopped due to skin irritation, 1 device malfunctioned) • 32/35 (91%) pts were able to successfully record symptomatic events (others were incapacitated) • Diagnostic in 14/39 (yield=36%; 95% CI, 21%–53%). 11/39 (28%) = syncope w/o arrhythmia and 3/39 (7.6%) symptomatic arrhythmia (asystole, junctional bradycardia, and paroxysmal atrial tachycardia) • External loop recorder led to management changes in all 3 pts with symptomatic arrhythmia including PPM implantation in 2. 	<p>than some other studies of external loop recorder (36%). Most of the diagnostic yield was derived from those with syncope or presyncope w/o associated arrhythmia (11/14, 78.5%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7/39 (17.9%) pts referred for external loop recorder either couldn't/wouldn't tolerate wearing the monitor, had it malfunction, or were too incapacitated to capture symptomatic events
SYNAAR-Flash Locati ET, et al. 2016 (20) 26519025	Study type: Prospective observational multicenter Size: 392 pts; 282 (72%) enrolled for palpitations and 110 (28%) for syncope	Inclusion criteria: Recent (within 1 mo) episode of syncope or sustained palpitations (index event), after being discharged from emergency room or hospitalization w/o a conclusive Dx, and a suspected arrhythmic origin Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Evaluate the role of 4 wk auto-triggered external loop recorder in the clinical evaluation of unexplained syncope or sustained palpitations of suspected arrhythmic origin. Analyzed rhythm at the time of symptoms and asx arrhythmias predefined as significant (sustained SVT or VT, advanced AVB, SB <30 bpm, pauses >6 s) Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 27/110 (25%) of pts. evaluated for syncope had a diagnostic test. Of these 11/110 (10%) experienced a conclusive event regarding the arrhythmic nature of the symptoms and 16/110 (15%) had an asx significant arrhythmia • Of the 11 pts. with a conclusive event, 5 manifested recurrence of symptoms 	Conclusions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors conclude that the 4 wk external ECG monitoring can be considered as first-line tool in the diagnostic work-up of syncope and palpitation. Early recorder use, Hx of supraventricular (tachy and brady) arrhythmia, and frequent previous events increased the likelihood of diagnostic events during the 4 wk external ECG monitoring Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reliance on pt diary in efforts to correlate rhythm and symptom introduces potential error • The precise mechanism of a syncopal event associated with arrhythmias documented on external loop

			<p>w/o significant arrhythmia and 6 had symptomatic arrhythmia (all 6 were either bradycardia or conduction disorder)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 16 asx significant arrhythmias, one third (5/16) were either pauses, advanced AVB, or sinus bradycardia • Predictors of diagnostic events in those evaluated for syncope were early start of recording (≤ 15 d between index event and enrollment vs. >15 d) (OR: 3.2; 95%CI: 1.3–26.6; $p=0.021$) and previous Hx of supraventricular arrhythmias (OR: 3.6; 95% CI: 1.4–9.7; $p=0.018$) • 202/282 (72%) of pts referred for palpitations had a diagnostic test (68% conclusive event and 23% symptoms w/o arrhythmia). Less than 3% of referred pts experienced a conclusive event due to bradycardia or conduction disorder. • Predictors of a diagnostic test in those evaluated for palpitations were Hx of recurrent palpitations ($p<0.001$) and early start of recording ($p=0.001$). 	<p>recorder can be uncertain despite the associated arrhythmia</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The clinical benefit of external loop recorder remains undefined in the absence of data documenting improved outcomes predicated on therapy guided by external loop recorder results
<p>Barrett PM, et al. 2014 (21) 24384108</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational</p> <p>Size: N=146 pts referred for ambulatory ECG monitoring who underwent simultaneous 24 h Holter monitor and a novel, single-lead 14-d</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts ≥ 18 y referred for evaluation of cardiac arrhythmia able to provide consent and comply with continuous ECG monitoring for 14 d</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Skin allergies, conditions, or sensitivities to any of the components of the</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Comparative diagnostic utility of the 2 devices</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adhesive patch monitor detected 96 pre-defined arrhythmic events over total wear time compared to 61 arrhythmia events by Holter ($p<0.001$) • Median wear time for Holter =1.0 d (range 0.9–1.0) and for adhesive patch monitor =11.1 d (range 0.9–14) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Despite slightly lower sensitivity to supraventricular tachyarrhythmias during simultaneous monitoring, 14 d adhesive patch monitor provided greater diastolic yield than 24 h Holter monitoring, primarily through the benefit of prolonged monitoring time • The adhesive patch monitor was considered preferable to wear by the pts in this study with less impact on QOL

	<p>adhesive patch monitor (Zio Patch).</p> <p>238 screened, 88 declined, 150 enrolled, 4 lost to follow-up.</p>	<p>adhesive patch monitor, receiving or anticipated to receive pacing or external DCCV during the monitoring period, or the anticipation of being exposed to high-frequency surgical equipment during the monitoring period</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 24 h period of simultaneous monitoring with both devices, Holter monitor detected more of the pre-specified arrhythmias than the patch monitor. However, in nearly all such pts, the patch monitor subsequently detected the missed arrhythmia through prolonged monitoring. None of the discrepancies related to bradycardia or conduction disorders. • 81% of pts preferred to wear the adhesive patch to the Holter monitor and the participants found the adhesive patch more comfortable with less impact on their activities of daily living. 	
<p>Rosenberg MA, et al. 2013 (22) 23240827</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational</p> <p>Size: N=74 consecutive pts. referred for Holter monitor for the evaluation of PAF who underwent simultaneous 24 h Holter monitor and 14 d single-lead adhesive patch monitor (Zio Patch)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: PAF, referred for Holter monitor as part of clinical management</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: 1 potential participant was excluded because the adhesive patch monitor was inadvertently not activated during placement</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Comparative diagnostic utility of the 2 devices</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean wear time for adhesive patch monitor =10.8±2.8 d (range 4–14) and the mean monitoring time for the Holter was 22.5±1.8 h) • All 25 AF events detected by Holter in the first 24 h were detected by the adhesive patch monitor. Recorded AF burden during simultaneous monitoring was comparable (58.4±42.7% on Holter and 54.7±41.2% on adhesive patch monitor (r=0.96; p<0.0001) • During prolonged monitoring, the adhesive patch monitor identified AF in 18 (24%) additional individuals in whom it was not detected by 24 h Holter and reclassified pts' pattern of AF (i.e., persistent or paroxysmal) in 	<p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14 d adhesive patch monitoring is a useful tool to refine assessment of PAF, due to the benefits of prolonged monitoring • When compared to simultaneous Holter monitoring, the adhesive patch monitor performs in a comparable fashion in the detection of AF and in the quantitation of cumulative AF burden. • The adhesive patch monitor fell off of 16 pts, was removed by 6 others, or had battery malfunction in one. In all, 23/74 (49%) of participants in this trial failed to complete 14 d of monitoring for non-medically directed reasons. Mean wear time for those whose device fell off was 7.9±1.8 d (range 5.8–12.2 d).

			21 (28%). The prolonged adhesive patch monitoring also documented from 1 to 99 pauses of 3.1–9.7 s in 4 pts, as well as Mobitz type 1 second-degree AVB in 1 pt. Of the pauses only 2 were >5 s and only 1 of these was only detected by prolonged adhesive patch monitoring.	
Turakhia MP, et al. 2013 (23) 23672988	<p>Study type: Cross-sectional retrospective observational</p> <p>Size: N=26,751 consecutive pts. who underwent first-time, clinically-indicated prolonged adhesive patch monitoring (Zio Patch) during 2011. Investigators used de-identified data obtained from the manufacturer/servicer (iRhythm Technologies)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts referred for first-time, clinically indicated Zio Patch monitor</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Excluded data from repeated or subsequent studies</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Analyzed compliance, analyzable signal time, interval to arrhythmia detection and diagnostic yield of the Zio patch</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean wear time =7.6±36 d • Median analyzable time =99% of total wear time • Arrhythmia was detected in 60.3% of pts. • 29.9% of all arrhythmias occurred after the first 48 h of monitoring and 51.1% of symptom-triggered arrhythmias occurred after 48 h. • Compared to the first 48 h, the diagnostic yield of the entire monitoring period for any arrhythmia was superior (62.2% vs. 43.9%; p<0.0001) as was the yield for any symptomatic arrhythmia (9.7% vs. 4.4%; p<0.0001) • 3.7% of pts manifested pauses >3 s (42.9 % of which occurred after 48 h) and 1.4% of pts manifested Mobitz II or complete AVB (36.6% of which occurred after 48 h) 	<p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤14 d of Zio Patch monitoring is feasible, with high compliance, a high percentage of analyzable signal time and incremental diagnostic yield beyond 48 h for all arrhythmia types • The incidence of significant bradycardia or conduction disorders in a large unselected population referred for Zio Patch monitoring on clinical grounds is very low (5.1%). Only 4% of those studied were referred for evaluation of bradycardia, pauses, or advanced AVB
Joshi AK, et al. 2005 (24) 15781022	Study type: Retrospective observational	Inclusion criteria: Referred for clinically	<p>1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield</p> <p>Results:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early evaluation of new technology at the time

	<p>Size: First 100 consecutive pts. monitored by 2-channel MCOT for a mean of 9.9 d (range 2–28 d).</p>	<p>indicated MCOT monitoring</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Clinically significant” arrhythmia detected in 51 (51%) pts, 25 (49%) of which were asx. • 3 pts manifested “sinus node disease,” 2 symptomatic sinus bradycardias, 2 2nd degree AVB, 1 CHB, 1 junctional rhythm, and 1 PM malfunction • Monitoring led to a change in management in 34 (34%) pts., including implantation of PPM in 5 and ICD in 2, as well as 1 PPM replacement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCOT detected clinically significant” arrhythmias in approximately half of those referred and led to a change in management in a third • Authors note that 30 of their pts had previously undergone Holter monitoring or event recorder. In 16 of these MCOT detected an arrhythmia not previously detected.
<p>Rothman SA, et al. 2007 (25) 17318994</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective, multicenter observational</p> <p>Size: N=266 randomized to pt-activated external loop recorder (132) or MCOT (134) in evaluation of syncope, presyncope or severe palpitations</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Symptoms of syncope, presyncope or severe palpitations (less frequent than once per 24 h) with a nondiagnostic 24 h Holter or telemetry monitor within 45 d of enrollment.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: NYHA class IV HF; MI within past 3 mo; USA; candidate for or recent valvular cardiac surgery; h/o sustained VT or VF; ≥10 VPCs/h and EF ≤35%; <18 y; inability to complete or comply with protocol</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Confirmation or exclusion of a probable arrhythmic cause of their symptoms</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 266/305 randomized pts completed at least 225 d of monitoring • 114/266 (43%) presented with syncope or presyncope • Overall diagnostic yield: MCOT =88%. external loop recorder =75% (p=0.008) • For those presenting with syncope or presyncope, comparison of diagnostic yield was similar: MCOT =89%. external loop recorder =69% (p=0.008) • MCOT was superior in confirming the Dx of clinically significant arrhythmias [55/134 (41%) vs. 19/132 (15%); p<0.001] • 8/266 (3%) manifested bradycardia or conduction disorder, 6 pauses, 1 complete AVB, 1 Mobitz II 2nd degree AVB, and no symptomatic bradycardia. 	<p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCOT provided a higher diagnostic yield than pt-activated external loop recorder in this cohort of pts referred for syncope, presyncope, and severe palpitations in a randomized head-to-head comparison • Authors speculate this likely relates to pt inability to properly use the external loop recorder, compliance, and/or the ability of MCOT to detect asx arrhythmias. <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • external loop recorder w/o auto-trigger functionality was utilized which could bias results toward MCOT • Pt and investigator unblinded • Noncompliance =12.7% (23 randomized to MCOT did not complete 25 d of monitoring vs. 16 in the external loop recorder group)
<p>Linzer M, et al. 1990 (26) 2371954</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥1 episode of unexplained syncope</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Utility of external loop recorder after indeterminate Holter</p>	<p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early study of external loop recorder in syncope that suggests utility, but

	<p><u>Size:</u> N=57 pts</p>	<p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Prior EPS</p>	<p><u>Results:</u> In 14 /57 (25%) of pts, external loop recorder was diagnostic.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of these diagnoses (7/14) came from symptoms w/o associated arrhythmia • Symptomatic arrhythmias include VT (1 pt), high-grade AVB (2 pts), SVT (1 pt), asystole or junctional bradycardia from neurally mediated syncope (3 pts) 	<p>only rarely by identifying non-neurally mediated bradycardia or conduction disorder (<4% of those studied).</p> <p><u>Limitations:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Referral bias • Small sample size
<p>Framingham Schneider JF, et al. 1979 (27) 154870</p>	<p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational community-based study</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=55 cases of new LBBB. N=110 age/sex-matched controls w/o incident LBBB N=5,209 total cohort followed biennially up to 18 y</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> New LBBB detected on biennial exams</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> 17 with LBBB at start of the study</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Describe the incidence of new LBBB, describe the prevalence of antecedent, coincident and subsequent CV disease and risk factors in those with vs. w/o incident LBBB (HTN, CHF, CHD, DM, cardiac enlargement)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 31 men, 24 women • Mean age at LBBB=62 y (36–78) • Mean follow-up = 18 y (12 pre- and 6 post-LBBB) range: 4–22 y • Those with LBBB had a higher prevalence of HTN (65%), cardiac enlargement (44%), CHF, CAD, DM vs. those w/o LBBB • Only 27% of LBBB group was free of obvious CVD at the time of Dx • 5/15 (33%) free of antecedent CVD, developed evidence thereof coincident to or following the detection of LBBB • 6/15 of those with incident CVD had evidence thereof at the time of the new LBBB (all CAD) • 14/55 (28%) developed new CHF with (N=4) or after (N=10) LBBB first noted 	<p><u>Strengths:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large population-based study with lengthy follow-up and rigorous data collection <p><u>Limitations:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small number of incident cases of LBBB with wide confidence margins of estimated rates of events • No echocardiogram or other assessment for structural heart disease with incident LBBB <p><u>Conclusion:</u> Incident LBBB in middle aged populations is often associated with antecedent or subsequent clinically apparent CV disease, and is associated with increased CV mortality in men</p>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of incident CAD in those with LBBB =2x controls during follow-up • Rate of incident CHF in those with LBBB=7x controls during follow-up • Median time to first recognized CAD=3.7 y • Median time to first recognized CHF=3.3 y • 11% of LBBB group and 48% of controls remained free of any evidence of CVD during follow-up (p<0.001) • No advanced AVB or PPM in those with LBBB • LBBB: 50% mortality at 10 y • Controls 11.6% mortality at 10 y (p=not provided) <p>Prevalence cohort (17 with LBBB at initial screening) were younger (mean age=49 y), but had similar incidence of CVD (94% developed one or more forms of CVD on average 3 y after initial Dx)</p>	
Framingham Schneider JF, et al. 1980 (28) 7350871	Study type: Prospective observational community-based study Size N=70 cases of newly diagnosed RBBB N=140 sex and age-matched controls N=5,209 total cohort followed biennially up to 18 y	Inclusion criteria: New RBBB Exclusion criteria: Extant RBBB (N=16) at first visit	1° endpoint: Compare the prevalence of antecedent, coincident and subsequent CV disease and risk factors in those with incident RBBB (HTN, CHF, CHD, DM, cardiac enlargement) Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age at Dx of RBBB=60 y (38–77) • Prevalence increased with age • At all ages <70 y, RBBB more common in men than women • 70% of cases of RBBB associated with antecedent CVD, most commonly HTN (60%) 	Strengths <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large population-based study with lengthy follow-up and rigorous data collection Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small number of incident cases of RBBB with wide confidence margins of estimated rates of events rendering several trends statistically insignificant • No echocardiogram or other assessment for structural heart disease with incident LBBB Conclusion:

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only the prevalence of HTN and valvular heart disease antecedent to the Dx of RBBB were significantly greater than controls (roughly twice as common for both) • 15/53 (28%) of those w/o evidence of CHD at the time RBBB was diagnosed developed CHD subsequent to the development of RBBB, (OR: 2.5; $p<0.001$) • 7/64 (11%) of those w/o evidence of CHF at the time RBBB was diagnosed developed CHF subsequent to the development of RBBB, (OR~4; $p=0.02$) • Multivariate analysis suggests the relationship between RBBB and subsequent CHD and CHF remains valid for women but not men when age, SBP, and DM are considered. • 20 individuals (15 men) had no evidence of CVD at the time RBBB was noted. Of these, CHD developed in 25% (2/5 women and 2/15 men), CHF developed in 5%, and 75% remained free of clinical CVD. • In these 20 individuals free of apparent CVD at the time RBBB is first diagnosed, subsequent 10-y CV mortality=9% vs. 40% for the 50 individuals with at least 1 CV abnormality prior to the Dx of RBBB (p=not reported) • Total prevalence of most CV abnormalities at any time during the study was higher in RBBB than in controls: CHF=19% vs. 4 % ($p<0.001$), Cardiac Enlargement=31% vs. 14% ($p<0.01$), CHD=46% vs. 24% ($p<0.01$), 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident RBBB is commonly associated with CV abnormalities at the time of Dx. • Incident RBBB associated with a 2.5-fold increased risk of subsequent CHD and 4-fold increased risk of CHF. • Incident RBBB is associated with a 3-fold increased 10-y risk of CV death compared to those w/o RBBB, however, most of that risk is attributable to underlying CV disease. • Those with QRSD >130 ms and LAD (frontal plane axis: -45°—90°) identified those with RBBB Most likely to have underlying CV abnormalities
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>valvular heart disease=6% vs.1% (p<0.05)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No statistically significant difference in total prevalence of HTN, DM, or absence of all CV abnormalities. • Those with RBBB had “about 3 times greater” 10 y CV mortality compared to those w/o conduction disorder (p<0.001). 34% in men and 23% in women vs. 11% in controls (p=NS for men vs women with incident RBBB). • 10 y rate of SCD: RBBB=11%, controls=3% (p=0.05). • RBBB was a univariate predictor of CV mortality but not by multivariate analysis incorporating age, SBP, DM, CHD, CHF. <p>In the 50 individuals with evidence of CV abnormalities prior to or coincident with the Dx of RBBB, 10 y CV mortality=40% vs. 9% in the 20 individuals free of such abnormalities prior to or coincident with the Dx of RBBB (p=not reported)</p>	
<p>Framingham Schneider JF, et al. 1981 (29) 6452050</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational community-based study</p> <p>Size: N=55 cases of new LBBB and N=70 cases of new RBBB. N=5,209 total cohort followed biennially up to 18 y</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: New LBBB or RBBB</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Extant L (N=17) or R (N=16) BBB at first visit</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Compare the prevalence of antecedent, coincident and subsequent CV disease and risk factors in those with incident LBBB vs. incident RBBB (HTN, CHF, CHD, DM, cardiac enlargement)</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference in prevalence of HTN, CAD or DM in LBBB vs. RBBB • Trend toward higher CV mortality in LBBB vs. RBBB that was stronger in men than women (p>0.05) 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large population-based study with lengthy follow-up and rigorous data collection <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small number of incident cases of LBBB and RBBB with wide confidence margins of estimated rates of events rendering several strong trends statistically insignificant • No echocardiogram or other assessment for structural heart disease with incident LBBB

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall those with LBBB had a 4-fold increased 10-y CV mortality after Dx and those with RBBB had a 3-fold increased 10 y CV mortality compared to those w/o conduction disorder (p<0.001 for both) • Men with incident LBBB had a higher cumulative prevalence of “advanced CV abnormalities” before or after the development of LBBB than men who develop RBBB • Women with incident LBBB had similar prevalence of “advanced CV abnormalities” before or after the development of LBBB than women who develop RBBB • In both men and women and for both RBBB and LBBB, the development of BBB was a univariate predictor of incident CHD or CHF • Multivariate analysis: LBBB and RBBB remained predictive for incident CHD and CHF in women but not men (p<0.05 for LBBB in women and P<0.001 for RBBB in women) • Only 11% of those with LBBB and only 21% of those with RBBB remained free of all CV abnormalities during follow-up • Multivariate analysis: LBBB in men was independently predictive of 10-y CV mortality (p<0.01). RBBB in men and both LBBB and RBBB in women were not predictive of 10 y CV mortality independent of age, SBP, DM, CHD and CHF • Amongst the 33 individuals with LBBB or RBBB at first visit (excluded from 	<p><u>Conclusion:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both LBBB and RBBB are associated with increased 10-y risk of CV death in a middle aged unselected population than those w/o BBB • Strong trend toward higher CV mortality in LBBB than RBBB that was stronger in men than women • The vast majority of individuals with incident LBBB or RBBB manifest some form of CV abnormality (most commonly HTN) during follow-up. • Although LBBB and RBBB are univariate predictors of incident CHD and CHF in both men and women, controlling for age, SBP, DM, CHD and CHF renders LBBB and RBBB independently predictive of incident CHD and CHF only in women but not in men
--	--	--	--	---

			<p>analysis above), there was a 2-fold higher prevalence of HTN ($p<0.05$) and a 4-fold higher prevalence of radiographic cardiac enlargement ($p<0.01$) in LBBB vs. RBBB.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2-fold higher rate of CHD, CHF, and DM was also evident in those with BBB at baseline ($p=NS$) • Overall there was a trend toward increased prevalence of all CV abnormalities during follow-up for LBBB vs. RBBB (94% vs. 75%; $p=NS$) in those with BBB at baseline exam. 	
<p>Eriksson P, et al. 1998 (30) 9832497</p>	<p>Study type: Longitudinal prospective community-based study</p> <p>Size: N=855</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample of men living in Göteborg, Sweden born on days divisible by 3 in 1913 obtained from the county census bureau's register of names (N973) • Agreed to participate (N=855) • Followed for 30 y with serial exams at 4–8 y intervals starting in 1963 when all were 50 y. <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Describe the cumulative incidence of BBB and its relationship with CV disease, risk factors, and prognosis based on ECGs obtained at baseline and 3 subsequent exams in 1980, 1988, and 1993.</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • follow-up 98% complete • Prevalence of BBB=82/855 (9.6%), 22 (2.6%) LBBB, 60 (7.0%) RBBB, 86% after age 50 y. • 26% of LBBB and 6% of RBBB showed LVH on ECGs prior to development of BBB ($p<0.01$ for comparison) • At age 80 y, cumulative incidence rate: LBBB=6.5% RBBB=12.9% • Prevalence of LBBB in survivors: 0.4% at 50 y. and 5.7% at 80 y • Prevalence of RBBB in survivors: 0.8% at 50 y and 11.3% at 80 y • No difference in baseline CV risk factors between those with and w/o incident BBB, except greater 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long-term prospective follow-up of moderately large and homogeneous population <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too few LBBB cases rendering statistical significance elusive as it relates to underlying structural heart disease and outcomes. • Small number of cases also precludes meaningful comparison between LBBB and RBBB. • Combining RBBB and LBBB likely dilutes the potential impact compared to LBBB alone • Limited to men <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevalence of LBBB and RBBB increases with age • RBBB is twice as common as LBBB • Those who develop LBBB are more likely to have LVH on ECGs preceding the development of LBBB than those

			<p>radiographic heart volume in those with BBB (794 vs. 746 ml; $p<0.05$)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Those with incident BBB: higher prevalence of CHF in follow-up (36 vs. 14%; $p<0.01$ vs. no BBB) and higher prevalence of DM (36 vs. 17%; $p<0.05$ vs. no BBB) No apparent difference between LBBB and RBBB in baseline risk factors or outcomes Trend toward increased mortality and CV mortality with BBB vs. no BBB, but $p=NS$. <p>73/262 (28%) CV deaths associated with prior Dx of CHF in those w/o BBB vs. 14/23 (61%) of CV deaths associated with prior Dx of CHF in those with BBB ($p<0.01$)</p>	<p>who develop RBBB (a potential indicator that underlying structural heart disease is more likely with LBBB vs. RBBB)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Those who develop BBB have greater radiographic cardiac volume at baseline compared to those who do not (again suggesting greater likelihood of underlying structural heart disease in those who develop BBB) Those with BBB are more likely to develop clinically evident CHF or DM BBB associated with a trend towards higher mortality that fails to reach statistical significance.
<p>Fahy GJ, et al. 1996 (31) 8651093</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational community-based study</p> <p>Size:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> N=110,000 participants in an Irish CV prevention screening study over 25 y. N=310 with BBB but w/o suspected CVD 	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BBB at baseline exam (N=480, 0.44%) Age and sex matched controls w/o BBB <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HTN at baseline exam (N=109) H/o CVD at baseline exam (N=84) Both HTN and CVD=23 	<p>1° endpoint: Determine the prevalence of isolated BBB and the associated long-term prognosis over a 25-y period</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevalence of isolated BBB=0.28% RBBB: N=198 (0.18%) more common than LBBB: N=112 (0.1%); $p<0.001$. Those with LBBB (51±13 y) older than RBBB (44±13 y); $p=0.001$. RBBB but not LBBB was more common in men than women ($p<0.001$) Mean follow-up=9.5 y. median follow-up=87.5 y. 49 total deaths No difference in mortality rate between BBB vs. no BBB and between RBBB and LBBB 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Long-term prospective follow-up of large middle-aged population including men and at least some women (<25% in this analysis) Larger number of cases of LBBB and RBBB compared to other studies Protracted prospective follow-up <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of physical exam, CXR, echo, or CAD screening at baseline <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RBBB and LBBB rare in middle age (~0.1–0.2%) RBBB more common than LBBB RBBB (but not LBBB) more common in men than women.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actuarial freedom from CV death up to 15 y worse in LBBB vs. controls (p=0.01) • Actuarial freedom from CV death up to 15 y worse in LBBB vs. RBBB (p=0.001). • When age included in Cox multiple regression model, differences in CV mortality were no longer significant (p=0.08) • Overt CV disease developed in more individuals with LBBB than controls (21% vs. 11%; p=0.04). Not so with RBBB • No increased rate of PPM implantation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overt CV disease develops in ~20% in those with LBBB during follow-up (nearly twice as often) than in controls w/o conduction disorder. • CV death (but not all-cause mortality) more common in combined left and right bundle branch block than in those w/o conduction disorder • CV death (but not all-cause mortality) more common in those with LBBB vs. RBBB • In multivariate analyses, the differences in CV death associated with BBB and amongst types of BBB are no longer significant when age is considered.
Imanishi R, et al. 2006 (32) 16923453	<p>Study type: Case-control</p> <p>Size: N=17,361 (6,663 men) screened N=110 incident LBBB (41 men) N=456 (156 men) randomly selected age and sex-matched controls w/o LBBB, 3–5 controls per incident case of LBBB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Atomic bomb survivors in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Japan, participants in biennial health exams (including CXR and ECG) from 1958–2002</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: LBBB at initial exam (N=9) Controls with PPM or AF (no cases of LBBB had AF)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Incidence and mortality of LBBB</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age at LBBB=69.6 ± 10.0 y in men and 68.3±10.9 y in women • LBBB increased with age • Incident LBBB associated with HTN (54.6% of LBBB vs. 43.2% of controls; p=0.033), ischemic heart disease (22.7% vs. 5.7%; p<0.001), and non-cardiac disease (43.6% vs. 33.3%; p=0.045) • Incident LBBB associated with: • Radiographic cardiothoracic ratio: (51.9±6.1 at Dx of LBBB vs. 50.3±5.5 in controls; p=0.010) • ECG-derived LVH (60.9% of LBBB vs. 22.3% of controls; p<0.001) • ST-T abnormalities (39.1% of LBBB vs. 16.2% of controls; p<0.001) 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large population-based study with lengthy follow-up and rigorous data collection • 40-y follow-up <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Although larger than Framingham study, still a limited number of cases of incident LBBB to analyze • Lack of echo or cath data may underestimate the prevalence of underlying CMP or valvular heart disease <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident LBBB is independently predictive of CHF-related mortality (RR >3) but not all-cause mortality • Incident LBBB is associated with antecedent or coincident markers of

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • antecedent to the development of LBBB • LBBB independently predictive of CHF mortality; RR: 3.08 (1.62–5.87; $p<0.001$) but not all-cause mortality; RR: 1.22 (0.90–1.65; $p=0.206$) 	structural heart disease such as increased radiographic CT ratio or electrocardiographic LVH or ST-T abnormalities
Framingham Dhingra R, et al. 2006 (33) 16585411	Study type: Prospective, longitudinal, community-based study Size: N=1,759 (1,113 women)	Inclusion criteria: Attendees of the 16 th (1979–1981) or 17 th (1982–1984) biennial exam of the Framingham Heart Study with available ECG and echo data (2-D guided M-mode) Exclusion criteria: Prevalent HF or previous MI (N=135) Anti-arrhythmic therapy or PPM (N=187)	1° endpoint: Assess the relationship of QRSd to CHF incidence during mean follow-up of 12.7 y; range 0.4–22.3 y. Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 324 participants developed CHF (205 women); 231 (17.3%) of 1339 with QRS <100 ms, 62 (20.2%) of 307 with incomplete BBB (QRS=100–119 ms), and 31 (27.4%) of 113 with complete BBB (QRS ≥120 ms). • Survival free of CHF decreased with increasing QRSd category (log-rank $p<0.001$) • In multivariable time-dependent Cox models, BBB associated with a 1.74-fold risk of CHF ($p<0.001$) compared to the referent group. • In multivariable analyses LBBB had the highest incidence of CHF during follow-up compared to QRS <100 msec. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ LBBB: adjusted HR: 4.45 (95% CI: 2.33–8.51; $p=0.0001$) ○ Indeterminate BBB: adjusted HR: 2.18 (95% CI: 1.13–4.20; $p=0.02$) ○ RBBB: adjusted HR: 1.73 (95% CI: 0.93–3.21; $p=0.08$) 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large community-based population • Long duration of follow-up (up to 22 y) • Prospective, systematic data acquisition • Both sexes well represented Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited statistical power to analyze relations of BBB type to CHF incidence • Single assessment of QRSd • Predominantly Caucasian population Conclusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a positive association between ECG QRSd with CHF risk in a large community-based population free of CHF or MI at baseline • Association strongest for complete BBB who experienced a 2-fold risk of CHF compared to those with QRS <100 msec. • Baseline incomplete and complete BBB accounted for only 30% of incident CHF during follow-up. • In an exploratory analysis of a subgroup (N=82, 25% of CHF cases) of pts undergoing echo within 30 d of CHF Dx, incomplete and complete

				BBB both associated equally with HFrEF and HFpEF.
<p>Rotman M, et al. 1975 (34) 1132086</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective observational cohort study</p> <p>Size: N=237,000 with ECGs in the United States Air Force Central Electrocardiographic Library, 1957–1972 N=394 RBBB N=125 LBBB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Routine initial ECGs obtained on a heterogeneous group of Airforce Academy cadets and applicants for flight training and serial ECGs on rated flying personnel taken throughout their Air Force career.</p> <p>The population that was critically examined included only those subjects that had had either an initial clinical evaluation and/or available complete follow-up information.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Review clinical status and mortality of those with BBB at the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine. Compare and contrast those with RBBB with LBBB and explore various combinations of fascicular blocks for their impact on findings at initial evaluation and subsequent clinical course.</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age=36±9 (range 17–58) y for RBBB • Mean age=40±7 (range 20–56) y for LBBB (higher % of RBBB were <25 y and a higher % of LBBB was >45 y; p<0.001) • 251/394 (63.7%) RBBB present on initial ECG and 143/394 (36.3%) were noted on subsequent ECG • 44/125 (35.2%) LBBB present on initial ECG and 81/125 (64.8%) were noted on subsequent ECG • 372/394 RBBB had complete evaluation at time of initial Dx, 97% of these were asx, 94% had a normal CV evaluation, 10/372 (2.7%) had evidence of CAD, 9/372 (2.4%) had hypertension, 5/372 (1.3%) congenital heart disease • 121/125 LBBB had complete evaluation at the time of initial Dx, 95% of these were asx, 89% had a normal CV evaluation, 11/121 (9.1%) had evidence of CAD (4 confirmed by cath), 8/121 (6.6%) had hypertension 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large pool of routine screening ECGs in a young, generally healthy, predominantly asx population • Fairly long duration of follow-up <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exclusively male population • Low prevalence of BBB and of underlying structural heart disease renders the study largely descriptive <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The majority of young airmen with right and left bundle branch block are asx and free of underlying structural heart disease/CAD. • Although underpowered to allow conclusions, LBBB may be more predictive of CV death than RBBB • The prognosis of BBB relates more to the underlying structural heart disease than the conduction abnormality itself. • “Significant progressive electrical dysfunction is a rare occurrence” in this population (1 PPM for advanced AVB in each group, and 1 additional PPM for unexplained syncope w/o advanced AVB in the RBBB group).

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 54 subjects with RBBB and 29 with LBBB had a complete cardiac catheterization • LBBB had a significant higher rate of CAD ($p<0.01$) and HTN ($p<0.05$) than RBBB, independent of age. • Mean follow-up: RBBB=10.8±4.7 y, LBBB=8.8±4.8 y • During follow-up of those with RBBB, 21 (6%) new cases of CAD and 21 new cases (6%) of HTN developed. • During follow-up of those with LBBB, 6 (5%) new cases of CAD and 7(6%) new cases of HTN developed • 14 (4%) of those with RBBB died, all but 3 from non-cardiac causes • 9 (8%) of those with LBBB died, all but 2 from cardiac related causes. <p>Combinations of fascicular blocks did not inform clinical status at the time of initial evaluation or subsequent prognosis.</p>	
<p>Froelicher VF, et al. 1977 (35) 831426</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective cross – sectional study</p> <p>Size: N=34 with asx LBBB N=41 with asx RBBB Derived from 325 airmen referred for cardiac catheterization</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Airmen who underwent coronary angiography for clinical indications between 2/1971 and 12/1974. All but 27 with possible angina were asx.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Declined catheterization (N=not provided)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Prevalence of significant CAD (>50% stenosis) according to referral Dx (e.g., abnormal ETT, angina, BBB, etc.)</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age=42±7 y • Significant CAD: LBBB=8/34 (24%) RBBB=8/41 (20%) All pts=98/325 (30%) • 5/34 (14.7%) with LBBB and no significant CAD had “generalized LV dyskinesia” and LVEDP >12 mm Hg • 1/34 (2.9%) with LBBB and normal coronary arteries manifested overt HFrEF subsequent to catheterization and died suddenly 2 months after Dx 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coronary angiography performed in asx pts with isolated LBBB and no other indication of CV disease (justified by public safety concerns) <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small size • Potential selection bias (clinically referred for cardiac evaluation) • No systematic follow-up <p>Conclusion: ECG abnormalities are “poorer predictors of heart disease in asx apparently healthy men than in hospital or clinic populations.”</p>

Manitoba Heart Study Rabkin SW, et al. 1980 (36) 6444828	<p>Study type: Prospective, observational cohort study</p> <p>Size: N=29</p> <p>Derived from 3,983 male pilots from the Royal Canadian Air Force or licensed by the Canadian Dept. of Transport participating in regular health exams (including ECG) every 3–5 y from 1948–1977</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Participants in regular annual medical exams with no clinical evidence of CHD or valvular heart disease antecedent to or coincident with the discovery of LBBB</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: One participant with LBBB at entry exam was excluded from analysis of factors contributing to the development of LBBB but was included in the prognostic study</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Death, including sudden death during follow-up, association of ECG findings antecedent to the Dx of LBBB with the development of subsequent LBBB</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age at entry=30.8 y. • Average follow-up=29 y • Only 1 case of LBBB present at entry • 13/28 (46.4%) who developed LBBB after the initial exam had some antecedent ECG abnormality (14% had LVH, 14% has ST-T abnormalities, and 14% had some form of conduction abnormality such as PR prolongation, IVCD, LAD, etc) • Only the prevalence of LVH was significantly different from the population free of incident LBBB (14% vs. 3.5%; p<0.05) • Risk of SCD: 6/29 (20.7%) was >10 fold higher than in those w/o LBBB (1.6%); p<0.01 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long period of close follow-up <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highly selected population • Exclusively male • Young at the start with predictably very low prevalence of LBBB • Low number of cases of LBBB <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident LBBB is associated with LVH on prior ECGs • LBBB in a relatively young, male population is associated with a >10-fold risk of sudden cardiac death.
Women's Health Initiative Zhang ZM, et al. 2012 (37) 22858187	<p>Study type: Prospective observational cohort study</p> <p>Size: N=66,450 (1,739 with BBB, of which 708 had clinical evidence of CV disease) Derived from 68,133 participants in the Women's Health Initiative study</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Participants in the Women's Health Initiative with interpretable ECG</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No available electronic ECG (N=960) • Inadequate quality ECG (N=614) • PPM or WPW (N=109) 	<p>1° endpoint: CHD-related and all-cause mortality associated with L and R BBB</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean follow-up=14.2 y • Avg. age=63 y; 10% African American • 19% had h/o CVD or ECG evidence of prior MI • 2.6% BBB (714 LBBB, 832 RBBB, 122 NSIVCD, 71 RBBB with LAFB) • 18% with BBB died • 8% with LBBB had fatal CHD events 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively large number of cases of LBBB and RBBB • Long systematic follow-up <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exclusively women <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In women with baseline CVD, after adjusting for potential confounders, LBBB and RBBB were predictive of CHD death, but only LBBB was predictive of all-cause death

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HR for CHD death for LBBB in women with CVD: 2.92 (95% CI: 2.08–4.08; $p<0.01$). • HR for CHD death for RBBB in women with CVD: 1.62 (95% CI: 1.08–2.43; $p<0.05$) • HR for all-cause mortality for LBBB in women with CVD: 1.43 (95% CI: 1.11–1.83; $p<0.01$) • HR for all-cause mortality for RBBB in women with CVD: 1.1 (95% CI: 0.84–1.44. $p=NS$) • HR for CHD death for LBBB in women free of baseline CVD: 2.17 (1.37–3.43; $p<0.01$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In women free of CVD, only LBBB was predictive of CHD death, and neither BBB was a predictor of all-cause death • NSIVCD and RBBB with LAFB is associated with a 2.5- to 3-fold increased risk of CHD death in those with baseline CV disease. • Most repolarization parameters do not predict CHD or all-cause mortality.
OPTIMAAL Bogale N, et al. 2007 (38) 17317365	<p>Study type: Prospective observational study derived from an RCT of losartan vs. captopril in pts with AMI and HF or asx impaired LVEF</p> <p>Size: N=356 (6.5%) with LBBB at baseline or subsequently developing LBBB during 2.7 y mean follow-up N=354 (6.5%) with RBBB at baseline or subsequently developing RBBB during 2.7 y mean follow-up N=5,477 in the RCT</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Acute MI (average time to enrollment=3 d), ≥ 50 y, HF, impaired LVEF $<35\%$, or LVEDD >65 mm and anterior Q waves on ECG (old or new)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supine SBP <100 mm Hg at the time of enrollment • Rx with an ACEI or ARB • Unstable angina • Hemodynamically significant valvular stenosis • Hemodynamically significant arrhythmia • Planned revascularization • Unable/unwilling to give consent 	<p>1° endpoint: All-cause death. Outcomes and crude rates were stratified according to presence of LBBB or RBBB at baseline. Kaplan–Meier curves plotted for death and SCD stratified by BBB pattern at baseline. Cox regression models assessed effect of BBB pattern at baseline on death and SCD and the effect of the development of BBB during follow-up adjusted for age, pulse rate, h/o CABG, DM, CHF or prior MI</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with BBB patterns were older, fewer were smokers at time of inclusion, and more had previous HTN, AMI, CABG, and DM. • 946/5477 (17.3%) all-cause deaths. 442/5477 (46.7%) were SCD • Baseline: 203/5477 (3.7%) had LBBB and 235/5477 (4.3%) had RBBB 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively large number of cases of LBBB and RBBB • Systematic follow-up for fatal outcomes <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No core lab interpretation of ECGs • No data to correlate BBB with EF • No data on presence or absence of BBB before index AMI • No ability to assess reversion rates of BBB back to normal after AMI (reported to be $>10\%$ after revascularization in some studies) • Only 10% received PCI (54% received thrombolysis) <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In middle-aged and older pts with high-risk findings after acute MI, LBBB present at time of MI is independently predictive of all-cause

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation in another research trial 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow-up: additional 153 (2.8%) developed LBBB and 119 (2.2%) developed RBBB. • LBBB at baseline independently predictive of all-cause death (HR: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.25–1.77; p<0.01) and CV death (HR: 1.53; 95% CI: 1.17–1.99; p<0.01), but not SCD/resuscitated cardiac arrest (HR:1.28; 95% CI: 0.96–1.71; p=NS) • Late onset LBBB independently predictive of all-cause death (HR: 2.06; 95% CI: 1.49–2.90; p<0.01), CV death (HR:2.70; 95% CI: 1.68–4.35; p<0.0001), and SCD/resuscitated cardiac arrest (HR: 2.38; 95% CI: 1.48–3.83; p=0.01) • RBBB at baseline independently predictive of SCD/resuscitated cardiac arrest (HR:1.60; 95% CI: 1.25–2.04; p<0.01) but not all-cause death (HR:1.16; 95% CI: 0.96–1.39; p=NS) or CV death (HR: 1.25; 95% CI: 0.95–1.64; p=NS) • Late-onset RBBB independently predictive of SCD/resuscitated cardiac arrest (HR: 2.02; 95% CI: 1.22–3.34; p=0.05) but not all-cause death (HR: 1.26; 95% CI: 0.84–1.89; p=NS) or CV death (HR: 1.42; 95% CI: 0.76–2.67; p=NS) 	<p>death and CV death, but not SCD/resuscitated cardiac arrest. Subsequently developing LBBB during an average of 2.7-y follow-up is associated with all 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In middle-aged and older pts with high-risk findings after acute MI, RBBB present at time of MI and subsequently developing RBBB during an average of 2.7-y follow-up is independently predictive of SCD/resuscitated cardiac arrest but not all-cause death or CV death.
Baldasseroni S, et al. 2002 (39) 11868043	<p>Study type: Retrospective, observational registry study</p> <p>Size: N=5,517</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Participants in the Italian Network CHF Registry, created in 1995 by the Italian Association of Hospital Cardiologists and</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 1-y, all-cause mortality rate</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age=63±12 y • 1295/5517 (23.5%) women • 1544/5517 (28.0%) NYHA class 3–4 • LBBB 1391/5517 (25.2%) 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large prospective outpatient registry of pts referred to cardiologists for management of CHF • Standardized definitions and data collection methods (for most elements)

		<p>derived from 150 Italian medical facilities.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CHF due to valvular heart disease (N=745) Inadequate quality ECG (N=270) <p>Cardiac transplantation within the 1st year of follow-up</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RBBB: 336/5517 (6.1%) Other forms of IVCD: 339/5517 (6.1%) Those with LBBB more likely to be female, have non-ischemic CM, NYHA 3–4 status, S3, cardiomegaly on CXR, EF <30%, or receive diuretics, ACEI, digoxin, and amiodarone, and less likely to have AF and receive nitrates, BBs, antiplatelet agents, and CCBs Overall 1-y mortality: 659/5517 (11.9%) 306/659 (46.4%) deaths attributed to sudden death LBBB 1-y all-cause mortality: 224/1391 (16.1%) RBBB 1-y all-cause mortality: 40/336 (11.9%) Other IVCD 1-y all-cause mortality: 30/339 (8.8%) By multivariable analysis, LBBB remained independently predictive of all-cause mortality (HR: 1.360; 95% CI: 1.148–1.610; p=0.0004) By multivariable analysis, LBBB remained independently predictive of sudden death (HR: 1.348; 95% CI: 1.051–1.729; p=0.0188) 	<p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No core lab interpretation of ECGs Chose QRSd >140 ms to reduce likelihood of false classification of IVCD as LBBB. This may exaggerate the prognostic impact of LBBB as QRSd itself is predictive of outcome with higher mortality with longer QRSd. No systematic coronary angiogram to determine etiology of CM No systematic definition of sudden death <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Amongst outpatients referred to Italian cardiologists for HF management, LBBB is associated with both a higher risk population (as indicated by clinical status and co-morbidities) and an approximate 35% increased 1-y risk of both all-cause death and sudden death, independent of a large number of other CHF risk indicators.</p>
Erne P, et al. 2017 (40) 28224924	<p>Study type:</p> <p>Retrospective observational registry study</p> <p>Size:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> N=29,114 in registry N=28,421 had presenting ECG data N=26,090 STEMI w/o LBBB 	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participants in the AMIS Plus Registry, an ongoing Swiss nationwide prospective cohort of pts admitted with ACS, founded by the Swiss Societies of Cardiology, Internal Medicine, and Intensive Care Medicine in 1997. 	<p>1° endpoint: All-cause, in-hospital mortality</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Age: STE=64.3 [SD 13.2], LBBB=75.0 [10.7]; p<0.001 Those with LBBB at the time of acute MI were more likely to be female, present later, have less chest pain and more dyspnea as chief complaint, have higher heart rate, and higher 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Largest prospective registry of unselected pts with suspected acute MI and LBBB to date Standardized definitions and data collection methods <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No core laboratory for ECG analysis No systematic algorithm to differentiate isolated LBBB from LBBB associated with transmural

	2,295 had LBBB with or w/o concomitant STE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Definitive acute MI with either STE or new/presumed to be new LBBB <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>prevalence of AF, Killip class 3–4 status, DM, HTN, hyperlipidemia, and prior MI, HF, CVA, PAD, or CKD (p<0.001 for all)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Those with LBBB had higher prevalence of impaired EF (354/1530 [23.1%] vs. 1582/18622 [8.5%]; p<0.001). Those with LBBB were less aggressively treated with antiplatelet, antithrombotic, BB, statin, and revascularization therapies (p<0.001 for all) All-cause in-hospital mortality: LBBB=371/2,295 (16.2%); STE=1,707/26,090 (6.5%); p<0.001 Cardiogenic shock after admission: LBBB=286/2252 (11.6%) STE=1642/25,834 (6.4%); p<0.001 MACCE: LBBB=394/2244 (17.6%) STE=2102/25,751 (8.2%); p<0.001 <p>Multivariate analysis: LBBB no longer an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality, HR: 1.01 (95% CI: 0.86–1.19; p=NS)</p>	<p>Ischemia (e.g. Sgarbossa criteria)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No prior or subsequent ECGs after admission to know if the LBBB was new or not and whether the LBBB was transient No assessments of clinical eligibility for each therapeutic option. Therefore, hard to interpret differences in treatment rendered between those with and w/o LBBB <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LBBB identifies a pt subset with a higher baseline CV risk profile and greater burden of preexisting CV diseases and comorbidities compared with pts with STE Pts with LBBB are less likely to receive evidence-based antithrombotic therapy and invasive treatment strategy compared with STE pts <p>LBBB is associated with a higher incidence of unadjusted in-hospital MACE, mortality, and cardiogenic shock rates but the same adjusted risk</p>
Yeo KK, et al., 2012 (41) 22152973	<p>Study type: Retrospective registry study utilizing the NCDR's ACTION registry-GTWG</p> <p>Size:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> N=46,006 in registry with STEMI (STE or nLBBB) 	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participants in the ACTION registry from January 2007 to March 2009 from 343 participating US hospitals, enrolling 117,781 pts with ACS presenting within 24 h of symptom onset <p>Exclusion criteria:</p>	<p>1° endpoint:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevalence of presumed nLBBB in the setting of AMI Compare characteristics and treatments of those with AMI and either nLBBB or persistent STE Compare the risk for adverse in-hospital CV outcomes in the 2 groups <p>Results:</p>	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Large prospective registry of unselected pts with suspected acute MI and LBBB Standardized definitions and data collection methods <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No core laboratory for ECG analysis No systematic algorithm to differentiate isolated LBBB from LBBB associated with transmural Ischemia (e.g. Sgarbossa criteria)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N=44,405 (96.5%) had STE w/o LBBB • N=1,601 (3.5%) AMI with nLBBB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSTEMI (N=71,536) • Missing ECG data or isolated posterior MI (N=160) • Subsequent admissions in those with multiple admissions (only the index admission was used; N=79) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median age: STE=60 [interquartile range 51.0, 71.0], nLBBB=74.0 [63.0, 82.0]; p<0.0001 • Those with nLBBB at the time of acute MI were more likely to be female, non-smoker, have h/o DM, HTN, hyperlipidemia, prior MI, CABG, HF, CVA, PAD, or CKD, have higher heart rate, or present with signs of CHF (p<0.001 for all) • Also those with nLBBB were more likely to have prior PCI (p=0.0013), or cardiogenic shock at presentation (p=0.0052) • Those with nLBBB were less aggressively treated with antiplatelet therapy, beta blocker, and statin medications and were less likely to receive reperfusion therapy, including primary PCI (p<0.0001 for all) • Time to primary PCI was delayed on average 33 min for those with nLBBB relative to those with STEMI (p<0.0001) • More pts in the STEMI group had LVEF ≥50% compared to the nLBBB group (47.9% vs. 27.2%) and fewer pts with LVEF <25% (4.8% vs. 17.4%; p<0.0001) • Median peak troponin and creatine kinase-MB levels were higher in pts with STEMI compared to those with nLBBB (131.9 vs. 32.3; p<0.0001, and 21.8 vs. 6.0; p<0.0001, respectively) • Unadjusted all-cause in-hospital mortality: nLBBB compared to STEMI (13.3% vs. 5.6%; p<0.0001) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient angiographic data to distinguish AMI with LBBB vs. other causes of biomarker elevation in the setting of LBBB • No data on pre-existing LBBB prior to incident AMI <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LBBB identifies a pt subset with a higher baseline CV risk profile and greater burden of preexisting CV diseases and comorbidities compared with pts with STE • Pts with LBBB are less likely to receive evidence-based antithrombotic therapy and invasive treatment strategy compared with STE pts <p>LBBB is associated with a higher incidence of unadjusted in-hospital mortality but the same adjusted risk</p>
--	--	---	--	---

			Multivariate analysis: nLBBB no longer an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality, (OR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.75–1.12; p=0.38)	
Framingham Dhingra R, et al. 2005 (42) 15734611	Study type: Prospective, cross-sectional, community-based study Size: N=4,534 (2,583 women)	Inclusion criteria: Attendees of the 16 th or 17 th biennial exam of the Framingham Heart Study or the 2 nd exam of the Framingham Offspring Study with available ECG and echo data (2-D guided M-mode) Exclusion criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevalent HF (N=51) • Previous MI (N=146) • Digoxin or quinidine use (N=206) PPM (N=3) 	1° endpoint: Gender-specific linear regression models to assess the relationship of QRSd to echo parameters of LV size, mass and fractional shortening and left atrial size at end-systole. Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In linear regression models, LV mass, end-diastolic dimension, and septal and posterior wall thickness were positively related to log-QRSd (p<0.001) • Fractional shortening was inversely related to log-QRSd (p<0.001) • LBBB (N=32) was associated with higher LV mass and lower fractional shortening compared to a normal QRSd (p<0.001) • RBBB (N=92) was not associated with significant differences in LV mass, dimensions, wall thickness or fractional shortening in men, but was associated with higher LV mass (p=0.02) and greater septal (p=0.01) and posterior (p=0.001) wall thickness in women. A stronger association of LV mass with QRSd was seen in obese men, older women, and in hypertensive women	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large community-based population • Long duration of follow-up • Prospective, systematic data acquisition • Both sexes well represented Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited statistical power to analyze relations of BBB type to LV measurements • Use of M-mode for EF estimation (reflects only basal function of 2 segments) • Single assessment of QRSd • Predominantly Caucasian population Conclusion: There is a positive association between ECG QRSd, as well as LBBB pattern, and LV mass, dimensions and wall thickness, and an inverse relation to systolic function in a large, community-based cohort free of MI and HF.
Talreja D, et al. 2000 (43) 10689252	Study type: Prospective case-control study Size: N= 300	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive inpatients referred for echocardiographic assessment of LV systolic	1° endpoint: Predictive value of historical features, symptoms, physical findings, chest radiography and/or ECG findings to predict LVEF <45%	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systematic assessment of clinical features including ECG features that might predict LVSD in those referred for echocardiography

		<p>function of which 124 (41%) had LVEF <45%.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: No ECG within 1 wk prior to echo (N=30)</p>	<p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LBBB was the most predictive ECG finding to suggest LVSD; $p < 0.0001$ • Multivariate predictors of LVSD: Radiographic cardiomegaly. OR: 3.8 (95% CI: 1.6–4.6; $p < 0.01$) LBBB. OR: 3.7 (3.6–67.2; $p < 0.01$) Male sex. OR: 3.45 (1.4–4.9; $p < 0.01$) Normal ECG: OR: 0.30 (0.02–0.45, $p < 0.004$) 	<p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small sample size with wide confidence margins <p>Conclusion: The presence of LBBB is an independent predictor of echocardiographically-determined LVSD in inpatients for whom LVSD is a clinical concern</p>
<p>Mendu ML, et al. 2009 (44) 19636031</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective observational</p> <p>Size: N=2106 consecutive admissions for 1920 individuals ≥ 65 y admitted following syncope from 7/2002 to 12/2006</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥ 65 y admitted following syncope</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Documented pre-syncope, 103 cases omitted for complete lack of data</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of a broad spectrum of clinical assessments</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 163 (8.5%) had more than 1 admission • 32% known CAD, 18% h/o AF, 9% h/o MI, 5% h/o AVB • 980 (47%) etiology unknown, 453 (22%) vasovagal, 282 (13%) orthostatic hypo. • 821 (39%) had echocardiogram, abnormal in 516 (63%), “affected Dx” in 35 (4%), “helped determine etiology” in 13 (2%)- most frequently aortic stenosis, affected management in 36 (4%) • Yield in defining etiology for echocardiogram similar to ECG (3%), ETT (2%), Head MRI (2%), Carotid US (2%) but less than telemetry (5%) and orthostatic VS (15%). • Of 11 tests analyzed, echo yielded the 4th lowest cost per test affecting Dx (\$6,272/ influential test) after postural BP, telemetry and ECG <p>Diagnostic impact of echo was greater and cost per test affecting Dx were lower</p>	<p>Strengths Sample size, standardized abstraction, consistent definitions, blinded re-abstraction (mean bias-adjusted κ statistic = 87% (SD 20%) for the diagnostic test variables), inclusion of effect on management not just Dx yield</p> <p>Limitations: Reliance on administrative database to identify cases, reliance on chart documentation to assess impact of tests on clinical management (? underestimated effect of negative tests), using charges adjusted by cost-charge ratio rather than actual costs. Test ordering was not protocol driven and at the discretion of the clinicians, likely affecting the yield of the tests (echocardiogram likely ordered more indiscriminately than some others).</p> <p>Conclusion: Echocardiogram was a frequent part of syncope evaluation in elderly hospitalized pts (39%) at an academic</p>

			in those who met SFSR criteria for increased risk	medical center and only occasionally provided information that affected management (4% of those studied) or established an etiology of syncope (2%). Compared to the litany of diagnostic tests used in this population, however, it was relatively cost-effective.
Recchia D, et al. 1995 (45) 8770716	Study type: Retrospective observational Size: N=128	Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted to a university teaching hospital due to syncope over a 7 mo period Exclusion criteria: Syncope of known cause, presyncope, obvious seizure, referred for EPS	1° endpoint: Dx yield of echo beyond that provided by Hx, physical, and ECG Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48/128 (37.5%) had a cause of syncope identified. Of these 37/48 (77%) were diagnosed by Hx, physical and ECG. • 82/128 (64%) underwent echo • Echo normal in 52% of total population and 63% of those with no clinical evidence of heart disease (46% of population had no clinical evidence of heart disease) • Echo confirmatory in 48% of those with suspected heart disease and refuted it in 52% • Echo provided no etiology of syncope that was unsuspected on clinical grounds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limitations: Small sample size, test ordering at the discretion of the clinicians, did not address the impact of echo on management in those with clinically suspected heart disease in whom it was confirmatory half the time
Sarasin FP, et al. 2002 (46) 12231593	Study type: Prospective observational with 18 mo-follow-up Size: N=650 consecutive pts presenting to the ED of a university teaching	Inclusion criteria: Syncope with clinical suspicion of cardiac valve disease or unexplained syncope after Hx, physical and ECG Exclusion criteria: Those presenting with syncope	1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of echo, either confirming a suspected Dx or revealing an unexpected one Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 61/650 (9%) had a systolic murmur and severe AS was suspected in 20 (3% of total). Echo confirmed severe AS in 8 (40%). In 18 months of follow- 	Conclusions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Echo is most useful to assess the severity of suspected underlying cardiac disease and for risk stratification in those whose syncope is unexplained but have a Hx of heart disease or an abnormal ECG. • Echo is unlikely to yield a clinically unexpected etiology of syncope in

	<p>hospital who underwent a standardized initial clinical evaluation, and for those with syncope of undetermined etiology thereafter, a stepwise testing algorithm that started with echocardiography.</p>	<p>who did not complete the standardized evaluation (105) or refused to participate (33)</p>	<p>up no further cases of severe AS emerged</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 155/650 (24%) had unexplained syncope and echo revealed no abnormalities that established a cause in them (this group was older with more comorbidities than those with an established cause of syncope) • 71/155 (46%) had “abnormal but not-relevant” echo findings that include clinically relevant findings such as severe MR, moderate PH, moderate MS, LVH • In those with a normal ECG (N=67), echo was normal or “non-relevant” in all • In those with a cardiac Hx or abnormal ECG (88/650=13.5%), echo revealed EF ≤40% in 24/88 (27%) and minor non-relevant findings in the rest • Arrhythmias were diagnosed in 12/24 (50%) of those with Hx of heart disease or abnormal ECG and low EF on echo and 12/64 (19%) of those with EF >40% on echo (P<0.01) 	<p>those w/o evidence of cardiac disease on initial evaluation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comment: Echo has greater relevance than these conclusions suggest due to the possibility that the clinically relevant but not definitively diagnostic abnormalities (other than low EF) will influence management
<p>Dagres N, et al. 2013 (47) 24280765</p>	<p>Study type: Descriptive survey of member institutions of the EHRA EP research network</p> <p>Size: 43 centers from 17 countries from Europe (and Argentina)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: EHRA members who responded to the survey</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Define current practice habits regarding the work-up and management of pts with syncope</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECG used “always or almost always” by 98% of respondents (“in most case” =2%) • Echo used “always or almost always” by 66% (“in most cases” =27%, “only if specific indication” =7%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offers little regarding appropriate use of tests but does define current practice suggesting stubborn reliance on echo in contemporary practice despite data to suggest relatively low yield in unselected pts • 42% of respondents used formal diagnostic algorithms and only 26% had a dedicated syncope unit • Compared to other tests, there was relative uniformity of utilization of echo, second only to ECG

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Holter used “always or almost always” by 59% • All other tests queried <50% “always or almost always” 	
Badheka AO, et al. 2013 (48) 23726176	<p>Study type: Retrospective cohort study on prospectively collected data from NHANES III</p> <p>Size: 8,527 of 8,561 individuals >40 y who underwent resting 12-lead ECG as part of NHANES III.</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: NHANES III enrollee with available ECG data</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Missing QRS data (N=30) Missing mortality data (N=4)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Describe the relationship between QRSd on routine ECG and CV mortality</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age was 60.5±13.6 y • “White” race: 87% • Female: 53% • Follow-up: 106,244.6 person-y • HR for risk adjusted CV mortality of highest quartile of QRSd: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.01–1.7; p=0.04 • LBBB HR: 2.4; 95% CI: 1.3–4.7; p=0.009) • RBBB HR: 1.9; 95% CI: 1.2–3.0; p=0.008) • Adding QRSd in 10-ms increments to Framingham Risk Score yielded 4.4% overall net reclassification improvement (95% CI: 0.02–0.04; p=0.00006) 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large representative cross section of US population (part of a cohort of 72,062,796 in NHANES III). • Prospectively acquired data <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retrospective and observational analysis • Single ECG at baseline interpreted by software • Diagnoses based on death certificate w/o chart review • No data on SCD <p>Conclusions: Increased QRSd in general and LBBB and RBBB specifically are all associated with increased risk of risk-adjusted CV death.</p>
Chiu DT, et al. 2014 (49) 24698512	<p>Study type: Secondary analysis of a prospective, observational, cohort study</p> <p>Size: 570 consecutive ED pts aged ≥18 y presenting with syncope between 9/2003 and 6/2006</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Presented to a single, large urban teaching hospital emergency department with syncope</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Near syncope Persistent altered mental status Syncope due to alcohol or illicit drugs</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of tests performed in ED, during hospitalization, or during 30 d of follow-up. Tests chosen at clinician discretion. Positive tests identified a serious condition deemed responsible for the index syncopal event</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age was 57.2 ± 24.5 y • Female: 64% • Admitted to hospital: 60.2% 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Busy ED with 55,000 annual visits • Prospectively acquired data • 99% follow-up • Assessed current clinical practice (tests ordered according to clinical suspicion) <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Observational • Testing not ordered systematically (not all pts had all tests)

		<p>Seizure Coma due to hypoglycemia or head trauma Lost to follow-up (n=5)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 330 (58%) underwent in-hospital telemetry, 317 (55%) serum troponin, 150 (26%) echocardiography, 56 (10%) ambulatory monitoring • Overall yield of all tests analyzed: 73 pts (8%; 95% CI: 7–10%) <p>Diagnostic yield: Echo – 22% (5.8% overall yield for the entire syncope population – $\kappa=0.78$); Telemetry – 5.8% (overall yield 3.3% – $\kappa=0.66$); Ambulatory Monitoring – 3.6% (overall yield 0.4% – $\kappa=0.5$); Serum troponin – 3% (overall yield 3.3% – $\kappa=1$)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single center • Small sample size • Short-term follow-up • Limited range of commonly employed testing studied (i.e., no head CT, routine blood tests, CXR, EEG, etc.) <p>Conclusions: Although routine testing is prevalent in ED pts with syncope, the diagnostic yield is relatively low. Nevertheless, some testing, particularly echocardiography, may yield critical findings.</p>
<p>Menozi C, et al. 1998 (50) 9832095</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational study of the placebo arm of an RCT of oral theophylline and permanent pacing in pts with symptomatic SND (THEOPACE trial)</p> <p>Size: 162 screened 55 excluded (12 for “severe” SSS) N=107 randomized to 3 treatment arms 35 randomized to no treatment</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Symptomatic SND, age ≥ 45</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Severe SSS (heart rate < 30 bpm or sinus pauses > 3 s) • Refractory HF • Recent MI or CVA (< 3 mo) • “Very severe general diseases” • “Significant renal or hepatic disease” • H/O sustained VT • Secondary bradycardia (e.g., hypothyroidism/drugs) • Need for BB or CCB • Other causes of syncope besides SND • Pt refusal <p>Unable to follow up</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 1st episode of syncope, CHF requiring hospitalization, persistent AF, “poorly tolerated” sustained paroxysmal tachyarrhythmia requiring treatment, thromboembolic event</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age was 71 ± 11 y • Female: 49% • “Organic” heart disease: 63% • H/O Syncope: 57% • Mean ambulatory heart rate: 51 ± 8 bpm • Follow-up: 17 ± 15 months • 20 (57%) experienced CV events requiring treatment [8 (23%) syncope, 6 (17%) CHF, 4 (11%) AF, 2 (6%) paroxysmal tachyarrhythmia] • Actuarial rates at 1, 2, and 4 y for any CV event: 35%, 49%, and 63% respectively • Actuarial rates at 1, 2, and 4 y for syncope: 16%, 31%, and 31% respectively 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prospective • Up to 4-y follow-up <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small sample size <p>Conclusions: Clinical CV events occur in most untreated SSS pts during long-term follow-up. The outcome can be “partly predicted” on initial evaluation. Along with age ≥ 65, echocardiographic parameters of LV size and EF help identify those at risk for CV events (but not necessarily syncope per se). A prior Hx of syncope and a corrected SNRT ≥ 800 ms identifies those at increased risk of syncope during follow-up.</p>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Predictors of any CV event by multivariate analysis: age ≥ 65 y (HR: 7.80 [95% CI:1.97–30.9]; $p=0.001$), LVEDD ≥ 52 by echo (HR: 2.89 [1.07 to 7.81]; $p=0.04$), EF $<55\%$ by echo (HR: 3.68 [1.28 to 10.52]; $p=0.01$) <p>Predictors of syncope by multivariate analysis: corrected sinus node recovery time ≥ 800 ms (HR: 7.80 [0.94–65]; $p=0.02$), h/o syncope (HR: 5.96 [0.71–49.7]; $p=0.05$)</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Data Supplement 4. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Ambulatory Electrocardiography in Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Section 4.2.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published' PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Mendu ML, et al. 2009 (44) 19636031	Study type: Retrospective observational Size: N=2106 consecutive admissions for 1920 individuals ≥65 y admitted following syncope from 7/2002 to 12/2006	Inclusion criteria: ≥65 y. admitted following syncope Exclusion criteria: Documented pre- syncope, 103 cases omitted for complete lack of data	1° endpoint: Diagnostic yield of a broad spectrum of clinical assessments Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 163 (8.5%) had >1 admission • 32% known CAD, 18% h/o AF, 9% h/o MI, 5% h/o AVB • 980 (47%) etiology unknown, 453 (22%) vasovagal, 282 (13%) orthostatic hypotension. • 821 (39%) had echo, abnormal in 516 (63%), “affected Dx” in 35 (4%), “helped determine etiology” in 13 (2%)- most frequently AS, affected management in 36 (4%) • Yield in defining etiology for echo similar to ECG (3%), ETT (2%), Head MRI (2%), Carotid US (2%) but less than telemetry (5%) and orthostatic VS (15%). • Of 11 tests analyzed, echo yielded the 4th lowest cost per test affecting Dx (\$6,272/ influential test) after postural BP, telemetry and ECG • Diagnostic impact of echo was greater ad cost per test affecting Dx were lower in those who met SFSR criteria for increased risk 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 87% (SD 20%) for the diagnostic test variables), inclusion of effect on management not just Dx yield • Limitations: Reliance on administrative database to identify cases, reliance on chart documentation to assess impact of tests on clinical management (? underestimated effect of negative tests), using charges adjusted by cost-charge ratio rather than actual costs. Test ordering was not protocol driven and at the discretion of the clinicians, likely affecting the yield of the tests (echo likely ordered more indiscriminately than some others). • Conclusion: Echo was a frequent part of syncope evaluation in elderly hospitalized pts (39%) at an academic medical center and only occasionally provided information that affected management (4% of those studied) or established an etiology of syncope (2%). Compared to the litany of diagnostic tests used in this population, however, it was relatively cost-effective.
Recchia D, et al.1995 (45) 8770716	Study type: Retrospective observational	Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted to a university teaching hospital due to	1° endpoint: Dx yield of echo beyond that provided by Hx, physical, and ECG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limitations: Small sample size, test ordering at the discretion of the clinicians, did not address the

	<p><u>Size:</u> N=128</p>	<p>syncope over a 7 mo period</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Syncope of known cause, presyncope, obvious seizure, referred for EPS</p>	<p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48/128 (37.5%) had a cause of syncope identified. Of these 37/48 (77%) were diagnosed by Hx, physical and ECG. • 82/128 (64%) underwent echo • Echo normal in 52% of total population and 63% of those with no clinical evidence of heart disease (46% of population had no clinical evidence of heart disease) • Echo confirmatory in 48% of those with suspected heart diseases and refuted it in 52% • Echo provided no etiology of syncope that was unsuspected on clinical grounds 	<p>impact of echo on management in those with clinically suspected heart disease in whom it was confirmatory half the time</p>
<p>Sarasin FP, et al. 2002 (46) 12231593</p>	<p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational with 18-mo follow-up</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=650 consecutive pts presenting to the ED of a university teaching hospital, who underwent a standardized initial clinical evaluation, and for those with syncope of undetermined etiology thereafter, a stepwise testing algorithm that started with echocardiography.</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Syncope with clinical suspicion of cardiac valve disease or unexplained syncope after Hx, physical and ECG</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Those presenting with syncope who did not complete the standardized evaluation (105) or refused to participate (33)</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Diagnostic yield of echo, either confirming a suspected Dx or revealing an unexpected one</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 61/650 (9%) had a systolic murmur and severe AS was suspected in 20 (3% of total). Echo confirmed severe AS in 8 (40%). In 18 mo of follow-up no further cases of severe AS emerged • 155/650 (24%) had unexplained syncope and echo revealed no abnormalities that established a cause in them (this group was older with more comorbidities than those with an established cause of syncope) • 71/155 (46%) had “abnormal but not-relevant” echo findings that include clinically relevant findings such as severe MR, moderate PH, moderate MS, LVH 	<p><u>Conclusions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Echo is most useful to assess the severity of suspected underlying cardiac disease and for risk stratification in those whose syncope is unexplained but have a Hx of heart disease or an abnormal ECG. • Echo is unlikely to yield a clinically unexpected etiology of syncope in those w/o evidence of cardiac disease on initial evaluation • Comment: Echo has greater relevance than these conclusions suggest due to the possibility that the clinically relevant but not definitively diagnostic abnormalities (other than low EF) will influence management

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In those with a normal ECG (N=67), echo was normal or “non-relevant” in all • In those with a cardiac Hx or abnormal ECG (88/650 =13.5%), echo revealed EF ≤40% in 24/88 (27%) and minor non-relevant findings in the rest • Arrhythmias were diagnosed in 12/24 (50%) of those with Hx of heart disease or abnormal ECG and low EF on echo and 12/64 (19%) of those with EF >40% on echo (p<0.01) 	
Dagres N, et al. 2013 (47) 24280765	<p>Study type: Descriptive survey of member institutions of the EHRA EP research network</p> <p>Size: 43 centers from 17 countries from Europe (and Argentina)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: EHRA members who responded to the survey</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Define current practice habits regarding the work-up and management of pts with syncope</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECG used “always or almost always” by 98% of respondents (“in most case” =2%) • Echo used “always or almost always” by 66% (“in most cases” =27%, “only if specific indication” =7%) • Holter used “always or almost always” by 59% • All other tests queried <50% “always or almost always” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offers little regarding appropriate use of tests but does define current practice suggesting stubborn reliance on echo in contemporary practice despite data to suggest relatively low yield in unselected pts • 42% of respondents used formal diagnostic algorithms and only 26% had a dedicated syncope unit • Compared to other tests, there was relative uniformity of utilization of echo, second only to ECG

Data Supplement 5. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Nocturnal / Sleeping Bradyarrhythmias and Sleep Apnea (Section-4.2.7)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
NORMALS				
Brodsky M, et al. 1977 (51) 65912	Study type: Prospective observational – 24h Holter	Inclusion criteria: Healthy, Caucasian, male medical students, age 23–27 y with	1° endpoint: Define rates of arrhythmia on 24 h Holter in normal young Caucasian men	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinus bradycardia <40 bpm is common in healthy young men (none trained athletes),

	<u>Size:</u> 50	normal exam, ECG, cardiac silhouette on CXR, and echo <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Of 61 volunteers, 9 excluded and 2 did not complete study. Excluded: 2 with DM, 1 each with ASH on echo, MVP, WPW, h/o pericarditis, IVCD, MVP and HTN, QRS axis of -100°	<u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 50% marked sinus arrhythmia• 24% SB <40 bpm at least once/night• 28% >1.75 s pause• 4% pause >2 s• 8% 1° AVB (1/2 exclusively nocturnal. 1/2 both d and night)• 6% type 1 2nd degree AVB-virtually all nocturnal	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pauses >2 s and type 1 second-degree AVB are uncommon (4%–6%)• No specific screening for OSA and no information regarding obesity.
Bjerregaard P, 1983 (52) 7160388	<u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational – 24 h Holter <u>Size:</u> 260	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Healthy middle age and older volunteers (40–79 y). 65% male. Mean age: male =53 y; female =56 y <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• technically inadequate tracings (N=9)• HTN (N=17)• Abnormal 12-lead ECG x 2 min except for arrhythmias (N=9)• CV Sx (N=7)• Illness within 3 mo (N=3)• Abnormal CV physical exam (N=5)• CM or pul. venous congestion on CXR (N=0)	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Establish norms for mean 24 h heart rate, minimal HR, and pauses <u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mean heart rate =74±18 bpm (range 53–95)• Mean min heart rate =56±16 bpm (range 36–78)• 30% had pause ≥1.5 s• <1% had pause >2 s (longest =2.24 s)• 60% of those with pauses had longest pause at night• 4.6% sinus arrest• 3.5% blocked PACs• 0.8% Wenckebach (both nocturnal)• 1.1% marked SB (heart rate <40 bpm)• Males, non-smokers, and physically active had lower mean and minimal HR by ANOVA (no p value reported). Age differences NS.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nocturnal pauses >2 s, marked sinus brady, and type 1 2nd degree AVB are rare in a middle aged, healthy population (1% or less)• Sinus arrest is more common but still uncommon in this population (~ 5%)• No information on obesity or screening for OSA
Clarke JM, et al. 1976 (53) 74472	<u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational, 2 separate 24 h Holter	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Healthy volunteers (16–65 y) with normal clinical exam, ECG, and biochemical/hematologic screening	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Describe the distribution and frequency of arrhythmias in 2 separate 24 h Holter monitors in healthy subjects (41 male, 45 female) <u>Results:</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• In healthy adolescents and adults, 2nd degree AVB is rare and exclusively nocturnal (2.5%)• Heart rate drops ~20 bpm during sleep relative to

	Size: 86/101 G.D. Searle & Co. employee volunteers, mostly office workers	Exclusion criteria: HTN (6), BBB (2), heart murmur (1), epilepsy (1), sedative use (3), anemia (1), anxiety (1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2/81 (2.5%) had nocturnal 2nd degree AVB: 1 had both type 1 and type 2 2nd degree AVB, the other had type 1 2nd degree AVB only • 1 subject had 1st degree AVB • 8 (10%) subjects had junctional rhythm both awake and asleep. 	<p>wakefulness in both men and women</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average daily heart rate is higher in women than men (p<0.05) and in smokers vs. non-smokers (p<0.001) • No information on obesity or screening for OSA
Fleg JL, et al. 1982 (54) 7056104	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – 24 h Holter</p> <p>Size: 98/110 healthy active subjects, ages 60–85 y (69 men). 59/98 (60%) in 60s, 32/98 (33%) in 70s and 7/98 (7%) in 80s.</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participants in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study on Aging • >60 y • No CV Hx or sx • No systemic illness • NL exam • BP <160/95 • NL CXR • No MI, atrial abnormality, LVH, RVH or BBB on ECG • NL PFT • NL ETT • No meds affecting heart rate/rhythm <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8/38 had abnormal thallium • 1/38 had abnormal echo • 3 technically unsatisfactory Holter 	<p>1^o endpoint: Describe frequency and distribution of arrhythmias in healthy elderly adults</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marked SB (heart rate <40 bpm): 2/98 (2%) • Sinus pause >1.5 s: 2/98 (2%). No pause >2 s • 2nd degree AVB: 1/98 (1%) • All bradyarrhythmias occurred during sleep 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthy, active elderly individuals screened for significant cardiac and pulmonary disease manifest rare nocturnal bradyarrhythmias (1–2%)
ATHLETES				
Meytes I, et al. 1975 (55) 1163436	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – awake 12-lead ECG</p> <p>Size: 126</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Athletes from Israeli national teams</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1^o endpoint: Frequency of conduction disturbances on awake 12-lead ECG after 15 min. of resting recumbency</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11/126 (8.7%) 1st degree AVB (P-R ≥0.21 s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wakeful type I 2nd degree AVB is rare in athletes and is presumed to be physiological • Authors point out it is, nonetheless, “much more frequent than hitherto suspected.”

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3/126 (2.4%) type I 2nd degree AVB (abolished by sitting, standing, and atropine) • Followed the 3 athletes with Wenckebach for 6 y – Wenckebach present only during intense training and resolved consistently within a few weeks of reducing intensity of training • No heart disease or decline in performance developed over 6 y 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By comparison: 1/67,375 asx healthy male USAF fliers manifested type I 2nd degree AVB on awake routine ECG
Viitasalo MT, et al. 1982 (56) 7059398	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – Nocturnal Holter</p> <p>Size: 35 Finnish male “top-class” nationally competitive endurance athletes (mean age 23.1±6.1 y) and 35 controls (age 23.0±5.8 y) who were med students and army conscripts who were not engaged in regular intensive physical training, normal ECG and CXR</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥5 y of intensive physical training • Normal clinical exam • No “changes” in resting 12-lead ECG <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • H/O CV disease or other disease known to affect the CV system • CXR findings other than those associated with athletic heart • “Permanently” under medication • Taking meds at the time of the study • Smoker • URI within 1 mo of the study 	<p>1^o endpoint: Describe the range of arrhythmias including conduction disturbances on nocturnal 2-channel ambulatory ECG monitor (4pm–8am). No training or alcohol consumption during study</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Slowest heart rate =37.7±4.3 bpm (range 24–48) in athletes and 45.4±6.3 bpm (range 33–63) in controls (p<0.001) • 13/35 (37.1%) of athletes and 2/35 (5.7%) of controls had sinus pause >2 s (all from 10pm–6am). Longest pause =2.76 and 2.6 s respectively • 1st degree AVB (PR >0.22): 13/35 (37.1%) of athletes and 5/35 (14.3%) of controls (p<0.05) – 6 exclusively during sleep, 4 asleep and awake, 3 exclusively awake in athletes. All controls had 1st degree AVB exclusively during sleep • Type 1 2nd degree AVB: 8/35 (22.9%) of athletes and 2/35 (5.7%) of controls (p<0.05) – 5 exclusively while asleep, 2 awake and asleep, and exclusively while awake in 1. All controls had type 1 2nd degree AVB exclusively during sleep 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart rate slows substantially (>20 bpm) while asleep in athletes and non-athletes alike • Nocturnal sinus pauses >2 s are common in young male athletes (present in >1/3) and infrequent in untrained healthy young men (<6%) • 1st degree AVB at any time is common in young male athletes (present in >1/3) and occasional in untrained young men (present in ~15%) • AVN Wenckebach and SB with junctional or idioventricular escape rhythm are both fairly common in young male athletes (≥20%) and occur primarily while asleep. • AVN Wenckebach is infrequent in untrained young men (<6%) and occurs exclusively while asleep • SB with junctional or idioventricular escape rhythm and type 2 second-degree AVB are exceedingly rare in untrained healthy young men

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Type 2 2nd degree AVB: 3/35 (8.6%) of athletes but no controls – 1 while awake and asleep, 1 only while awake, 1 only while asleep • SB with competing junctional or idioventricular rhythm in 7/35 (20%) of athletes but no controls – 3 exclusively while asleep, 3 while awake and asleep, and 1 exclusively while awake 	while awake or asleep (neither demonstrated in this study).
Northcote RJ, et al. 1989 (57) 2923752	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – 48 h Holter</p> <p>Size: 20 male Scottish veteran runners and 20 age-matched sedentary male controls</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age >45 y (mean =56±7) • >25 y regular running • >25 miles/wk <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smoking • Medications • Hx CV disease. 	<p>1^o endpoint: Describe distribution of ECG abnormalities and arrhythmias in middle-aged male athletes detected with resting, exercise, and 48 H ambulatory ECG</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart rate <35 bpm Athletes: 8/20 (40%) Controls: 1/20 (5%) • Mean nocturnal heart rate Athletes: 51±8.5 bpm Controls: 66±13.2 bpm • Sinus pauses (1.8–15 s) Athletes: 8/20 (40%) - >80% nocturnal Controls: 2/20 (10%) • 1st Degree AVB (PR >220 ms) Athletes: 6/20 (30%) Controls: 0/20 (0%) • Type 2 2nd Degree AVB Athletes: 4/20 (20%) Controls: 0/20 (0%) • CHB Athletes: 3/20 (15%) Controls: 0/20 (0%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In this older cohort of distance runners, bradycardia and AVB was more common than in previously studied younger cohorts of athletes • Bradycardia and AVB are more frequent in distance runners than in healthy, active age-matched controls and predominantly nocturnal in both groups
SLEEP APNEA				
Tilkian AG, et al. 1977 (58) 331948	Study type: Prospective observational	Inclusion criteria: OSA identified on PSG. All male. Mean age =44 y (30–60). Mean time in apnea	1^o endpoint: Describe the distribution and frequency of arrhythmias while awake and asleep in pts with OSA and evaluate the	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In pts with fairly profound OSA, bradycardia and conduction

	<p>Size: 15 pts with OSA who underwent extensive monitoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 separate 24 h Holters and simultaneous PSG in all • 12/15 participated in overnight invasive hemodynamic monitoring • 6 underwent a third 24 h Holter to assess the effect of atropine • 6 underwent awake EPS • 8 underwent repeat Holter after tracheostomy • 4 underwent ECG monitoring while the tracheostomy was temporarily plugged during sleep. 	<p>=51% (35–72%). Mean duration =24 s (11–40 s)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>influence of atropine and tracheostomy on those arrhythmias</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14/15 (93.3%) marked sinus arr. (>30 bpm swing) • 6/15 (40%) marked SB (heart rate <30 bpm), all nocturnal • 5/15 (33%) “Asystole” (pauses of 2.5–6.3 s), all nocturnal • 2/15 (13.3%) 2nd degree AVB, all nocturnal • 2/15 (13.3%) VT, all nocturnal • Tracheostomy eliminated arrhythmias which recurred when transiently re-plugged • Atropine (1.2–2.4 mg) blunted degree of sinus arrhythmia but did not eliminate. It prevented marked SB in 3 of 6, 2nd degree AVB in 1 of 2, and pauses in 3 of 5. • 5 with wakeful EPS had normal SNRT, A-H and H-V intervals. Of these 2 had marked SB, 2 had prolonged pauses (3–6 s), and 1 had AVN Wenckebach 	<p>disturbances are common and can be profound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tracheostomy can eliminate the nocturnal arrhythmias associated with OSA • The nocturnal bradycardia and conduction disturbances associated with OSA are at least partially vagally mediated based on partial suppression with atropine <p>Confounders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No AHI reported • Highly selected population • Particularly unnatural sleeping environment • No normal comparator group
<p>Guilleminault C, et al. 1983 (59) 6193700</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective observational – 24 h Holter</p> <p>Size: 400 pts with SAS who underwent 24 h Holter and simultaneous PSG. 384 men, median</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: AHI >5 (range 25–92) and had simultaneous Holter and PSG</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: No simultaneous testing or no SAS. 187 excluded of which 111 did have AHI >5</p>	<p>1^o endpoint: Describe frequency and distribution of arrhythmias during sleep in pts with SAS</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 193/400 (48%) had nocturnal arrhythmias ▪ 98% of arrhythmias occurred during an obstructive event 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In aggregate, nocturnal arrhythmias are common in pts with moderate to severe SAS and occur almost exclusively during obstructive events • There appears to be an O₂ sat threshold of 72% for nocturnal bradyarrhythmias in this cohort

	age =48 y (19–71 y). 16 women, median age 59 (25–68 y)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrhythmias (except for PVCs) seen only with O₂ sat <72% (93% occurred with O₂ sat ≤65%). • 8/400 (2%) NSVT • 43/400 (10.8%) Sinus arrest (2.5–13 s). In 32/43 (74.4%) it lasted ≥4 s • 31/400 (7.8%) 2nd degree AVB (19 type 1 (5%) and 12 type 2 (3%)) • 29/400 (7.2%) had profound SB (<30 bpm for ≥10 s) • 10/400 (2.5%) had PAF • 3/400 (1%) had AFL • 75 /400 (18.8%) >2 VPC/min during sleep • 50 with significant arrhythmias underwent trach: repeat monitoring 3–6 mo postop: no arrhythmias except VPCs in 4 (vs. 18 prior) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Profound SB, sinus pauses ≥2.5 s, and 2nd degree AVB occur occasionally (~7–10% of pts) • Tracheostomy eliminates nocturnal bradyarrhythmias in pts with OSA <p>Confounders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highly selected population • No normal comparator group
Shepard JW Jr, et al. 1985 (60) 2411477	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – nocturnal ECG only</p> <p>Size: 31</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Clinically referred males with OSA (apnea index 44±26/h and hypopnea index 1 ±24/h). Age 55±11 y (range 30–76)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1^o endpoint: Determine the relationship between ventricular ectopy and the severity of oxyhemoglobin desaturation during sleep</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Profound SB (heart rate <30 bpm): 10% • Sinus pauses (2–13 s): 10% • 2nd degree AVB: 6% 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia and AVB occur occasionally during sleep in pts with moderate to severe OSA (6%–10%) <p>Confounders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary data not reported in original study or in subsequent review article.
Hoffstein V, et al. 1994 (61) 7774322	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – Nocturnal ECG only</p> <p>Size: 458 clinically referred for PSG (214 (46.7%) with SAS – AHI >10)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive, unselected pts referred for PSG (primarily for snoring). Age 48±13 y. BMI 31±7 kg/m²</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1^o endpoint: Compare the frequency of sleep-related arrhythmias in those with and w/o SAS and examine separately the relationships between arrhythmias and the severity of apnea, hypoxemia, and snoring,</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 121 (26%) AHI 10–30, 41 (9%) AHI 30–50, 52 (11%) AHI >50) • Arrhythmia prevalence: SAS: 58%; No SAS: 42% (X²=16.7; p<0.0001); AHI ≥40: 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB or junctional rhythm during sleep in adults with severe SAS is uncommon (<3%) but does not occur at all in pts referred for PSG w/o SAS • Arrhythmias in aggregate are more frequent in those with more profound SAS and in those with nocturnal hypoxemia

			<p>70% ($\chi^2=9.2$; $p=0.002$); mean O₂ sat <90%: 82%; mean O₂ sat >90%: 40% ($\chi^2=7.4$; $p=0.006$)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most frequent arrhythmias in all groups = ventricular or supraventricular tachyarrhythmias • 6/214 (2.8%) with SAS had some bradyarrhythmia (AVB, junctional) all associated with other arrhythmias and all with AHI >30. • 0/244 (0%) had AVB or junctional rhythm with AHI ≤10. • Significance for difference in prevalence of bradyarrhythmias among the groups not reported 	<p>Confounders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too few bradycardic events to correlate with AHI or hypoxemia. • No data regarding profound bradycardia, sinus pauses, etc
<p>Boudoulas H, et al. 1983 (62) 6580372</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational - Holter</p> <p>Size: 120 pts with sleep disordered breathing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAS =61 (46 males; mean age 49.6±12 y; 15 with HTN, 4 with MI) • Narcolepsy =35 • Idiopathic hypersomnolence =24 	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with sleep disordered breathing previously diagnosed by PSG admitted to a clinical research center for ≥3 d to undergo echo, 24 h Holter, and 24 h urinary catecholamines on 3 successive d</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Describe the incidence of primary cardiac abnormalities in pts with SDB</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1st degree AVB: 2/61 (3.3%) of SAS • SN exit block: 2/61 (3.3%) of SAS • Neither evident in other groups • Malignant ventricular arrhythmias: 26% of SAS, 3% in narcolepsy, and 4% in idiopathic hypersomnolence • Urinary catecholamines similarly elevated in all 3 groups 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First degree AVB and sinus node exit block occur occasionally in pts with SAS (~3%) • SDB of disparate types is associated with increased urinary catecholamines
<p>SHHS Mehra R, et al. 2006 (63) 16424443</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective, cross-sectional, observational</p> <p>Size: 228 SAS (RDI ≥30)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participant of original SHHS (N=6,441) who were alive, agreed to undergo repeat PSG 3–7 y after enrollment and who were not on CPAP (N=3,295) • Age ≥40 y 	<p>1° endpoint: Examine the association between SDB and cardiac arrhythmias</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age 70.6±9.72 vs. 68.6±9.1 y ($p=0.01$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In this community-based cohort, there was no evidence of an increased prevalence of conduction disturbances in those with severe SDB and those w/o SDB

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RDI ≥ 30 • Comparison group RDI < 5 Matched for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and BMI (N=338) <p>Exclusion criteria: BMI < 18 or > 40 kg/m²</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.1% of SDB had PPM and 0.9% of non-SDB had PPM (p=0.05) • No difference in frequency of conduction delays (SDB vs. no SDB) • Sinus pause ≥ 3 s: 11 vs. 8.6%; p=0.34 • 1st degree AVB: 25 vs. 22.5%; p=0.49 • Type I 2nd degree AVB: 1.8 vs. 0.3%; p=0.07 • Type II 2nd degree AVB: 2.2 vs. 0.9%; p=0.20 • AF (OR: 4.02), NSVT (OR: 3.4), complex V ectopy (OR: 1.74) were more common in SDB than non SDB groups. • No dose response relationship noted between severity of SDB and V arrhythmia • SDB much more strongly associated with complex ectopy in younger members than older [OR:9.3 (2.8–30.6) at age 50 to 2.0 (1.3–3.1) at age 70 (p=0.002)] 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The prevalence of sinus pauses, and 2nd degree AVB was ~15% in the SDB group, however.
Miller WP, et al. 1982 (64) 7124758	<p>Study type: Prospective observational - Holter</p> <p>Size: 23 SAS (AHI 12.5–62.5; 78% with AHI > 43.75). Age 25–57 y, 87% male.</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: SAS severe enough to warrant referral for tracheostomy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1^o endpoint: Describe the frequency and distribution of arrhythmias during sleep in pts with SAS</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marked sinus arrhythmia: 18/23 (78%) • Heart rate < 30 bpm: 2/23 (8.7%) • Sinus pause > 1.8 s: 2/23 (8.7%) • 1st degree AVB: 1/23 (4.3%) • Type 1 2nd degree AVB: 1/23 (4.3%) • Aggregate: 6/23 (26%) brady (non- sinus arrhythmia) while asleep vs. 1/23 (4.3%) while awake 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nocturnal marked sinus arrhythmia is common in pts with SAS (predominantly severe SAS) • Nocturnal profound bradycardia and sinus pauses occur occasionally in pts with SAS (~9%) • Nocturnal first degree and type I 2nd degree AVN occur infrequently in pts with SAS ($< 5\%$ each) • Such bradyarrhythmias are far more common while asleep than awake in this cohort. <p>Comments</p>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small sample size • No comparator • Highly selected
<p>Flemons WW, et al. 1993 (65) 8368632</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational – nocturnal Holter</p> <p>Size: 173 /263 consecutive pts referred for PSG and underwent complete PSG and simultaneous Holter</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Completed PSG and Holter overnight. Compared 76 with SAS (AHI >10) vs. no SAS</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous assessment of or treatment for SAS (N=7) • Referred for a specific condition other than SAS (N=9) • Rx for severe psychiatric condition (N=8) • Major medical condition (N=21) • 9 technically inadequate (7 Holter and 2 PSG) • 14 no show • 3 Intercurrent illness • 1 declined to participate 	<p>1° endpoint: Describe the frequency and distribution of arrhythmias during sleep in pts with SAS</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median AHI in SAS group =33 • Age of SAS group 48.5±11.3 • SAS: 87% male; mean BMI 32.9±6.1 • 26% of SAS had O₂ sat <80 more than 3% of the study time, 11% has O₂ sat <70 more than 1 % of the study time, and 2.7% had O₂ sat <60 more than 1% of the study time • 2nd degree AVB: SAS =1.3% (95% CI: 0.4–6.9) vs. No SAS =4.1% (95% CI: 1.6–10.1). p=NS • Sinus arrest: SAS =5.2% (95% CI: 2.2–12.6) vs. No SAS =1.0% (95% CI: 0.2–5.6) p=NS • Complex ventricular ectopy: SAS =1.3% (95% CI: 0.4–6.9) vs. No SAS =4.1% (95% CI: 1.6–10.1). p=NS 	<p>Conclusions: The prevalence of nocturnal cardiac arrhythmias is low in pts w/o serious cardiac or respiratory comorbidity who are referred for assessment of sleep apnea, and no different from those w/o sleep apnea.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential referral bias • Authors speculate their population consisted of less severe SAS and less severe underlying disease (minority fell below previously suggested thresholds for arrhythmia (Guilleminault and Shepard) • Only 2 prior studies=consecutive pts (Guilleminault and Boudoulas) and the others may have been subject to selection bias • Only Boudoulas compared SAS to comparator group (albeit pts with other types of sleep disorder) • Excluded “major medical conditions” and there may be a complex interaction between CV disease and SAS
EFFECT OF CPAP ON ARRHYTHMIAS - OBSERVATIONAL				
<p>Becker H, et al. 1995 (66) 7812557</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Sleep apnea and Mobitz type 2nd degree</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Describe the frequency and distribution of arrhythmias during sleep in pts with SAS and assess the effect of nCPAP</p>	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPAP effectively suppresses sleep and sleep apnea

	<p><u>Size:</u> 17/239 consecutive pts referred to a German sleep clinic over 17 mo who manifested both SAS and “heart block” on ambulatory screening tests. They then underwent serial PSGs with Holter monitoring, w/o and subsequently with CPAP</p>	<p>AVB, 3rd degree AVB or sinus pause >2 s on Holter monitor</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p>on the 2nd night of treatment and after 4 wk of treatment</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age =50.7 (27–78) • Median RDI =90/h (\pm36.1) at baseline • Median RDI =6/h (\pm6.2) on nCPAP • No. of HB episodes =1,575 at baseline • No. of HB episodes =165 on CPAP (p<0.001 vs. baseline) – an 89% reduction • 12/17 (70.6%) manifested no arrhythmia on CPAP • 3/17 (17.6%) manifested a 71–97% reduction in heart block episodes on CPAP (2 of these 3: resolution of HB at 4 wk) • 2/17 (11.8%) demonstrated increased heart block on CPAP but 1 demonstrated resolution of HB at 4 wk. • 15/17 (88%) manifested no arrhythmia after 4 wk of CPAP 	<p>associated “heart block” in pts with fairly advanced SA.</p> <p><u>Comments</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential referral bias • Non-randomized, observational • 16/17 subjects were male
<p>Koehler U, et al. 1998 (67) 9551750</p>	<p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 16</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Sleep apnea (AHI >10/h), no evidence of SAN or AVN dysfunction on EPS, and nocturnal “heart block” who underwent baseline PSG and PSG on CPAP the following night</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> AVN blocking or AADs</p>	<p><u>1^o endpoint:</u> Correlate the frequency of bradyarrhythmias to stages of sleep, oxygen desaturation and apnea, as well as the effect of nCPAP/nasal bilevel positive airway pressure therapy on these arrhythmias in pts w/o EP abnormalities.</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age=49.6 (\pm10.4) y • BMI 36.8 (\pm7.9) kg/m² • 13/16 (81.3%) HTN; 0 MI; 2/16 (12.5%) DM; 11/16 (68.8%) LVH by echo; 7/16 (43.8%) COPD • 651 episodes of HB; 87.9% during REM and 12.1% during stages 1 and 2 non-REM • (p<0.001) 	<p><u>Conclusions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPAP effectively suppresses sleep apnea associated “heart block” in pts with fairly advanced SA. <p><u>Comments</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential referral bias • Non-randomized, observational • 14/16 subjects were male

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 609/651 (93.5%) occurred during apnea/hypopnea with desaturation $\geq 4\%$ (but no correlation to nadir O₂ sat above/below 72%) • Mean AHI = 75.5\pm39.6/h at baseline and 3.0\pm6.6/h on nCPAP/BiPAP (p<0.01) • Bradyarrhythmia: 651 at baseline (432 episodes of 2nd degree AVB, 178 sinus pauses >2 s, 41 3rd degree AVB) • Bradyarrhythmia on nCPAP/BiPAP: 72 (p<0.01) – an 89% reduction in bradyarrhythmia. 	
Grimm W, et al. 2000 (68) 10980227	<p>Study type: Prospective observational</p> <p>Size: 29</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Sleep apnea, no evidence of SAN or AVN dysfunction on EPS, and ventricular asystole of 6.7\pm3.3 s (3.1–16.8 s) exclusively during sleep who underwent PSG with and w/o CPAP and were followed clinically for 54\pm10 mo</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVN blocking or AADs • “Advanced” SAN or AVN disease at EPS • Symptomatic bradycardia on 24 h Holter (or symptoms of bradycardia, otherwise) 	<p>1^o endpoint: Describe the long-term prognosis for symptomatic bradyarrhythmia in pts with asx bradyarrhythmias associated with sleep apnea and w/o significant conduction abnormalities on EPS.</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 93% male • Mean age: 49 y • BMI: 36 kg/m² • HTN: 19/29 (66%); CAD: 6/29 (21%); MI: 2/29 (7%) • Bradyarrhythmia: 14/29 (48%) sinus arrest, 12/29 (41%) 3rd degree AVB, 3/29 (10%) both sinus arrest and 3rd degree AVB • nCPAP abolished all pauses >3 s in 21/29 (72%) • nCPAP failed to abolish all pauses in 8/29 (28%) with persistent pauses of 3–5 s • No pt developed symptomatic bradycardia over 54\pm10 mo of follow-up • 58% always used CPAP • 10% never used CPAP 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts free of significant SAN or AVN dysfunction by EPS who are chronically treated with CPAP for SA c/b nocturnal bradycardia are extremely unlikely to have symptomatic bradycardia or syncope in long-term follow-up of 4.5 y. • Such pts who receive a PM are unlikely to require pacing very much, at all, if PMs are set to low back-up pacing rates. <p>Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential referral bias • Non-randomized, observational • Same group as Koehler • 93% of subjects were male

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23/29 had follow-up 24 h Holter: 1/14 w/o PPM had asx pauses up to 3.6 s in duration, while asleep • 12/29 (41%) received PPM at discretion of their care provider during study (including 7/8 with persistent pauses on CPAP. • 8 PPM programmed in VDI at 30–40 bpm: <1% paced at follow-up • 3 PPM programmed in DDD at 40–50 bpm: <10% paced at follow-up • 1 PPM programmed in DDD at 60 bpm: >10% paced at follow-up 	
<p>Harbison J, et al. 2000 (69) 10988177</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective observational</p> <p>Size: 45 consecutive eligible pts from a university hospital's dedicated sleep disorders unit referred to initiate nCPAP</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Previously diagnosed moderate-severe OSA (AHI 50±23/h) who underwent overnight oximetry and concurrent 18 h 2-channel Holter prior to and after initiating CPAP (Becker, Koehler, within 2 nights of initiating). Studies performed in hospital.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Establish the frequency of pathologically significant cardiac rhythm disturbances in the group and, in particular, to determine the effect of nCPAP on these disturbances.</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 91% male • Mean age: 50 (SD: 13.1) y • BMI: 32.7 (SD: 6.0) kg/m² • 35/45 (78%) had some rhythm disturbance at baseline • 8/45 (18%) manifested "pathological arrhythmia (complex ectopy, SVT other than sinus tach, or pauses >2 s, 2nd and 3rd degree AVB) • 7/45 (15.6%) sinus pause >2 s (frequencies reflect individuals with more than 1 type of arrhythmia and sum is >8) • 2/45 (4.4%) from among these 7 with pauses had sinus pauses >3 s (longest =10 s) • 2 /45 (4.4%) had complex ventricular ectopy (1 NSVT, 1 ventricular bigeminy) • 1/45 (2.2%) had 2nd degree AVB 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cardiac rhythm disturbances during sleep are common in pts with OSA • Potentially significant arrhythmias during sleep are relatively common (18%) • Potentially significant nocturnal arrhythmias correlate with OSA severity • Potentially significant arrhythmias during sleep are effectively treated by nCPAP therapy. <p>Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small sample size • Potential referral bias • No control group • Non-randomized, observational design • 91% of subjects were male • Absence of data to suggest the presence of arrhythmias during sleep directly influences morbidity and

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nCPAP abolished all “pathological” arrhythmias in 7/8 (87.5%) • The outlier had ischemic CM and severe AS and had both ventricular ectopy and sinus pauses with and w/o CPAP • “Pathological” arrhythmias correlated with severity of OSA as indicated by AHI ($p=0.04$), but not to mean oxygen saturation, BMI, age, BP, glucose, or lipids 	mortality in OSA and the lack of evidence that suppression of arrhythmias with CPAP contributes to improved outcomes in OSA.
ASYX BRADYCARDIA AS A MARKER OF OSA - OBSERVATIONAL				
Stegman SS, et al. 1996 (70) 8774819	<p>Study type: Prospective observational cohort study</p> <p>Size: 7 pts with clinical indications for cardiac rhythm assessment (ECG, hospital telemetry, and ambulatory monitoring) referred to an EP service for PPM for asx bradyarrhythmias</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Referred for PPM for asx profound SB, sinus pauses of 2.08–7.52 s, or 2nd or 3rd degree AVB detected on clinically indicated rhythm assessment. Screened clinically for SAS. Those with suggestive sx were referred for PSG.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medications include beta blocker, digoxin or verapamil. • None were trained athletes 	<p>1^o endpoint: Prevalence of SAS as indicated by PSG in those with asx bradycardia and sx suggestive of SAS.</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All had normal resting awake heart rates during all EP clinic visits before and after enrollment • Bradyarrhythmias prompting referral were all nocturnal or during daytime sleep • OSA diagnosed in all by PSG (1 mild, 2 moderate, and 4 severe). • Mean nadir O₂ sat: 70.6% (45–88%) • 6 treated with CPAP or sleep position modification • 1 received tracheostomy after failing CPAP • Mean follow-up: 22 mo (18–32 mo) • 6/7 (86%) remained free of typical bradyarrhythmia symptoms on treatment for OSA. • 7/7 reported improved sx of OSA on treatment • 1 with AS and severe CHF had syncope during follow-up but also showed resolution of nocturnal bradycardia on hospital tele after tracheostomy. • Authors describe 1 additional subject with daytime and nocturnal profound resting 	<p>Conclusions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asx bradyarrhythmias occurring during sleep should prompt screening for SAS. • Those with sx suggestive of SAS and significant nocturnal bradycardia have a high likelihood of OSA on PSG • Such pts are likely to remain asx on treatment for OSA w/o PPM implantation during 18–32 months of follow-up. • Establishing a Dx of OSA in these pts may obviate the need for PPM by facilitating treatment of the underlying cause of the bradyarrhythmia and identify pts at (potentially modifiable) increased risk for CV events. <p>Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small sample size • Potential referral bias • No control group with negative response to screening questions who also underwent PSG

			sinus bradycardia in the 30s with preserved chronotropic response to exercise and no sx of SAS who did not undergo PSG and refused PPM. Remained asx over 17 mo of follow-up. They highlight the distinct pattern of persistent d and night time bradycardia in this subject vs. exclusively nocturnal bradyarrhythmias in those with SAS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-randomized, observational design and size preclude conclusions regarding the impact of treatment of OSA on outcomes • Most did not present definitive indications for PPM in the absence of sx
European Multicenter Polysomno-graphy Study Garrigue S, et al. 2007 (71) 17353437	Study type: Prospective observational cohort study Size: 98 consecutive pts with PPM from 11 European centers	Inclusion criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM for at least a month for symptomatic SND, advanced AVB, or CRT for HFrEF and QRS >120 ms. • Mean spontaneous nocturnal atrial rate ≥50 bpm • PPM settings during PSG = DDI at lower rate of 50 bpm in all Exclusion criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recent (<6 mo) MI, USA, or coronary revascularization • Permanent atrially paced rhythm 	1° endpoint: Prevalence and consequence of undiagnosed SAS as indicated by PSG in those with PPM according to indication for pacing: HF, symptomatic “diurnal” bradycardia, and advanced AVB Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age 64±8 y • Pacing indication: 29/98 (30%) DCM/CRT; 33/98 (34%) advanced AVB; 36/98 (37%) for SND • 77% male • BMI 26.8±5.2 kg/m² • HTN: 49%; CAD: 22%; DM: 10% • Mean Epworth Sleepiness Score =7±4, similar in all pacing indications. 13/98 (25%) had ESS >11. • Prevalence of SAS: 59% (95% CI: 46–69), over twice the estimated prevalence in the general population in other studies • SND prevalence of SAS: 58% (27% severe-AHI >30/h) • AVB prevalence of SAS: 68% (27% severe) • HFrEF prevalence of SAS: 50% (5% severe) • V-pacing during PSG: AVB=97±4%; SND=15±12%, CRT: 0% (p<0.01 by ANOVA for AVB and CRT) • A-pacing rate similar in all groups at 15–20% (p=NS) 	Conclusions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regardless of indication for pacing, those with PPM have a significantly higher prevalence of SAS (59%) than the general population despite relatively few symptoms of SAS (mean ESS =7) • The majority of the SA is obstructive even in those with HFrEF/CRT • Authors call for systematic screening of PPM recipients for SAS due to the high prevalence and potential CV consequences of SAS Comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No control group w/o PPM for comparison of ESS and PSG results • Some elements inconsistent with previous observations including lack of correlation of AHI with age or BMI and the preponderance of OSA rather than CSA in the HFrEF/CRT group

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevalence of SAS was similar in those with or w/o HTN, CAD or DM, regardless of pacing indication. • 75% of SDB events were hypopneas • All pts had mixed OSA and CSA. Most apneic events were obstructive, including in the CRT group • <5% of pts had predominantly CSA, regardless of pacing indication • No correlation between ESS and AHI (r=0.01; p=NS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Although atrial pacing occurred <20% of the time with lower pacing rate of 50 bpm, it was not entirely eliminated atrial pacing has been linked in some studies to reductions in SDB
--	--	--	--	---

Data Supplement 6. RCTs of Implantable Loop Recorder in Patients With Documented or Suspected Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Section 4.3.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published; PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
RAST Krahn AD, et al. 2001 (72) 11435336	Aim: To find out whether prolonged monitoring strategy is better than conventional strategy in the evaluation of recurrent syncope Study type: Prospective randomized trial Size: 60 pts	Inclusion criteria: Recurrent unexplained syncope or syncope X 1 associated with injury Exclusion criteria: LVEF <35%, <1 y expected survival, unable to provide follow-up or consent, clear NMS	Intervention: ILR (MDT Reveal) monitoring for 1 y (N=27) Comparator: Conventional testing – 2 to 4 wk of external loop recorder, TTT and EP testing (SNRT, SACT, antegrade/retrograde conduction, programmed electrical stimulation) (N=30) Crossover was allowed if Dx was unable to be made.	• Dx obtained in 14 of 27 pts (ILR group) vs. 6 of 30 pts (conventional group) (52% vs. 20%; p=0.012)	
EaSyAS Farwell DJ, et al. 2004 (73) 15246645	Aim: Investigate the impact of ILRs on unselected population of syncopal pts presenting to one institution Study type: Randomized trial Size: 201 pts	Inclusion criteria: recurrent syncope but no definitive Dx following initial clinical w/u (including CSM and TTT) Exclusion criteria: Structural heart disease	Interventions: CSM+TTT+implantation of loop recorder (N=103) Comparator: CSM+TTT+conventional investigation (N=98) Mean follow-up 276 d	EKG Dx made: 34 (33%) in ILR group vs. 4 (4%) in conventional group (HR: 8.93; 95% CI: 3.17–25; p<0.0001)	• Total medical costs: £406 in ILR group vs. £1210 in conventional group (mean difference £809; 95% CI: 123–2730)
FRESH Podoleanu C, et al. 2014 (74) 25241220	Aim: To compare conventional evaluation vs. early use of ILR in low-risk pts with syncope in France	Inclusion criteria: Any recent unexplained syncope (after basic clinical exam)	Intervention: ILR group (N=39) Comparator: Conventional evaluation strategy group (N=39) F/u 14 mo	• Identification of cause: 18 (46.2%) pts in ILR group vs. 2 (5%) pts in conventional group (p<0.001)	• Quality of life was no different between the 2 groups

	<p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective open-label randomized multicenter study</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 78 pts</p>	<p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Significant heart disease, EF <40%, Hx of MI or unstable CAD, Hx of arrhythmia, family Hx of SCD, conduction disturbance on EKG, HOCM, AS, potentially arrhythmogenic drug use</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Days of hospitalization: 5.7 d in ILR group vs. 8.0 d in conventional group (p=0.55) • Number of advanced cardiac tests needed: 0.03/pt in ILR group vs. 0.2/pt in conventional group (p=0.05) 	
--	--	--	--	---	--

Data Supplement 7. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Electrophysiology Testing in Patients With Documented or Suspected Bradycardia or Conduction Disorders (Section 4.3.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published; PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results	Summary/Conclusion; Comments
Denniss AR, et al. 1992 (75) 1572741	<p>Aim: Electrophysiologic studies in pts with unexplained syncope</p> <p>Study type: Prospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 111 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Unexplained syncope, prior general medical evaluation (H&P, CXR, echo, LHC, neuro exam, heart monitor, etc)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Documented tachy or bradyarrhythmia, Dx of vasovagal syncope, postural hypotension, AS, HOCM or prolonged QT interval</p> <p>Mean follow-up 20 mo</p>	<p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No mortality within 30 d of EPS • Pts with heart disease (CAD, HTN, MVP, CMP) had higher incidence of conduction disease (26%) than those w/o heart disease (8%; p<0.05) • Abnormal EPS (conduction disease, SVT, VT) findings in 42% of pts with heart disease but 16% of pts w/o heart disease (p<0.01) • Syncope occurred in only 5% of treated pts with abnormal findings at EPS vs. 24% in the group not receiving any Rx (p<0.05) • No recurrent syncope in 27 pts treated with PPM vs. recurrent syncope in 20 of 84 pts (24%) not given PPM (p<0.05) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostic yield of EPS is increased in pts with heart disease. • Pts with no heart disease had no mortality.

Data Supplement 8. RCTs Comparing Atropine to Placebo for Bradycardia (Section 5.3.2.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Smith I, et al. 1994 (76) 7906108	<p>Aim: To compare the effectiveness in the treatment of intraoperative bradycardia of transesophageal atrial pacing, atropine, and glycopyrrolate</p> <p>Study type: RCT</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Men undergoing elective radical prostatectomy with sufentanil/N₂O/vecuronium anesthetic resulting in bradycardia (<50 bpm or <60 bpm and hypotension)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Pts not ASA status I–III.</p>	<p>Intervention: 15 patients were randomized to each group.</p> <p>Comparator: TAP vs. atropine vs. glycopyrrolate</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Time for heart rate to increase to >70 bpm was shortest in the temporary pacing group. There were no significant differences in postoperative course in the 3 groups.</p> <p>Safety endpoint: N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A

	Size: N=64, of which 45 had treatment for bradycardia				
Sodeck GH et. al. 2007 (77) 17212976	Study type: Observational, retrospective, single center Size: N= 277	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting to ED with symptomatic bradycardia of <60 bpm Exclusion criteria: Asymptomatic bradycardia	1° endpoint: 30 d mortality Results: 107 of 277 pts did well with flat positioning. Of 170 with persisting symptoms, 141 received atropine, 92 catecholamines (orcprenaline in 62, adrenaline in 24 and dopamine/dobutamine in 6), and 7 required transcutaneous pacing. Neither medical therapy nor cause-specific treatment could stabilize 54 of the 170 pts, who required temporary pacing.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial stabilization with bedrest and intravenous atropine or catecholamines was effective in the majority of pts.
Aghamohammadi, H., et al. 2009 (78) 19472126	Aim: To determine the efficacy of pre-induction atropine in preventing bradycardia during laparoscopic urologic surgery Study type: RCT Size: N=64	Inclusion criteria: 15–50 y old undergoing elective urologic laparoscopic surgery Exclusion criteria: History of cardiac arrhythmia, drug induced bradycardia, cardiac disease, contraindication to general surgery.	Intervention: Atropine sulfate 0.6 mg IV Comparator: Saline	1° endpoint: Frequency of bradycardia was 28% in non-atropine group and 0 in the atropine group (p<0.01) Safety endpoint (if relevant): Mean systolic BP decrease was 15.7±10 mm Hg in atropine group and 23.5±9.8 mm Hg in controls (p<0.01)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the pts treated with atropine had bradycardia, compared to 28.1% of pts in the saline group Mean systolic and diastolic BP was more stable in the atropine group.

Data Supplement 9. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Atropine in SND and Hemodynamically Significant Bradycardia (Section 5.3.2.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Brady WJ, et al. 1999 (79) 10459592	<p>Study type: Retrospective observational study of prehospital, emergency department, and hospital records</p> <p>Size: 172 pts met entry criteria, data were available for 131</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Prehospital pts with hemodynamically unstable bradycardia who received atropine by EMS.</p> <p>Hemodynamic instability was defined as the presence of any of the following: ischemic chest pain, dyspnea, syncope, altered mental status, and systolic BP less than 90 mm Hg. Bradycardia was defined as sinus bradycardia, junctional bradycardia, or idioventricular bradycardia (grouped as bradycardia) while AVB included first-, second- (types I and II), or third-degree (grouped as AVB).</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Heart rate response that occurred within one minute following each dose of atropine.</p> <p>Results: 45 pts with AVB, 86 bradycardia. 26 (19.8%) had a partial response, 36 (27.5%) complete, 65 (49.6%) none, and 4 (2.3%) had an adverse response</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One-half of pts had a complete or partial response to atropine and adverse reactions were uncommon. • Pts who presented with non-AVB bradycardia received less atropine and were more likely to arrive in the emergency department with SR.
Swart, G, et al. 1999 (80) 10597081	<p>Study type: Retrospective observational study of</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Prehospital pts with hemodynamically</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Heart rate response that occurred within</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There were no differences in response to atropine in AMI vs. non-AMI pts with

	<p>prehospital, emergency department, and hospital records</p> <p>Size: 172 pts met criteria, data available for 131; 45 presented with AMI</p>	<p>unstable bradycardia or AVB who received atropine by EMS.</p> <p>Hemodynamic instability was defined as the presence of any of the following: ischemic chest pain, dyspnea, syncope, altered mental status, and systolic BP less than 90 mm Hg. Bradycardia was defined as sinus bradycardia, junctional bradycardia, or idioventricular bradycardia (grouped as bradycardia), whereas AVB included first-, second- (types I and II), or third-degree (grouped as AVB).</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1 min following each dose of atropine</p> <p>Results: No differences in AMI vs. non-AMI groups in the likelihood of achieving SR (40% vs. 18.6%; p=0.07), amount of atropine, or additional resuscitative therapies given.</p>	<p>hemodynamically unstable bradycardia or AVB.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hemodynamically unstable AVB was associated with AMI in 55.6% of pts.
<p>Warren JV, et al. 1976 (81) 1244735</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective observational study of pts with AMI and bradycardia</p> <p>Size: N=70</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts in early phase of AMI with heart rate <60 bpm.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Mortality</p> <p>Results: In pts with hypotension complicating presentation with AMI and hypotension, the mortality rate was 75% w/o atropine and 25% with atropine. In pt with normal BP, the mortality rate was 13 and 14% and did not differ between groups.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The use of atropine to treat bradycardia with and w/o hypotension was effective and safe.

			<p>Ventricular fibrillation occurred in 1/45 pts treated with atropine, and 2/45 pts not treated with atropine.</p> <p>Atropine at a dose of 0.5–1 mg was effective in increasing heart rate.</p>	
<p>Scheinman MM, et al. 1975 (82) 1157275</p>	<p>Study type: Observational, single center</p> <p>Size: N=56</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with AMI and sinus bradycardia</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Preterminal pts, during or after CPR, AMI and AVB, use of digitalis, propranolol, or pre-existing sinus bradycardia.</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Heart rate</p> <p>Results: Atropine increased heart rate and BP, abolished PVCs and accelerated idioventricular rhythm. 7 pts had serious adverse effects, including ventricular fibrillation and sinus tachycardia.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atropine had beneficial effects in pts with AMI complicated by sinus bradycardia, particularly at dosages of 0.5–0.6 mg. Higher doses were associated with a higher incidence of adverse effects.

Data Supplement 10. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Isoproterenol Effect in Electrophysiology Laboratory (Section 5.3.2.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Ogawa H, et. al. 1991 (83) 2010943	Study type: Single center study of IV isoproterenol, propranolol, atropine and methoxamine in electrophysiology lab Size: N=36	Inclusion criteria: SND and normal Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: 28 pts with SND, 8 normal pts. Heart rate and recovery time before and after IV drug administration were measured. Results: 17 pts with SND w/o syncope had a normal heart rate response to isoproterenol compared to a significantly lower heart rate response in 11 pts with syncope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many pts with SND showed heart rate increases with isuprel similar to normal controls; this was seen less often in pts with SND and syncope
Mandel WJ, et al. 1972 (84) 5072776	Study type: Single center electrophysiology study Size: N=31	Inclusion criteria: Pts with ECG/monitor documented SND Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Response to autonomic, exercise and pacing maneuvers, isoproterenol infusion at 1–2 mcg/min. Results: 12 pts underwent Isoproterenol testing, all responded with an increase in heart rate to infusion (mean 52 bpm–mean 118 bpm). Comparatively, the response to atropine was less (52 bpm–64 bpm)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In an SND population, response to exercise and isoproterenol was within the expected normal range. Relative unresponsiveness of heart rate to atropine was noted in several pts. The study concluded this small group of pts with SND are characterized by normal sympathetic reactivity and abnormal parasympathetic reactivity.
Strauss HC, et al. 1976 (85) 1260979	Study type: Single center electrophysiology study Size: N=20	Inclusion criteria: Pts with ECG documented SND Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Conduction times, response to atropine and isoproterenol infusion Results: Graded infusion of isoproterenol resulted in 19 pts, 4 required a dosage higher than 28.3 ng/kg/min to produce a 20% decrease in sinus cycle length. 19 pts received 1 mg of atropine, resulting in a mean reduction of sinus cycle length of 19%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heart rate increased in response to atropine and isuprel in pts with SND. Higher doses of isoproterenol may be required.

Data Supplement 11. RCTs of Dopamine in Bradycardia (Section 5.3.2.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'PrePACE' Morrison LJ, et al. 2006 (86) 17933452 	<p>Aim: To evaluate the feasibility of a RCT of transcutaneous pacing vs. dopamine for atropine and fluid refractory bradycardia in the prehospital setting.</p> <p>Study type: RCT</p> <p>Size: 151 met criteria, 82 enrolled</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Unstable bradycardia unresponsive to fluid and atropine: heart rate <60/min and systolic BP (SBP) <80 mm Hg; or heart rate <60/min and SBP <100 mm Hg and at least one additional sign/symptom</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Advance directives, trauma, hyperthermia, hypothermia or cardiac arrest, pts in whom it was not possible to start an intravenous line.</p>	<p>Intervention: All pts received 250 ml saline IV bolus. If nonresponse, pts received atropine 1 mg, repeated if improved. If pts failed to respond, they were randomized to transcutaneous pacing (with midazolam) vs. dopamine</p> <p>Comparator: Dopamine starting at 5 mcg/kg/min, increasing the dose by 5 mcg/kg min every 2 min until an improvement in signs and symptoms was observed, maximum dose of 20 mcg/kg/min</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge</p> <p>Safety endpoint (if relevant): Ventricular arrhythmia, cutaneous burns, chest wall discomfort, cardiac arrest, TCP failure.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survival to hospital discharge was similar in both groups (70% vs. 69%; p=0.93), as were 2° outcomes.

Data Supplement 12. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Calcium, Glucagon and High Dose Insulin Therapy to treat Beta-Blocker and Calcium Channel Blocker Toxicity (CCB) (Section 5.3.2.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
<p>Howarth DM, et al. 1994 (87) 7909677</p>	<p>Study type: Multi-center observational study of CCB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Admission for CCB overdose</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Clinical outcome</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atropine was only effective after IV calcium was administered • Calcium often reversed hypotension and bradycardia, but

	overdose in an Australian population Size: N=15		Results: All pts treated with oral activated charcoal, most required calcium, atropine, and inotropic support. 4 pts died.	atropine and inotropic support were frequently required
Ramoska EA, et al. 1993 (88) 8427431	Study type: Retrospective, observational, 3 poison control centers Size: N= 138	Inclusion criteria: Hospitalized pts with CCB ingestion Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical outcomes Results: There were no deaths. Ipecac (26%), lavage (40%), and activated charcoal (81%) were administered. Calcium was administered to 23 pts with sinus node suppression; 64% responded with an increased heart rate. Dopamine had no effect on bradycardia. Atropine was used in 7 pts with SND, 29% responded with an increased heart rate. Transvenous pacing was used in 4 pts. Isoproterenol increased heart rate in 2 pts with SND, but not the pt with AVB. Glucagon increased BP w/o effect on heart rate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypotension, dysrhythmias, and depression of the sinus node occurred with equal frequency in verapamil, nifedipine, and diltiazem overdose. AVB was more common and severe with verapamil. • Although IV calcium was not universally effective, its use was associated with clinical improvement in hemodynamic parameters in the majority. • No dose response relationship for either calcium gluconate or calcium chloride was noted
St-Onge M, et al. 2014 (89) 25283255	Study type: Systematic review Size: 216 studies	Inclusion criteria: Studies examining effects of various treatments for CCB poisoning for efficacy. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Efficacy of treatments for CCB poisoning with primary outcomes of mortality and hemodynamic parameters Results: 117 case reports of 216 studies. 7 animal studies showing hemodynamic and mortality improvement with calcium. In humans, 11 case series and 21 case reports were inconsistent in demonstrating benefit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence to support IV calcium in CCB overdose is of low quality, but animal studies and human case reports and series often demonstrate improved hemodynamic parameters, and adverse effects (hypercalcemia) are rare.
GLUCAGON				
Love JN, et al. 1998 (90) 9674488	Study type: Retrospective, observational, single center Size: N=9	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting with symptomatic bradycardia who received glucagon therapy. Exclusion criteria: Clinical response to atropine	1° endpoint: Clinical improvement in heart rate and perfusion. Results: 9 pts were receiving BB, CCB, or digoxin therapy. Heart rate and BP increased significantly in all but one pt who received glucagon.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8/9 pts presenting with symptomatic bradycardia, that may have been caused or exacerbated by chronic BB, CCB, or digoxin therapy demonstrated clinical improvement with glucagon after failing atropine therapy.

Bailey B 2003 (91) 14514004	Study type: Systematic review Size: N=30 (all animal)	Inclusion criteria: Studies evaluating glucagon use in BB and CCB overdose. Exclusion criteria: Case report or case series.	1° endpoint: Effect of glucagon on heart rate, arterial pressure, contractility, cardiac output and survival in BB or CCB overdose. Results: 5 animal studies of BB overdose, glucagon increased heart rate, but effect on survival was unclear. In 6 animal studies of CCB overdose, glucagon transiently increased heart rate and reversed AVB w/o effect on survival.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence supporting the use of glucagon in the management of pts with BB or CCB overdose is limited, but demonstrates transient improvement in heart rate and conduction.
St-Onge M, et al. 2014 (89) 25283255	Study type: Systematic review Size: 216 studies	Inclusion criteria: Studies examining effects of various treatments for CCB poisoning for efficacy. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Efficacy of treatments for CCB poisoning with primary outcomes of mortality and hemodynamic parameters Results: 2 of 3 animal studies, and 1 of 3 human case series showed improvement in heart rate with glucagon.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence to support the use of glucagon in CCB overdose is scant. Hyperglycemia and vomiting were side effects seen in case reports.
HIGH DOSE INSULIN THERAPY				
Engelbrechtsen KM, et al. 2011 (92) 21563902	Study type: Systematic review Size: 72 studies	Inclusion criteria: N/A Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Efficacy Results: Clinical data are limited; animal studies and case reports demonstrate safety and survival in BB and CCB poisoning is superior when treated with HDIT compared with calcium, glucagon, epinephrine, and vasopressin.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence to support HDIT is of low quality, but validates safety and efficacy in the treatment of BB and CCB toxicity.
St-Onge M, et al. 2014 (89) 25283255	Study type: Systematic review Size: 216 studies	Inclusion criteria: Studies examining effects of various treatments for CCB poisoning for efficacy. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Efficacy of treatments for CCB poisoning with primary outcomes of mortality and hemodynamic parameters Results: One observational study in humans of HDIT showed improved hemodynamic parameters and decreased mortality with risk of hypoglycemia and hypokalemia.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence to support HDIT in CCB overdose is of low quality, but observational data demonstrate improved hemodynamics and survival.
Greene SL, et al. 2007 (93) 17622512	Study type: Prospective, single center, observational	Inclusion criteria: Pts with CCB toxicity and hypotension treated with HDIT	1° endpoint: Safety of HDIT in CCB overdose. Results: 6/7 pts survived.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HDIT in the setting of hemodynamically significant CCB overdose was safe in a critical care setting. Systolic BP was

	<u>Size:</u> N=7	<u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A		increased by insulin loading. Mild hypoglycemia and hypokalemia were noted.
--	------------------	--------------------------------	--	---

Data Supplement 13. RCTs Comparing Anti-Digoxin Fab to placebo (Section 5.3.2.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Eddleston M, et al. 2000 (94) 10768435	<u>Aim:</u> To determine effectiveness of anti-digoxin Fab fragments in reversing oleander induced arrhythmias <u>Study type:</u> RCT <u>Size:</u> N=66	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with Hx of yellow oleander ingestion with sinus bradycardia <40 bpm, sinus arrest or block, atrial tachyarrhythmias, or 2 nd or 3 rd degree heart block. <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Hypotension (SBP < 80 mm Hg), ventricular tachycardia with shock	<u>Intervention:</u> 1200 mg of anti-digoxin antibodies <u>Comparator:</u> Saline placebo	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Reversal of original arrhythmia in 15/24 treated pts vs. 2/32 controls. Heart rate increased from 49.1 bpm to 66.8 bpm in 2 h in treated pts, did not change in controls (p<0.001). Serum potassium decreased from 4.9 mmol/L to 4.1 mmol/L at 2 h in cases, not in controls (p< 0.001). <u>Safety endpoint (if relevant):</u> N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anti-digoxin Fab antibody therapy increased heart rate and improved time to reversal of bradycardia.

Data Supplement 14. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Digoxin Fab Antibody Fragments (Section 5.3.2.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Lapostolle F, et al. 2008 (95) 18824911	Study type: Retrospective, single center, observational Size: N=141	Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted with digitalis poisoning Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Survival Results: 66/141 pts received Digoxin Fab. 5 pts died. No adverse effects were noted.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Therapy of digitalis overdose with digoxin Fab was associated with a mortality rate of 7.6%
Lapostolle F, et al. 2008 (96) 18389220	Study type: Retrospective, observational, multi- center (20) Size: N=838	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting with elevated digitalis concentration. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Use and efficacy of digoxin antibody. Results: 67/838 pts received digoxin antibody. Mortality was significantly lower in Fab treated pts (6% vs. 15%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Digoxin antibody therapy may be underused, and is associated with improved mortality.
Chan BS and Buckley NA, 2014 (97) 25089630	Study type: Systematic Review Size: N= 140 studies	Inclusion criteria: N/A Exclusion criteria: Case reports w/o pharmacologic data.	1° endpoint: Effectiveness, pharmacology, safety and dosage of digoxin-Fab in pts with digoxin overdose. Results: There were no RCT of digoxin Fab for the treatment of digoxin toxicity. 10 case series with 2080 pts were evaluated. Clinical response occurs in 50–90% of pts within 30–45 min. Exacerbation of HF, tachycardia, hypokalemia, and allergic reactions happen in <10%.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Digoxin Fab is safe and indicated in pts with life-threatening arrhythmias and an elevated digoxin concentration. • Full neutralizing dosages may not be required. In acute toxicity, 80 mg, repeated as required, is likely to be effective. In chronic toxicity, 40 mg with repeat in 60 min (or sooner if pt is unstable) is likely to be beneficial.
Smith TW, et al. 1982 (98) 6752715	Study type: Observational, single center Size: N=26	Inclusion criteria: Pts with digitalis toxicity and arrhythmia or hyperkalemia refractory to initial therapy Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Morality Results: 21/26 pts survived. Arrhythmia and hyperkalemia were rapidly reversed by digoxin Fab, and no adverse reactions were seen.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Digoxin Fab is an effective and safe therapy for digitalis toxicity associated with arrhythmias or hyperkalemia
Wenger TL, et al. 1985 (99) 3886748	Study type: Observational multi- center (20)	Inclusion criteria: Pts with life-threatening digitalis toxicity.	1° endpoint: Clinical outcome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Life-threatening digoxin toxicity can be safely and effectively treated with digoxin Fab.

	<u>Size:</u> N=63	<u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Results:</u> Reversal of clinical toxicity within 30 min of administration. Digoxin concentration decreased to undetectable. No adverse reactions.	
Antman EM, et al. 1990 (100) 2188752	<u>Study type:</u> Observational multi-center (21) <u>Size:</u> N=150	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with digitalis toxicity and life-threatening cardiac rhythm disturbances or hyperkalemia refractory or likely to be refractory to conventional therapy. <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Response to therapy. <u>Results:</u> 119/148 resolved all clinical evidence of toxicity, 14 improved, 15 showed no response. 5 pts were on hemodialysis and improved.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Digoxin Fab is an effective antidote to digitalis toxicity.
Hickey AR, et al. 1991 (101) 1993775	<u>Study type:</u> Observational, retrospective. <u>Size:</u> N=717	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Adults who received digoxin Fab for digitalis intoxication. <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Clinical response <u>Results:</u> 50% complete, 24% partial, and 12% had no response. 0.8% had an allergic reaction. 2.8% developed recurrent toxicity, which was associated with inadequate dosing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Digoxin Fab was well tolerated and effective in pts with digitalis toxicity.
Wenger TL, 1991 (102) 1997017	<u>Study type:</u> observational, retrospective <u>Size:</u> N/A	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts in multicenter study of digoxin Fab, a postmarket surveillance study, and any reports in the literature of pts treated with digoxin Fab with renal dysfunction. <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Clinical response <u>Results:</u> No evidence of decreased safety or efficacy with respect to response or recurrence. 28 subjects were anephric, one of these pts possibly had recrudescence toxicity with AVB.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Digoxin Fab was effective and safe in pts with digitalis toxicity and renal dysfunction.

Data Supplement 15. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Dialysis for Digoxin Toxicity (Section 5.3.2.3 – Patton)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
EXTRIP Mowry JB, et al. 2016 (103) 26795743	Study type: Systematic review Size: N= 77 articles	Inclusion criteria: Use of dialysis in digitalis toxicity Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical outcome and toxicokinetic data. Results: Only in vitro, animal studies, case reports, and case series were identified, with a total of 84 pts. Digoxin is slightly dialyzable, and dialysis is unlikely to improve the outcome of digoxin toxicity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The workgroup suggested against the use of dialysis in cases of digoxin toxicity, whether or not digoxin Fab was available.

Data Supplement 16. RCTs Comparing Methylxanthines in Bradycardic Arrest (Section 5.3.2.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Abu-Laban RB, et al. 2006 (104) 16698410	Aim: To determine if administration of aminophylline increases ROSC in bradycardic cardiac arrest Study type: RCT Size: N= 971	Inclusion criteria: Asystole, or PEA <60 bpm, unresponsive to epinephrine and atropine Exclusion criteria: Age <16 y, pregnancy, DNR, evidence of hemorrhage, trauma or hypothermia, dialysis, theophylline sensitivity or use.	Intervention: 250 mg aminophylline IV x2. Comparator: Placebo	1° endpoint: ROSC Safety endpoint (if relevant): N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was no difference in ROSC in the group that received aminophylline adjunctive therapy. Use of aminophylline was associated with an increase in non-sinus tachycardias
Hurley KF, et al. 2015 (105) 26593309	Study type: Systematic Review of effects of aminophylline in the treatment of	Inclusion criteria: All randomized trials of aminophylline vs. placebo in adults with		1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prehospital administration of aminophylline in

	bradycardic cardiac arrest Size: 5 trials, N=1254 pts	nontraumatic bradycardic cardiac arrest treated with ACLS		Results: There was no survival benefit of aminophylline (RR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.12–1.39); or on survival to hospital admission (RR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.61–1.39); or ROSC (RR: 1.15; 95% CI: 0.89–1.49).	bradysystolic arrest is not associated with improved survival, ROSC, or survival to hospital admission.
--	---	---	--	--	---

Data Supplement 17. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Methylxanthines for acute therapy of bradycardia due to spinal cord injury or post-heart transplant (Section 5.3.2.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Post-heart Transplant				
Redmond JM, et al. 2005 (106) 8443190	Study type: Nonrandomized trial of oral theophylline Size: N=15	Inclusion criteria: Sinus or nodal bradycardia or sinus arrest post-heart transplant Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: restoration of normal SR Results: Normal SR was restored with a rate >90 bpm in 93.3% given theophylline • Therapy was initiated 3–24 d after transplantation • Mean duration of treatment was 57.4 d	• Oral theophylline was effective at restoring SR at a desirable heart rate. • Compared to historical controls, placement of a PPM was reduced from 16.1% to 2.6%
Bertolet BD, et al. 1996 (107) 8800116	Study type: Nonrandomized trial of oral theophylline Size: N=29	Inclusion criteria: Bradyarrhythmia (heart rate <70 bpm) in heart transplant recipients Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Mean heart rate, length of stay Results: Mean heart rate increased from 62±7 to 89±10 after administration of theophylline. Length of stay did not differ.	• Theophylline was effective at increasing heart rate post-transplant
Rothman SA, et al. 1995 (108) 7654727	Study type: Observational study	Inclusion criteria: Post-heart transplant pts Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Effects of IV aminophylline on heart transplant recipients	• Both groups had abnormal sinus node recovery times. Aminophylline did not correct this in transplant recipients with or w/o SND.

	Size: N=26 (13 with and 13 w/o sinus node dysfunction)		Results: Sinus node testing was performed in electrophysiology lab before and after infusion of 6 mg/kg of aminophylline.	
Heinz G, et al. 1993 (109) 8427182	Study type: observational single center. Size: N=9	Inclusion criteria: Pts with and w/o SND after heart transplant Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Changes in sinus node recovery time from baseline after aminophylline infusion Results: Normalization of sinus node function was seen after aminophylline 0.48 gm IV in all 3 pts with abnormal sinus node function.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aminophylline can improve sinus node function in heart transplant recipients with SND
Spinal Cord Injury				
Pasnoori VR, et al. 2004 (110) 14766019	Study type: Case series Size: N=2	Inclusion criteria: Pts with severe bradycardia and spinal cord injury Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Effects of aminophylline Results: Increased heart rate and BP with 300 mg IV aminophylline and 5 mg/kg/h infusion, changed to theophylline after 2 d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of aminophylline, followed by theophylline in atropine resistant bradycardia was associated with increased heart rate and avoidance of PM placement
Sadaka F, et al. 2010 (111) 20878263	Study type: Observational case series Size: N=6	Inclusion criteria: Pts with severe bradycardia due to spinal cord injury Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Heart rate response to theophylline Results: Heart rates improved in all 6 pts with severe bradycardias and hypotension. Theophylline was used as a second-line agent (after atropine and/or dopamine) in 4/6, and first-line in 2/6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Theophylline was effective and safe as a second-line agent, and potentially a first-line agent for treatment of hemodynamically unstable bradycardia in pts with acute spinal cord injury. Effective dosages resulted in serum levels below the therapeutic range of 10–20 mcg/ml. No pt required a PM.
Schulz-Stübner S, 2005 (112) 16301263	Study type: Case series Size: N=3	Inclusion criteria: Pts with severe bradycardia due to spinal cord injury Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Heart rate response to theophylline Results: Heart rates increased from 30–40 with pauses to 60–70 after theophylline. Increased respiratory drive was seen in one pt.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved heart rate was seen in all 3 pts after IV theophylline, and maintained with oral theophylline Dosages were 200 mg IV theophylline and 50–100 mg po every 8 h

Data Supplement 18. Trials of Temporary Transesophageal or Transvenous Pacing (Section 5.3.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Smith I, et al. 1994 (76) 7906108	Aim: To compare the effectiveness in the treatment of intraoperative bradycardia of transesophageal atrial pacing, atropine, and glycopyrrolate Study type: RCT Size: N=64, of which 45 had treatment for bradycardia	Inclusion criteria: Men undergoing elective radical prostatectomy with sufentanil/N ₂ O/vecuronium anesthetic resulting in bradycardia (<50 bpm or <60 bpm and hypotension) Exclusion criteria: Pts not ASA status I-IFII.	Intervention: 15 pts were randomized to each group. Comparator: TAP vs. atropine vs. glycopyrrolate	1° endpoint: Time for heart rate to increase to >70 bpm was shortest in the temporary pacing group. There were no significant differences in postoperative course in the 3 groups. Safety endpoint: N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transesophageal pacing route is relevant to SND.
Ferguson JD, et.al. 1997 (113) 9217762	Aim: To compare effectiveness of conventional TTVP with balloon floatation pacing catheters. Study type: Randomized, parallel-group trial Size: N= 40	Inclusion criteria: Pts needing TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: Balloon floatation pacing catheter. Comparator: Conventional TTVP.	1° endpoint: Procedural outcomes Safety endpoint: Complications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only 1/40 pts had sinus arrest. • Satisfactory TTVP positions were more frequently achieved with a reduction in procedure and fluoroscopy time using the balloon catheter. • Adverse event rates (crossover, dislodgement) were similar, but death and perforation did not occur in the balloon catheter group.

Data Supplement 19. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Temporary Transvenous Pacing (TTVP) (Section 5.3.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
---	----------------------------------	--------------------	---	----------------------------------

Lopez Ayerbe J, et al. 2004 (114) 15544753	Study type: Retrospective, observational, single-center Size: N=530	Inclusion criteria: Pts who underwent TTVP for symptomatic bradycardia. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical outcomes Results: Indications induced symptomatic SSS in 7.5% of implants, use in generator replacement in 14.7%, bradycardic drug toxicity in 12.2%. Femoral access was used in 99%, and duration was 4.2 d. 69.6% of pts required a PPM. 6.4% of pts died, 3 deaths were attributable to temporary pacing. There were complications in 22%, including dislodgement in 9%.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP is effective, yet has a complication rate of 22%, including an associated 6% mortality rate. • Use of TTVP for treatment of SND is comparatively rare.
Hynes JK, et al. 1983 (115) 6823157	Study type: Retrospective, observational, single-center Size: N=1022	Inclusion criteria: Pts in the coronary care unit with TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical outcomes Results: Access was antecubital in 59%, subclavian in 17%, right internal jugular in 11%, and femoral in 5%. Complications occurred in 13.7% with no deaths. The right internal jugular approach was associated with a decreased risk of complications.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was associated with an overall risk of complications in approximately 14% of pts.
Murphy JJ, 1996 (116) 8620131	Study type: Retrospective, observational, multicenter (18) Size: N=194	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical outcomes Results: 129/194 TTVP were implanted for CHB. Immediate or delayed complications occurred in 68 pts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was associated with complications in 35% of pts, including vascular access difficulties, dislodgement, infection, and sepsis.
Austin JL, et al. 1982 (117) 7058746	Study type: Retrospective, observational. Single center Size: N=100	Inclusion criteria: Pts who received TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Complications and malfunction Results: 113 TTVPs were placed in 100 pts. Failure to capture or sense occurred in 37% and complications in 20%. These included ventricular arrhythmia, fever, pulmonary emboli, perforation, sepsis and phlebitis. There were no deaths.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was associated with a 20% complication rate, and a high rate of malfunction. • 21/100 subjects underwent TTVP for SND; 18 for PM failure not otherwise specified
Munoz Bono JM, et al. 2011 (118) 21640435	Study type: Prospective, observational	Inclusion criteria: Pts in cardiac intensive care	1° endpoint: Clinical indications, morbidity, mortality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was associated with a risk of complications in 40%.

	Size: N=182	unit who underwent TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	Results: Indication for TTVP was CHB in 77%, access was via the femoral vein in 92%, and complications occurred in 40.11%. Predictors of complications were restlessness, CV risk factors, and jugular or subclavian access.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was indicated for SND in 9.3%, and bradycardia from drug intoxication in 12.1%
Betts TR, 2003 (119) 12954959	Study type: Prospective, observational, multi-center (5). Size: N=111	Inclusion criteria: Pts requiring TTVP Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Procedural and pt characteristics, outcomes. Results: 144 procedures in 111 pts. Venous access was subclavian in 47%, jugular in 33%, and femoral in 20%. There were procedural complications in 32% of the procedures; risk was decreased for experienced operators. Infection risk increased with dwell time >48 h. Complications delayed permanent implant in 23% of pts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was associated with a high risk of complications. • Infectious risk is increased with longer time of implant. • Immediate complication risk was lower for experienced operators. • Pacing indication was not described.
Jowett NI, et al. 1989 (120) 2594596	Study type: Retrospective, observational, single center Size: N=162	Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted to coronary care unit who underwent TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical outcomes. Results: The majority of TTVP was for CHB and MI (84.6%). 15.4% of TTVPs were placed for symptomatic bradycardia, including SND. Complications occurred in 19.8%, including arrhythmias during insertion, dislodgement, pneumothorax, and perforation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP was associated with a 19.8% complication rate. Some TTVP was prophylactic, and may not have been indicated. • A minority of TTVP was performed for SND (15%)
Weinstein J, et al. 1973 (121) 4697639	Study type: Prospective, observational, single center Size: N=100	Inclusion criteria: Pts with bradycardia and conduction disease in the acute setting Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical response and stability. Results: 17% of placements required repositioning, 2 instances of ventricular tachycardia, 2 perforations, 2 infections. Placement and stability was improved compared with prior historical series of 100 pts with jugular and subclavian approach.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP via the femoral approach was found to be reliable, and rapid with a reasonable complication rate in critically ill pts. • Pts were restricted to bedrest after dislodgements were noted to be associated with activity. • 10% of TTVP were placed for sinus bradycardia

Garcia Guerrero JJ, et al. 2010 (122) 20667893	Study type: Prospective, observational, single center Size: N=47	Inclusion criteria: Pts requiring TTVP who underwent novel active fixation femoral TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Rate of deep venous thrombosis Results: Asymptomatic thrombosis was seen in 6.4%, compared with 25–39% in other observational reports. No pulmonary emboli were noted on lung scan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mobility afforded by an active fixation TTVP is associated with a decreased risk of deep venous thrombosis. • Pacing indications were not reported.
Nolewajka AJ, et al. 1980 (123) 7398027	Study type: Prospective, observational Size: N=29	Inclusion criteria: Pts requiring TTVP. Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Femoral vein thrombosis and pulmonary emboli Results: 34% of pts had femoral vein thrombosis, and 60% had lung scan evidence of pulmonary emboli.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTVP via femoral vein access is associated with a high rate of thromboembolic complications, despite low-dose heparin. • 2/29 pts received TTVP for SND.
Sodeck GH, et al. 2006 (77) 17212976	Study type: Observational, retrospective, single center Size: N=277	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting to ED with compromising bradycardia Exclusion criteria: Asymptomatic bradycardia	1° endpoint: 30 d mortality Results: 48% AVB, 17% SB/AVB, Sinus arrest 15%, AF 14%, PM failure 6%. 20% required transvenous pacing for stabilization, 50% permanent pacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not all pts with bradycardia required temporary pacing
Jou YL, et al. 2010 (124) 20946290	Study type: Observational, retrospective, single-center Size: N=509	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting with bradycardia requiring temporary pacing Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Clinical characteristics and underlying etiologies Results: 64% of temporary pacers were for AVB. AAD use correlated with SND in 38%. Increasing AVB seen over time	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Idiopathic degeneration was related to AVB, whereas extrinsic etiologies were related to SND.
McCann P, 2006 (125) 17235372	Study type: Systematic review of temporary cardiac pacing Size: N=15 studies, 3817 subjects	Inclusion criteria: Studies of temporary pacing wires Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Complication by access site, outcomes Results: The most common indication was AVB. Mean complication rate was 26.5% (10–59.9%), including access failure, lead malposition, sepsis, arterial puncture, lung or myocardial puncture, or arrhythmia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal jugular vein access was associated with a lower complication rate compared with subclavian and femoral veins • Complications appear to be lower if operator is specialized

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antibiotics and ultrasound access reduced the risk of complications. • Methodologic limitations
Bjornstad CC, et al. 2012 (126) 22390277	Study type: Observational, prospective, 5 center study Size: N = 50	Inclusion criteria: All pts with temporary cardiac pacing wires Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes Results: 30% with SND. Permanent pacing required in 60%, repeat procedures in 12%, mortality 18%, bacteremia 6%.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High rates of subsequent PPM implantation • High rates of complications.

Data Supplement 20. RCTs of Transcutaneous Pacing (Section 5.3.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
PrePACE Morrison LJ, et al. 2006 (86) 17933452	Aim: To evaluate the feasibility of a RCT of transcutaneous pacing vs. dopamine for atropine and fluid refractory bradycardia in the prehospital setting. Study type: RCT Size: 151 met criteria, 82 enrolled	Inclusion criteria: Unstable bradycardia unresponsive to fluid and atropine: heart rate <60 per minute and systolic BP (SBP) <80 mm Hg; or heart rate <60/min and SBP <100 mm Hg and at least one additional sign/symptom Exclusion criteria: Advance directives, trauma, hyperthermia, hypothermia or cardiac arrest, pts in whom it was not possible to start an intravenous line.	Intervention: All pts received 250 ml saline IV bolus. If nonresponse, pts received atropine 1 mg, repeated if improved. If pts failed to respond, they were randomized to transcutaneous pacing (with midazolam) vs. comparator. Comparator: Dopamine starting at 5 mcg/kg min, increasing the dose by 5 mcg/kg min every 2 min until an improvement in signs and symptoms was observed, maximum dose of 20 mcg/kg min	1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge Safety endpoint (if relevant): Ventricular arrhythmia, cutaneous burns, chest wall discomfort, cardiac arrest, TCP failure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survival to hospital discharge was similar in both groups (70% vs. 69%; p=0.93), as were 2° outcomes. Paramedics chose not to enroll 20 pts due to pain concerns. 71% of TCP pts experienced chest discomfort during pacing.
Barthell E, et al. 1988 (127) 3056132	Aim: To determine if prehospital cardiac pacing affects mortality Study type: RCT) Size: N=239; 226 pulseless (asystole and EMD); 13 with hemodynamically significant bradycardia	Inclusion criteria: Pts with hemodynamically significant bradycardia Exclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: Transcutaneous pacing Comparator: ACLS	1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission (21.4% in pacing group vs. 20.6%) and survival to discharge (6.8% vs. 4.4%) Safety endpoint: None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Randomization by day No difference in rhythm subgroups of asystole vs. EMD Improved survival in hypotensive bradycardic group (6/6 resuscitated, 5/6 survived, vs. 2/7 and 1/7 controls)

Data Supplement 21. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Transcutaneous Pacing (Section 5.3.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
---	----------------------------------	--------------------	---	----------------------------------

<p>Sherbino J, et al. 2006 (128) 16814446</p>	<p><u>Study type:</u> Systematic review of the efficacy of transcutaneous pacing in the management of symptomatic bradycardia and bradysystolic arrest in the prehospital setting</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 7 studies</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case series, RCTs, and one subgroup analysis of transcutaneous pacing in symptomatic bradycardia or bradysystolic arrest. inclusion criteria were euthermic, nontraumatized adults, who experienced prehospital hemodynamically symptomatic bradycardia or bradysystolic cardiac arrest. Symptomatic bradycardia was defined a priori as a heart rate less than 60 bpm and at least one of the following: systolic BP less than 80 mm Hg; a change in mental status; angina pectoris; or acute pulmonary oedema.⁸ Bradysystolic cardiac arrest was defined as the absence of a palpable pulse in the presence of an electrocardiographic bradycardic or asystolic rhythm.</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Survival to hospital discharge</p> <p><u>Results:</u> No difference in survival to hospital discharge was noted in bradysystolic cardiac arrest. A subgroup analysis in symptomatic bradycardia study showed borderline improved survival to discharge.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence to support the use of transcutaneous pacing in the prehospital setting for symptomatic bradycardia is insufficient. • Symptomatic bradycardia was defined as a heart rate less than 60 bpm and at least one of: systolic BP <80 mm Hg, change in mental status, angina, or pulmonary edema; the relevance to acute SND is therefore unclear.
<p>Hedges JR, et al. 1991 (129) 1721129</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> To determine the importance of hemodynamic status and effect of prehospital transcutaneous pacing in pts with symptomatic bradycardia</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Witnessed CV decompensation and initial bradycardia</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u></p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Survival to hospital discharge.</p> <p><u>Safety endpoint:</u> N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survival to hospital discharge showed a trend towards improvement in the pacing group (15% vs. 0%; p=0.07)

	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Transcutaneous pacing</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> No pacing</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=51</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with a palpable pulse on EMS arrival had better survival (80% in paced group vs. 0%; p= 0.02)
Zoll PM, et al., 1985 (130) 3886190	<p><u>Aim:</u> To evaluate the effectiveness of external noninvasive TCP</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective, observational, multicenter (3)</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=134</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts requiring or likely to require temporary pacing.</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Clinical outcomes</p> <p><u>Results:</u> TCP was well tolerated in 73/82 awake pts, and successfully evoked response in 105/134.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TCP was clinically useful. • Over 25% of enrolled subjects had SND as an indication for pacing.
Clinton JE, et al. 1985 (131) 3914511	<p><u>Study type:</u> Observational, single center</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=37</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Emergency room pts with hypotension and bradycardia</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Successful pacing capture and hemodynamic pacing response.</p> <p><u>Results:</u> 8/37 pts were successfully treated with TCP. Surviving responders were more likely to present with sinus bradycardia, AF with bradycardia, or CHB, compared to asystole.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TCP can effectively treat hemodynamically significant bradycardia, but does not appear to be useful in asystole. • 2/37 pts had SND as an indication for pacing.

Data Supplement 22. RCTs of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.4.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published; PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
ADEPT Lamas GA, et al. 2007 (132) 17765608	<p><u>Aim:</u> To determine whether DDDR pacing improves QOL when</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Age ≥21 y, Class I or 2A indication for pacing, demonstrated chronotropic incompetence,</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> MDT Kappa 400 DDDR pacemaker programmed to DDDR (N=443)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total exercise time (6 mo): 7.3 vs. 7.1 min (p=0.98) • Specific Activity Scale (SAS) at 1 y: 1.5 vs. 1.6 (p=0.96) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No differences in other 2° QOL endpoints • CHF hospitalizations in DDDR group vs. DDD

	<p>compared to DDD pacing alone</p> <p>Study type: Multi-center single-blind RCT</p> <p>Size: 872 pts</p>	<p>cannot exceed 80% of MPHR (220-age) at peak exercise</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: AF for >1 mo, overt CHF, serious chronic illness, score of <17 on MMSE, inability to tolerate high-rate pacing, severe limitations of functional capacity</p>	<p>Comparator: MDT Kappa 400 DDDR pacemaker programmed to DDD (N=429)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 1 y 64% had SND Vp% >90 in both groups</p>		<p>group: 7.3% vs. 3.5%; p=0.01</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No differences in other clinical endpoints
<p>THEOPACE Alboni P, et al. 1997 (133) 9236443</p>	<p>Aim: To prospectively assess the effects of PPMs and theophylline in pts with SSS</p> <p>Study type: Randomized controlled trial</p> <p>Size: 107 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Age ≥45 y, mean resting sinus rate <50 bpm and/or intermittent SA block, symptoms attributable to SND</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: very severe SSS, refractory HF, recent MI or stroke, life expectancy <2 y, significant renal or hepatic disease, Hx of VT, prior usage of theophylline, need for BB or CCB</p>	<p>Intervention 1: oral theophylline 550 mg/d (N=36)</p> <p>Intervention 2: DDDR PPM programmed to lower rate of 60–70 ppm and prolonged AV delay (N=36)</p> <p>Comparator: No treatment (N=35)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 19±14 mo</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Syncope: 6 (17%) theophylline, 2(6%) PPM, 8 (23%) control arm: p=0.02 (PPM vs. control); p=0.07 (theophylline vs. control) • HF: 1(3%) theophylline, 1(3%) PPM, 6(17%) control arm: p=0.05 (lower HF in PPM and theophylline vs. control arm) • Permanent AF: 2(6%) theophylline, 3(9%) PPM, 4(11%) control arm: no difference (p=NS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thromboembolism: 3(9%) theophylline, 3(9%) PPM, 1(3%) control arm: no difference (p=NS)

Data Supplement 23. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.4.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
<p>Sasaki Y, et al. 1988 (134) 2462243</p>	<p>Long-term follow-up of pts with SSS</p> <p>Study type: Prospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 49 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with SSS who underwent EPS and were symptomatic from bradycardia and requiring pacing, sinus pause >3 s during EPS</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VVI pacing – 25 pts; atrial/DC pacing – 24 pts • Chronic AF: VVI vs. physiologic pacing group (36% vs. 0%; p<0.01) • Thromboembolism: VVI vs. physiologic pacing group (20% vs. 0%; p<0.05) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In this group of pts with SSS requiring PPM, mode of pacing did not influence the survival rate; however, CV deaths were fewer in the physiologic pacing group. • There were significantly higher incidences of chronic AF and thromboembolism in

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference in HF occurrence 	the VVI group although this group was followed for a significantly longer period of time than the physiologic pacing group (35.1 vs. 19.7 mo; $p<0.01$)
Goldberger JJ, et al. 2011 (135) 21757182	Significance of asx bradycardia for subsequent PM implantation and mortality in pts age >60 y Study type: Retrospective cohort Size: 2,560 pts	Inclusion criteria: Age >60 y, resting heart rate <55 bpm (bradycardia group, N=470) or heart rate between 60–70 bpm (control group, N=2,090) Exclusion criteria: PPM implantation within 2 wk of initial EKG, heart rate outside the above range Mean follow-up 7.2 ± 2.9 y	Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of PPM placement: 9% in bradycardia cohort vs. 5% in control group; $p<0.001$ • Protection against mortality in the bradycardia group (HR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.65–0.94; $p=0.010$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher incidence of PPM implantation in the bradycardia group did not appear until after the first 4 y. • Older outpatients with bradycardia not requiring urgent PPM implantation have very low rate (<1%/y) of subsequent PPM implantation. • Asymptomatic bradycardia has no adverse impact on all-cause mortality and may even be protective.
Denniss AR, et al. 1992 (75) 1572741	Electrophysiologic studies in pts with unexplained syncope Study type: Prospective cohort Size: 111 pts	Inclusion criteria: Unexplained syncope, prior general medical evaluation (H&P, CXR, echo, LHC, neuro exam, heart monitor, etc) Exclusion criteria: Documented tachy or bradyarrhythmia, Dx of vasovagal syncope, postural hypotension, AS, HOCM or prolonged QT interval Mean follow-up 20 mo	Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No mortality within 30 d of EPS • Pts with heart disease (CAD, HTN, MVP, CMP) had higher incidence of conduction disease (26%) than those w/o heart disease (8%; $p<0.05$) • Abnormal EPS (conduction disease, SVT, VT) findings in 42% of pts with heart disease but 16% of pts w/o heart disease ($p<0.01$) • Syncope occurred in only 5% of treated pts with abnormal findings at EPS vs. 24% in the group not receiving any Rx ($p<0.05$) • No recurrent syncope in 27 pts treated with PPM vs. recurrent syncope in 20 of 84 pts (24%) not given PPM ($p<0.05$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostic yield of EPS is increased in pts with heart disease. • Pts with no heart disease had no mortality.
Teichman SL, et al. 1985 (136) 4025122	The value of EPS in syncope of undetermined origin: Report of 150 cases	Inclusion criteria: Pts with syncopal and near-syncopal events (SUO) unexplained after general	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EP abnormality that could explain SUO was demonstrated in 36% of pts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of organic heart disease increased the incidence of positive EPS finding.

	<p>Study type: Prospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 150 pts</p>	<p>medical evaluation, neuro evaluation, CXR, orthostatic, CSM, continuous rhythm monitoring for at least 24 h</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Heart block, bradycardia, pauses >2.5 s, PVCs, VT, SVT, orthostasis</p> <p>Mean follow-up 31 mo</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of organic heart disease was associated with increase in the incidence of EP findings (85% with vs. 64% w/o organic heart disease; $p<0.005$) • Pts with LBBB were more likely to have abnormal EPS than pts with RBBB ($p<0.02$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts who had EPS abnormalities detected and treated had had fewer recurrence of SUO than those with negative EPS. • SUO pts overall had low mortality rates during follow-up (\pmEPS)
Seidl K, et al. 2000 (137) 11227598	<p>Diagnostic assessment of recurrent unexplained syncope with a new subcutaneously ILR</p> <p>Study type: Prospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 133 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Recurrent unexplained syncope with initial nondiagnostic investigations (resting EKG, echo, ambulatory monitor, etc)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p> <p>Mean follow-up 10.8 mo</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Device-related complications in 9% • Definite determination of whether arrhythmia was the cause or not in 54% of pts. • 87% diagnostic yield (72 out of 83 pts) • Arrhythmic cause of syncope found in 44% of pts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ILR is useful for establishing a Dx when symptoms are recurrent but too infrequent for conventional noninvasive monitoring.

Data Supplement 24. RCTs of Clinical Presentation of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published; PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
DANPACE Nielsen JC, et al. 2011 (138) 21300730	<p>Aim: To compare the efficacy of AAIR vs. DDDR pacing in pts with SSS and bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: RCT</p> <p>Size: 1,415 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: SA block or sinus arrest with pauses >2 s, PR \leq260 ms, QRS <120 ms, heart rate <40 while awake</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: AVB, BBB, long-standing PerAF, and</p>	<p>Intervention: Bipolar atrial lead only (AAIR pacing programmed to LR of 60 ppm) – must have 1:1 AV conduction at paced rate of 100 bpm (N=707)</p> <p>Comparator: DDDR pacing programmed to</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mortality: 29.6% (AAIR) vs. 27.3% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.77–1.14; $p=0.52$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PAF: 28.4% (AAIR) vs. 23.0% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.01–1.52; $p=0.042$) • Chronic AF: 11.2% (AAIR) vs. 10.7% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.74–1.39; $p=0.93$) • Stroke: 5.5% (AAIR) vs. 4.8% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 1.11; 95% CI: 0.70–1.77; $p=0.65$)

		carotid sinus hypersensitivity, planned cardiac surgery, life expectancy <1 y	LR of 60 ppm AND to minimize V pacing (N=708) [mean Vp%=65]		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HFH: 27 pts (AAIR) vs. 28 pts (DDDR) (p=0.90) • PPM reoperation: 22.1% (AAIR) vs. 11.9% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 2.00; 95% CI: 1.54–2.61; p<0.001)
--	--	---	---	--	--

Data Supplement 25. RCTs of Permanent Pacing for Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to Sinus Node Dysfunction (Section 5.4.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published: PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
DANISH Andersen HR, et al. 1997 (139) 9652562	Aim: Long-term follow-up of pts from a randomized trial of atrial vs. ventricular pacing for sick-sinus syndrome. To examine whether the beneficial effect of atrial pacing is maintained during extended follow-up of up to 8 y Study type: RCT Size: 225 pts	Inclusion criteria: Symptomatic bradycardia <50 bpm or pause >2 s Exclusion criteria: AVB, chronic AF, BBB, age <50, planned cardiac surgery, cancer, cerebral disease, CVA within 3 mo, major surgery, etc.	Intervention: Single chamber atrial pacing (only if 1:1 AV conduction at atrial pacing rate of 100 bpm) (N=110) Comparator: Single chamber ventricular pacing (N=115) Mean follow-up 5.5 y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All-cause mortality: 39 atrial vs. 57 ventricular (RR: 0.66; 95% CI: 0.44–0.99; p=0.045) • CV mortality: 19 atrial vs. 39 ventricular (RR: 0.47; 95% CI: 0.27–0.82; p=0.0065) • AF: 26 atrial vs. 40 ventricular (RR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.33–0.89; p=0.012) • Thromboembolism: 13 atrial vs. 26 ventricular (RR: 0.47; 95% CI: 0.24–0.92; p=0.023) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of diuretics: significantly higher in the atrial group (p<0.05)
PASE Lamas GA, et al. 1998 (140) 9545357	Aim: To compare DC vs. ventricular only pacing for pts with symptomatic bradycardia Study type: RCT Size: 407 pts	Inclusion criteria: ≥65 y old, in SR, required PPM for prevention or treatment of bradycardia Exclusion criteria: Overt CHF, AF with no documentation of SR within 6 mo, serious noncardiac illnesses, cannot participate in quality-of-life assessments	Intervention: Dual chamber PPM programmed VVIR and programmed to LR limit of ≥50 bpm (N=204) Comparator: Dual chamber PPM programmed DDDR and programmed to LR limit of ≥50 bpm (N=203)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM indications: AVB 49%, SND 43% • 26% (53) of VVIR group crossed over to DDDR group due to PM syndrome • QOL (as measured by SF-36 survey) improved significantly after PPM implantation (p<0.001) but NO difference between 2 pacing modes in QOL • In SND group (but not in AVB group), DC pacing resulted in significantly better QOL and CV functional status 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant differences in the rates of death from all causes, stroke or death, stroke or death or hospitalization for HF, and development of AF • Risk of AF development was higher in VVIR compared to DDDR group but was not statistically significant (28% vs. 19%; p=0.06)

<p>MOST Lamas GA, et al. 2002 (141) 12063369</p>	<p>Aim: To compare DC vs. ventricular only pacing to treat pt with clinically significant bradycardia due to SND</p> <p>Study type: RCT Size: 2,010 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥21 y old, in SR, undergoing DC PPM implant for symptomatic SND</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Serious concurrent illnesses</p>	<p>Intervention: Dual chamber PPM programmed DDDR and programmed to LR limit of ≥60 bpm (N=1,014)</p> <p>Comparator: Dual chamber PPM programmed VVIR and programmed to LR limit of ≥60 bpm (N=996)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Death or nonfatal stroke occurred in 21.5% of DDDR pts vs. 23.0% of VVIR pts (p=0.48) • 31.4% (313) of pts in the VVIR group was crossed over to DDDR group 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of AF was 21.4% in DDDR group vs. 27.1% in VVIR group (adjusted HR:0.77; 95% CI: 0.64–0.92; p=0.004) • Hospitalization for HF was 10.3% in DDDR group vs. 12.3% in VVIR group (adjusted HR:0.73; 95% CI: 0.56–0.95; p=0.02) • Combined clinical endpoint (death, stroke or HFH) was 27.6% in DDDR group vs. 29.9% in VVIR group (adjusted HR:0.85; 95% CI: 0.72–1.00; p=0.05) • DDDR pacing resulted in better improvement in QOL as compared with VVIR pacing. • Adverse events: Total 30 d rate of complication 4.8% (1.8% A-lead issue, 1.5% pneumothorax, 1.1% V-lead issue)
<p>CTOPP Connolly SJ, et al. 2000 (142) 10805823</p>	<p>Aim: To assess whether physiologic pacing or ventricular pacing is better for pts with symptomatic bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: RCT Size: 2,568 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥18 y old</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Chronic AF, s/p AV nodal ablation, life expectancy <2 y</p>	<p>Intervention: Atrial-only pacing can be considered (if evidence of 1:1 AV conduction at paced rate of up to 130 bpm), o/w DC pacing (only 5.2% received atrial-only pacing) (N=1,094)</p> <p>Comparator: VVI pacing (N=1,474)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM indications: 60% AVB, 42% SND • Annual rate of stroke or death was 5.5% for VVI pacing vs. 4.9% for physiologic pacing (95% CI: 10.5–25.7; p=0.33) • Subgroup analysis showed that pt with SND received no particular benefit from physiologic pacing compared to VVI pacing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual rate of AF was 6.6% for VVI pacing vs. 5.3% for physiologic pacing (18% RR reduction; 95% CI: 0.3–32.6; p=0.05) • Annual rate of hospitalization for HF was 3.5% for VVI pacing vs. 3.1% for physiologic pacing (95% CI: -18.5–28.3%; p=0.52) • Annual rate of stroke was 1.1% for VVI pacing vs. 1.0% for physiologic pacing

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse events: More common in physiologic pacing group primarily due to atrial lead complications
SAVE PACE Sweeney MO, et al. 2007 (143) 17804844	<p>Aim: To compare DC minimal ventricular pacing vs. DC pacing only in pts with sinus node disease</p> <p>Study type: RCT Size: 1,065 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Symptomatic bradycardia due to SND, >18 y old, QRSd ≤120, AV conduction of 1:1 at 100 ppm</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Persistent AF, ≥2 DCCV for AF within 6 mo, 2° or 3° AVB, life expectancy <2 y</p>	<p>Intervention: DC-minimal ventricular pacing (N=530)</p> <p>Comparator: DC pacing only (N=535)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median % of Vp (DC-minimal ventricular pacing 9.1% vs. DC only 99.0%; p<0.001) • Development of persistent AF (DC-minimal ventricular pacing 7.9% vs. DC only 12.7%; p=0.004); thus, 40% RR reduction for development of persistent AF (HR: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.41–0.88; p=0.009) • Time to 1st DCCV, AV node ablation or PVI favored DC-minimal ventricular pacing (HR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.37–1.03; p=0.06) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant difference in mortality (4.9% vs. 5.4%; HR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.50–1.44; p=0.54) or rate of hospitalization for HF (2.8% vs. 3.1%; HR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.42–1.68; p=0.62) • Adverse events: 4.0% lead problems, 0.3% device infections requiring removal, 1 intra-op death
DANPACE Nielsen JC, et al. 2011 (138) 21300730	<p>Aim: To compare the efficacy of AAIR vs. DDDR pacing in pts with SSS and bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: RCT Size: 1,415 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: SA block or sinus arrest with pauses >2 s, PR ≤260 ms, QRS <120 ms, heart rate <40 while awake</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: AVB, BBB, LS PerAF, +carotid sinus hypersensitivity, planned cardiac surgery, life expectancy <1 y</p>	<p>Intervention: Bipolar atrial lead only (AAIR pacing programmed to LR of 60 ppm) – must have 1:1 AV conduction at paced rate of 100 bpm (N=707)</p> <p>Comparator: DDDR pacing programmed to LR of 60 ppm AND to minimize V pacing (N=708) (mean Vp%=65)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mortality: 29.6% (AAIR) vs. 27.3% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.77–1.14; p=0.52) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PAF: 28.4% (AAIR) vs. 23.0% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.01–1.52; p=0.042) • Chronic AF: 11.2% (AAIR) vs. 10.7% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.74–1.39; p=0.93) • Stroke: 5.5% (AAIR) vs. 4.8% (DDDR) (adjusted HR: 1.11; 95% CI: 0.70–1.77; p=0.65) • HFH: 27 pts (AAIR) vs. 28 pts (DDDR) (p=0.90) • PPM reoperation: 22.1% (AAIR) vs. 11.9% (DDDR) (adjusted HR 2.00; 95% CI: 1.54–2.61; p<0.001)

Healey JS, et al. 2006 (144) 16801463	<p>Aim: To determine whether atrial-based pacing (AAI or DDD) prevents MACE as compared to VVI pacing in pts with bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: Meta-analysis</p> <p>Size: 7,231 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Publications since 1980, randomized controlled parallel design, have pt level data on outcomes</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Post cardiac surgery or AV node ablation pts, multi-site A or V pacing, follow-up <6 mo</p>	<p>Intervention: Studies including pts who were AAI or DDD paced (atrial-based) pacing</p> <p>Comparator: Studies including pts who were VVI paced (ventricular-based) pacing</p>	<p>SND subgroup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall mortality: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR 0.92; 95% CI 0.81–1.05; p=NS) <p>Entire group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AF: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.72–0.89; p=0.00003) Stroke: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.67–0.99; p=0.035) Implant complication rate: ABP 6.2% vs. VVI pacing 3.2% 	<p>SND subgroup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Composite of stroke or CV death: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.72–0.97; p=0.04) AF: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.67–0.86; p<0.0001) Stroke: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.64–1.11; p=NS) HFH: ABP vs. VVI pacing (HR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.75–1.13; p=NS)
DANISH Andersen HR, et al. 1994 (145) 7983951	<p>Aim: Prospective randomized trial of atrial vs. ventricular pacing in sick-sinus syndrome. To determine whether single chamber atrial or ventricular pacing is better in pts with SSS</p> <p>Study type: Prospective randomized</p> <p>Size: 225 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Symptomatic bradycardia <50 bpm or pause >2 s</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: AVB, chronic AF, BBB, age <50 y, planned cardiac surgery, cancer, cerebral disease, CVA within 3 mo, major surgery, etc.</p>	<p>Intervention: Single chamber atrial pacing (only if 1:1 AV conduction at atrial pacing rate of 100bpm) (N=110)</p> <p>Comparator: Single chamber ventricular pacing (N=115)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 40±18 mo</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Death: 21 (atrial) vs. 25 (ventricular) (p=0.74) CV death: 11 (atrial) vs. 20 (ventricular) (p=0.16) AF: higher frequency in the ventricular group at follow-up Thromboembolism: 6 (atrial) vs. 20 (ventricular) (p=0.0083) HF did not differ between 2 groups 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LA diameter increased by more in the ventricular (p=0.0001) group vs. the atrial group (p=0.037) compared with preop values 2 pts in the atrial group developed AVB <p>Adverse events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More common in atrial group (most common, lead dislodgement) than ventricular group (most common, PPM syndrome)
MOST sub-study Sweeney MO, et al. 2003 (146) 12782566	<p>Aim: To examine the effect of pacing-induced ventricular desynchronization in pts with SND and normal QRSd</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: SND, SR at the time of assignment, baseline QRSd <120 ms</p>	<p>Intervention: DDDR pacing (N=707)</p> <p>Comparator: VVIR pacing (N=632)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cum%VP: DDDR 90% vs. VVIR 58%; p=0.001 HFH: In DDDR mode, the risk increased with increased Cum VP% from 0% up to ~40% pacing then leveled out. Vp >40% of time as 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AF: Risk increased by 1% for each 1% increase in Cum%VP up to 85%; p=0.012 (DDDR). Risk increased by 0.7% increase in Cum%VP up to 80%; p=0.039 (VVIR).

	<p><u>Study type:</u> Post-hoc analysis of RCT</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1,339 pts</p>	<p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Baseline QRSd >120 ms</p>	<p>Median follow-up 33.1 mo</p>	<p>compared to <40% of time was associated with HR: 2.60 (p=0.040). In VVIR mode, the risk was level between 0–80% and increased from 80–100%. Vp >80% of time as compared to <80% of time was associated HR: 2.50 (p=0.0012)</p>	
<p>Nielsen JC, et al. 2003 (147) 12932590</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> A randomized comparison of atrial and dual-chamber pacing in 177 consecutive patients with SSS. To compare AAI and DDD pacing in pts with SSS</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> RCT</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 177 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> SSS, normal AV conduction, symptomatic bradycardia <40 b symptomatic QRS pause of >2 s, age>18 y</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> BBB, AVB, chronic AF, cerebral disease, planned cardiac or major surgery, cancer</p>	<p><u>Intervention 1:</u> AAIR (N=54)</p> <p><u>Intervention 2:</u> DDDR with short AV delay (<150 ms) (DDDR-s) (N=60)</p> <p><u>Intervention 3:</u> DDDR with fixed long AV delay (300 ms) (DDDR-I) (N=63)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 2.9 y</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LA diameter increased significantly in both DDDR groups (p<0.05) • LVES diameter increased significantly in both DDDR groups (p<0.05) • LVED diameter increased significantly in DDDR-I group (p<0.01) • LVFS decreased significantly in DDDR-s group (p<0.01) • Percent Vp: 90% in DDDR-s vs. 17% in DDDR-I 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AF incidence at follow-up: AAIR 7.4%, DDDR-s 23.3%, DDDR-I 17.5% (p=0.03) • Stroke: AAIR 5.6%, DDDR-s 11.7%, DDDR-I 6.3% (p=0.32) • Death: AAIR 16.7%, DDDR-s 23.3%, DDDR-I 22.2% (p=0.51) • CV death: AAIR 7.4%, DDDR-s 11.7%, DDDR-I 14.3% (p=0.43)
<p>DANPACE Brandt NH, et al. 2016 (148) 28039212</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> To present a long-term outcome of initial DANPACE trial</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Long-term follow-up of RCT</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1,384 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> SA block or sinus arrest with pauses >2 s, PR ≤260 ms, QRS <120 ms, heart rate <40 while awake</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> AVB, BBB, long-standing PerAF, with carotid sinus hypersensitivity, planned cardiac surgery, life expectancy <1 y</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Bipolar atrial lead only (AAIR pacing programmed to LR of 60 ppm) – must have 1:1 AV conduction at paced rate of 100 bpm (N=696)</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> DDDR pacing programmed to LR of 60 ppm AND to minimize V pacing (N=688)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 8.9 y</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All-cause mortality: 59.3% AAIR vs. 53.3% DDDR (HR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.90–1.19; p=0.65) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AF: 28.6% AAIR vs. 29.1% DDDR (aHR: 0.98; 95% CI: 0.80–1.19; p=0.82) • Ischemic stroke: 9.0% AAIR vs. 8.6% DDDR (aHR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.69–1.43; p=0.99) • HFH: 12.0% AAIR vs. 11.5% DDDR (aHR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.74–1.38; p=0.95) • Annual rate of pacing mode change from AAIR to DDDR 4.5%

ADEPT Lamas GA, et al. 2007 (132) 17765608	<p>Aim: To determine whether DDDR pacing improves QOL when compared to DDD pacing alone</p> <p>Study type: Multi-center single-blind RCT</p> <p>Size: 872 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Age ≥ 21 y, Class I or 2A indication for pacing, demonstrated chronotropic incompetence, cannot exceed 80% of MPHR (220-age) at peak exercise</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: AF for >1 mo, overt CHF, serious chronic illness, score of <17 on MMSE, inability to tolerate high-rate pacing, severe limitations of functional capacity</p>	<p>Intervention: MDT Kappa 400 DDDR pacemaker programmed to DDDR (N=443)</p> <p>Comparator: MDT Kappa 400 DDDR pacemaker programmed to DDD (N=429)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 1 y 64% had SND Vp% $>90\%$ in both groups</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total exercise time (6 mo): 7.3 vs. 7.1 min ($p=0.98$) • Specific Activity Scale (SAS) at 1 y: 1.5 vs. 1.6 ($p=0.96$) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No differences in other 2° QOL endpoints • CHF hospitalizations in DDDR group vs. DDD group: 7.3% vs. 3.5%; $p=0.01$ • No differences in other clinical endpoints
RAST Krahn AD, et al. 2001 (72) 11435336	<p>Aim: To find out whether prolonged monitoring strategy is better than conventional strategy in the evaluation of recurrent syncope</p> <p>Study type: Prospective randomized trial</p> <p>Size: 60 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Recurrent unexplained syncope or syncope X 1 associated with injury</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: LVEF $<35\%$, <1 y expected survival, unable to provide follow-up or consent, clear NMS</p>	<p>Intervention: ILR (MDT Reveal) monitoring for 1 y (N=27)</p> <p>Comparator: Conventional testing – 2 to 4 wk of external loop recorder, TTT and EP testing (SNRT, SACT, antegrade/retrograde conduction, programmed electrical stimulation) (N=30)</p> <p>Crossover was allowed if Dx was unable to be made.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dx obtained in 14 of 27 pts (ILR group) vs. 6 of 30 pts (conventional group) (52% vs. 20%; $p=0.012$) 	N/A
EaSyAS Farwell DJ, et al. 2004 (73)	<p>Aim: Investigate the impact of ILRs on unselected</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Recurrent syncope but no definitive Dx</p>	<p>Interventions: CSM + TTT + implantation of loop recorder (N=103)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EKG Dx made: 34 (33%) in ILR group vs. 4 (4%) in 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total medical costs: £406 in ILR group vs. £1210 in

15246645	<p>population of syncopal pts presenting to one institution</p> <p>Study type: Randomized trial</p> <p>Size: 201 pts</p>	<p>following initial clinical w/u (including CSM and TTT)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Structural heart disease</p>	<p>Comparator: CSM + TTT + conventional investigation (N=98)</p> <p>Mean follow-up 276 d</p>	<p>conventional group (HR: 8.93; 95% CI: 3.17–25; p<0.0001)</p>	<p>conventional group (mean difference £809; 95% CI: 123–2730)</p>
<p>FRESH Podoleanu C, et al. 2014 (74) 25241220</p>	<p>Aim: To compare conventional evaluation vs. early use of ILR in low-risk pts with syncope in France</p> <p>Study type: Prospective open-label randomized multicenter study</p> <p>Size: 78 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Any recent unexplained syncope (after basic clinical exam)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Significant heart disease, EF <40%, Hx of MI or unstable CAD, Hx of arrhythmia, family Hx of SCD, conduction disturbance on EKG, HOCM, AS, potentially arrhythmogenic drug use</p>	<p>Intervention: ILR group (N=39)</p> <p>Comparator: Conventional evaluation strategy group (N=39)</p> <p>F/u 14 mo</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of cause: 18 (46.2%) pts in ILR group vs. 2 (5%) pts in conventional group (p<0.001) • Days of hospitalization: 5.7 d in ILR group vs. 8.0 d in conventional group (p=0.55) • Number of advanced cardiac tests needed: 0.03/pt in ILR group vs. 0.2/pt in conventional group (p=0.05) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of life was no different between the 2 groups
<p>THEOPACE Alboni P, et al. 1997 (133) 9236443</p>	<p>Aim: To prospectively assess the effects of PPMs and theophylline in pts with SSS</p> <p>Study type: Randomized controlled trial</p> <p>Size: 107 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Age ≥45 y, mean resting sinus rate <50 bpm and/or intermittent SA block, symptoms attributable to SND</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Very severe SSS, refractory HF, recent MI or stroke, life expectancy <2 y, significant renal or hepatic disease, Hx of</p>	<p>Intervention 1: oral theophylline 550 mg/d (N=36)</p> <p>Intervention 2: DDDR PPM programmed to lower rate of 60–70 ppm and prolonged AV delay (N=36)</p> <p>Comparator: No treatment (N=35)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Syncope: 6(17%) theophylline, 2(6%) PPM, 8(23%) control arm: p=0.02 (PPM vs. control); p=0.07 (theophylline vs. control) • HF: 1(3%) theophylline, 1(3%) PPM, 6(17%) control arm: p=0.05 (lower HF in PPM and theophylline vs. control arm) • Permanent AF: 2(6%) theophylline, 3(9%) PPM, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thromboembolism: 3(9%) theophylline, 3(9%) PPM, 1(3%) control arm: no difference (p=NS)

		VT, prior usage of theophylline, need for BB or CCB	Mean follow-up 19±14 mo	4(11%) control arm: no difference (p=NS)	
--	--	---	-------------------------	--	--

Data Supplement 26. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Reversible Causes of AV block (Section 6.3.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Kenneback G, et al. 2007 (149) 17255148	Study type: Single-center, prospective cohort (Sweden) Size: N=17 (53% men), mean age 77 y	Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted with high-degree AVB on antiarrhythmic therapy (88% beta blocker) who received PPM, who then had AAD withdrawn with return of AV conduction. Exclusion criteria: Valve surgery in past year, permanent AF	1° endpoint: Recurrence of AVB detected by PM algorithm over 2 y Results: 9/12 pts (75%) with QRS \geq 120 ms and 1/5 pts (20%) developed recurrent AVB. 6/17 pts (35%) developed atrial tachyarrhythmias requiring AAD tx	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate to place PPM in pts with AVB and QRS \geq120 ms w/o further delay or evaluation.
Knudsen MB, et al. 2013 (150) 23869746	Study type: Single-center, retrospective cohort (Denmark) Size: N=55 (55% male, mean age 77 y)	Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted with 2/3 AVB, had temporary wire, were on class II-IV AADs or digoxin. Exclusion criteria: No ECG documentation, AVB due to other identified cause, prior PPM explant, died within several days	1° endpoint: Need for PPM; complications of TPM Results: 47/55 (85%) required PPM in hospital. 2/55 had recurrent AVB and required PPM. 11% of pts had complication of TPM (infection/dislodgment), also prolonged hospital stay	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with AVB on AADs/digoxin do not benefit from TPM and drug washout. Should proceed to PPM w/o delay. • “In the elderly, the drug is virtually never the sole culprit; rather, it just exposes the underlying weakness of the aging conduction system”
Osmonov D, et al. 2012 (151) 22530749	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Turkey) Size: N=108 (16% of all 668 pts admit with 2/3 AVB). 30/108 (28%) had AF with SVR	Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted with 2/3 AVB who were on AV nodal blocking drugs 2008–9 Exclusion criteria: MI, electrolyte disturbances, digoxin toxicity, vasovagal syncope	1° endpoint: Resolution/ recurrence AVB, need for PPM Results: Resolution of AVB with 72 h in 78/108 (72%). 21/78 (27%) had recurrence of AVB. Overall 51/108 (48%) had persistent or recurrent AVB despite drug withdrawal.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of pts with AVB on nodal-blocking drugs require PPM before discharge despite drug withdrawal. • Limited follow-up – other pts may have required PPM at later date

Zeltser D, et al. 2004 (152) 15234417	<p>Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Israel)</p> <p>Size: N=169 (60% male, mean age 78 y). 92/169 (54%) receiving AV nodal blockers</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted with 2/3 AVB 1999–2003.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: MI, digoxin toxicity, vasovagal syncope</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Resolution/ recurrence AVB, need for PPM</p> <p>Results: 79/92 (86%) had drug discontinued. 32/79(41%) had resolution of AVB. 18/32 had relapse of AVB within 3 wk</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall, only 15% of pts with AVB on nodal blocking drugs had AVB “caused by drugs” • F-u limited to 3 wk
Risgaard B, et al. 2012 (153) 22333242	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center/region cohort study (Denmark)</p> <p>Size: N=259 (mean age 78 y, 46% male). 49.7% had 2/3 AVB. 15% had AF-slow ventricular response</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts referred for urgent PPM in 2009</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Discharged from hospital before implant, referred from outpatient department</p>	<p>1° endpoint: d to implant, complications during wait</p> <p>Results: Mean 8.3 d hospitalization to implant (3.2 d to Dx; 5.1 d waiting for PPM). 83/259 pts (32%) had complications while waiting – Infection (11%), asystole (20%), NSVT (5%), cardiac arrest (3%), death (1%)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pt harm results from delay to PPM for capacity issues.
Farre N, et al. 2014 (154) 24491864	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center cohort (Spain)</p> <p>Size: N=79; mean age 72 y, 50% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts with “reversible” 3rd degree AVB not undergoing initial PPM implant</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Persistence/recurrence of AVB, PPM implant</p> <p>Results: 39% of pts w/o ischemic/infarction developed recurrent AVB, had PPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outside setting of MI/ischemia, high proportion of pts with 3rd degree AVB and “reversible causes” develop recurrent AVB. • Close f-u warranted • Study was research letter with little data presented
Antman EM, et al. 1990 (100) 2188752	<p>Study type: Open-label, 21-center prospective cohort study 1974–86</p> <p>Size: N=150 pts (79=53% with high-degree AVB). 46% male, mean age 65 y</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts who received digoxin-specific Fab fragments in trial 1974–86.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Clinical “response”</p> <p>Results: 80% complete resolution of signs/sx. 10% partial response, 10% complete response.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB pts not separately analyzed
Hickey AR, et al. 1991 (101) 1993775	<p>Study type: Multi-center US national prospective cohort</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts in US receiving anti-digoxin Fab fragments</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Resolution of symptoms of digoxin toxicity</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No separate analysis of AVB pts

	Size: N=717; 40% men, mean age 74 y		Results: 50% complete response, 24% partial response; 12 % no response. 3% recurrence rate. 1% allergy to therapy	
Sadek MM, et al. 2013 (155) 23623644	Study type: Systematic review Size: 10 publications; 299 pts	Inclusion criteria: English language, original outcome data on pts with cardiac sarcoidosis tx with steroids. Exclusion criteria: Reports less than 5 subjects or less than 3-mo follow-up	1° endpoint: Reversal or improvement in AVB Results: 27/57 (47%) cases treated with steroids had improved or recovered AV conduction vs. 0/16 pts. w/o steroid treatment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considerable study heterogeneity • “Improvement” in AV conduction not defined • Outcome not defined in terms of need for PM
Kandolin R, et al. 2011 (156) 21427276	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Finland) Size: N=133; 72 pts with unexplained AVB	Inclusion criteria: Pts. 18–55 y who had PPM implant for unexplained 2 nd /3 rd -degree AVB Exclusion criteria: None described	1° endpoint: Dx of cardiac sarcoidosis; reversal of AVB with treatment. Results: 18/72 (25%) had probable (4) or definite (14) cardiac sarcoidosis AVB reversed in only 2/16 pts (13%) treated with steroids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selected population, tertiary referral center • Little data about AVB described • Overall suggests low chance of reversing AVB with steroid treatment
Ozcan KS, et al. 2012 (157) 22738687	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Turkey) Size: N=50 (29 hypothyroid, 21 hyperthyroid)	Inclusion criteria: All pts. with 2 nd /3 rd degree AVB who had hyper- or hypothyroidism Exclusion criteria: MI, electrolytes abnormalities, digoxin toxicity, vasovagal syncope, on AADs	1° endpoint: Persistent AVB despite treatment of thyroid abnormalities Results: 46/50 (92%) pts required PPM; 2 additional pts had persistent AVB. 22/29 (76%) with hypothyroidism and 18/21 (86%) with hyperthyroidism had irreversible AVB.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thyroid abnormalities are rarely a cause of reversible AVB.
Forrester JD, et al. 2014 (158) 24879781	Study type: Systematic review of case reports and case series Size: 34 manuscripts reporting 45 cases	Inclusion criteria: English language case reports or series in peer-reviewed journal of pts with Lyme disease and ECG-documented 3 rd degree AVB Exclusion criteria: Not in English, pt not US, no pt variables reported	1° endpoint: Outcomes, need for PPM, persistence of AVB Results: 18/45 (40%) required TPM, 2/45 (4%) had PPM, both in 1980s. All other cases resolved, median time to resolution 6 d (range 1–42 d).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB with Lyme carditis almost always resolves with treatment
Van der Linde, MR, 1991 (159) 1947815	Study type: Review of published case reports in Europe and North	Inclusion criteria: Published case reports 1977–90,	1° endpoint: Outcome, resolution of AVB, need for PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM rarely needed after episode of Lyme carditis

	America, European questionnaire, personal communication, observations Size: 105 cases	questionnaire, personal communication, observations Exclusion criteria: None	Results: 49% had 3 rd degree AVB, 16% had 2 nd degree AVB. 35% required TPM, 94% with complete recovery of AV conduction, only 1 pt (1%) had persistent 3 rd degree AVB	
--	---	--	---	--

Data Supplement 27. RCTs Comparing Medical treatment for AV block (Section 6.3.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Abu-Laban RB, et al. 2006 (104) 16698410	Aim: To determine whether aminophylline increases rate of ROSC after out of hospital cardiac arrest Study type: RCT Size: N=971	Inclusion criteria: Pts over 16 y in British Columbia 2001–3 with brady-asystolic arrest refractory to intubation, atropine and epinephrine Exclusion criteria: Do-not-resuscitate order, pregnancy, hemorrhage/trauma or hypothermia, end-stage renal disease, on theophylline	Intervention: 500 mg IV aminophylline bolus Comparator: Matching placebo	1° endpoint: ROSC: 24.5% I vs. 23.7% C (0.8%, -4.6–6.2; p=0.778) Survival to hospital admission: 6.6% I vs. 7.6% C (-1.0%, -4.3–2.2; p=0.527) Survival to hosp. discharge: 0.4% I vs. 0.6% C (-0.2%, -1.1–0.7%; p=0.653) Safety endpoint (if relevant): None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not call out pt with AVB • Prehospital setting only
PrePACE Morrison, LJ, et al. 2008 (86) 17933452	Aim: To compare outcome of pts with prehospital unstable bradycardia with TCP vs. dopamine Study type: RCT Size: N=82; mean age 71 y; 57% male	In Inclusion criteria: Pts ≥18 y presenting to Toronto EMS with hemodynamically unstable bradycardia unresponsive to fluids and atropine Exclusion criteria: Trauma, hyperthermia, hypothermia, cardiac arrest	Intervention: TCP 80 bpm Comparator: Dopamine 5–20 mcg/kg/min	1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge 69% I vs. 70% C (p=NS) Safety endpoint: VT/VF/cardiac arrest/burn: 7.1% C vs. 7.5% C (p=NS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of eligible pts not randomized • This was a pilot study for potential larger RCT • Dopamine equivalent to TCP in this small pilot study

Data Supplement 28. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Medical Treatment for AV block (Section 6.3.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Brady WJ, et al. 1999 (79) 10459592	Study type: Single-EMS system retrospective cohort in US Size: N=131; 45 with AVB; Mean age 69 y; 53% male	Inclusion criteria: All nontraumatized pts from 1990–1993 who experienced bradycardia with associated hemodynamic instability who received atropine in field. Exclusion criteria: Not stated, but presumably cardiac arrest. Also excluded pre-hospital deaths (N=16)	1° endpoint: “Response” in 4 categories – adverse, none, partial, complete Results: Mean dose 1.1 mg. Of 37 pts with 3 rd degree AVB in field, 21 arrived in ED with 3 rd degree AVB and only 9 left ED with 3 rd degree AVB. Of all pts, 27% had complete response, 20% partial, 50% none; 3% adverse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited methodology, results poorly described
Feigl D, et al. 1984 (160) 6736451	Study type: Single center retrospective cohort study in Israel 1978–1982 Size: N=34; mean age 62 y; 82% male	Inclusion criteria: 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB developing in course of IMI who survived >72 h Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Outcomes, response to atropine Results: Of 15 pts with early AVB (<6 h). Atropine normalized conduction in 20%, increased V rate in others. 5 had normalization with isoproterenol. 14 pts had late AVB – less response to atropine (mean 16 bpm). 50% required TPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Descriptive, uncontrolled study No adverse events to drug therapy reported
Sclarovsky S, 1984 (161) 6731277	Study type: Single center retrospective cohort study in Israel 1972–1982 Size: N=76	Inclusion criteria: All pts with acute inferior MI who developed 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB in hospital Exclusion criteria: Combined AMI and IMI	1° endpoint: Description of outcomes, response to atropine and isoproterenol Results: 6/14 (36%) of pts with early AVB improved vs. 13/17 (77%) pts with late AVB (p<0.05). 2/8 (25%) of pts with early block and 2/6 (33%). 50% of pts had TPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Descriptive uncontrolled study No adverse events to drug therapy reported
Chihrin SM, et al. 2008 (162) 18308011	Study type: Single center prospective cohort in Canada Size: N=100; mean age 75 y; 56% male	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts from 2003–2006 undergoing PPM generator change who were PM dependent Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Elicitation of escape rhythm with PM stepdown to 30 bpm or isoproterenol 1–2 mcg/min Results: 59% demonstrated intrinsic rhythm with stepdown alone. Of remaining 41 pts, 28 (68%) demonstrated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests that isoproterenol can be used to elicit faster escape rate in pts with AVB

			intrinsic rhythm with isoproterenol. No adverse events.	
Hurley KF, et al. 2015 (105) 26593309	Study type: Systematic review of 5 RCTs Size: N=1254	Inclusion criteria: RCTs of aminophylline used for pre-hospital resuscitate of bradysystolic cardiac arrest Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Survival to discharge/admission, ROSC Results: No improvement in outcome by any measure with aminophylline. Overall survival extremely low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aminophylline not useful in out of hospital bradysystolic arrest
Sodeck GH, et al. 2007 (77) 17212976	Study type: Retrospective analysis of single ED registry from tertiary center in Austria Size: N=277; mean age 68 y; 62% male; about 50% AVB	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts admitted to ED 1994–2004 with symptomatic, hemodynamically significant bradycardia Exclusion criteria: Asymptomatic and terminally ill pts	1° endpoint: Use of drugs for bradycardia, use of pacing Results: IV medications given to 170 pts (61%) – Atropine in 141 (51%), orciprenaline 62 (22%), epi 24 (9%), dopamine 6 (2%). 7 pts had TCP (4 successful); 54 (20%) had temporary TVP. 137/277 (50%) received PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Descriptive study with no control group • Pts with AVB not separately reported or analyzed • Minimal information on clinical effects of intervention given
Bertolet BD, et al. 1995 (163) 7661495	Study type: Single-center observational cohort in US Size: N=8; 3 with complete AVB	Inclusion criteria: Pts with significant AVB developing within 4 h of admission for acute inferior MI, resistant to atropine, given IV theophylline up to 250 mg Exclusion criteria: Pts who received BBs or CCBs prior	1° endpoint: Restoration of 1-1 AV conduction Results: All 8 pts had restoration of 1-1 AV conduction within 3 min lasting at least 24 h	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No controls • Very small, single-center experience
Altun A, et al. 1998 (164) 9789698	Study type: Single-center observational cohort in Turkey Size: N=8; 6 with complete AVB; mean age 68 y	Inclusion criteria: Pts with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB after IMI for at least 1 h, resistant to atropine. Given 2 doses of aminophylline 240 mg 1 h apart Exclusion criteria: Pts in hyperacute phase of MI, received AV nodal blocking drugs	1° endpoint: Restoration of AV conduction Results: Aminophylline restored 1-1 AV conduction in 7 pts and Mobitz I AVB in 1. No adverse effects. AVB relapsed in 1 pt only	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No controls • Very small, single-center experience

Goodfellow J, et al. 1995 (165) 7588933	Study type: Single-center case series in United Kingdom Size: N=3	Inclusion criteria: Pts with atropine-resistant AVB with acute inferior MI treated with streptokinase. All had hypotension Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Restoration of 1-1 AV conduction Results: 1-1 AV conduction restored promptly with resolution of hypotension in all	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No controls • Very small case series
Love, JN, et al. 1998 (90) 9674488	Study type: Single center case series in US Size: N=9	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting with symptomatic bradycardia resistant to atropine 1 mg who received IV glucagon 1–7 mg then 3–5 mg/h Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Improvement in bradycardia and hemodynamics Results: All pts improved at least transiently	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most pts had BBs and/or CCBs as significant co-factors • Unknown how many had AVB
Dhingra RC, et al. 1973 (166) 4744693	Study type: Single center cohort undergoing invasive EP study in US Size: N=42; 8 with 3 rd degree AVB, 3 with 2 nd degree AVB	Inclusion criteria: 42 pts with heart disease undergoing invasive EPS w/o and with isoproterenol Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Improvement in AV conduction and change in ventricular rate Results: 2/8 pts with 3 rd degree AVB had improved conduction with isoproterenol, as did 3/3 pts with 3 rd degree AVB. Ventricular rate improved in all subjects from mean of 45 bpm to 62 bpm, regardless of site of block	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very small study • Bias in reflects those able to undergo EPS • Hemodynamics/ BP not measured • Suggests isoproterenol useful to augment heart rate in 2nd and 3rd degree AVB
Hatle L, et al. 1971 (167) 5557475	Study type: Single center prospective cohort from Norway Size: N=105 pts with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB in setting of acute MI	Inclusion criteria: Pts with acute MI treated 1966–1970 with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB treated with isoproterenol, generally 1–3 mcg/min Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Improvement in heart rate Results: In hospital mortality 48%. 60 pts received isoproterenol: 38 (63%) had increase in heart rate and BP; 12 (20%) had increased in heart rate but minimal change in BP; 8 (13%) had minimal change; 2 (3%) isoproterenol terminated due to ventricular ectopy. 3 pts had ventricular fibrillation on isoproterenol, 1 of which died. 14 pts treated with TVP, 3 of whom died from ventricular fibrillation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very early cohort when there was minimal treatment for acute MI • Extremely high mortality • In this group, isoproterenol appeared safe compared with TVP • Uncontrolled cohort study

Data Supplement 29. RCTs Comparing Temporary Pacing (Section 6.3.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Ferguson JD, et al. 1997 (113) 9217762	Aim: Compare 2 types of TVP catheters for success and complication rates Study type: Unblinded RCT Size: 40 pts, mean age 72 y. 85% with AVB	Inclusion criteria: Undergoing temporary VVI pacing (85% with AVB) guided by fluoroscopy Exclusion criteria: None stated	Intervention: Use of balloon-tipped electrode (N=20) Comparator: Use of semi-rigid electrode (N=20)	1° endpoint: Procedure duration (264 vs. 540 s; p<0.002), fluoroscopy time (87 vs. 189 s; p<0.01), suitability of final position (0 unacceptable vs. 7; p<0.0001). Thresholds similar Safety endpoint (if relevant): Dislodgement (1 vs. 3) Death (0 vs. 2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When guided by fluoroscopy, balloon- tipped catheters are easier to place successfully than semi- rigid catheters • Use of balloon-tipped catheter associated with trend toward lower complication rate
Barthell E, 1988 (127) 3056132	Aim: Compared TCP added to ACLS vs. ACLS alone for pre-hospital pts with asystole, EMD, or hypotensive bradycardia Study type: Unblinded RCT (alternate d randomization) Size: N=239; 142 with asystole; 84 with EMD; 13 with hypotensive bradycardia	Inclusion criteria: All adult, nontraumatic bradyasystolic episodes or arrests treated by Milwaukee County Paramedic System Oct 1986–May 1987 Exclusion criteria: None stated	Intervention: TCP + ACLS Comparator: ACLS alone	1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission: Asystole/EMD 17% I vs. 20% C (p=NS) Hypo-brady 100% I vs. 29% C (p=0.01) Survival to hospital discharge: Asystole/EMD 2% I vs. 4% C (p=NS) Hypo-brady 83% I vs. 14% C (p=0.01) Safety endpoint: None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited form of randomization • Overall, no effect of TCP for pre-hospital use for asystole/EMD arrest • Possible benefit for hypotensive bradycardia, but number of pts very small

Cummins RO, et al. 1993 (168) 8474514	<p>Aim: Determine efficacy of TCP of asystolic out of hospital cardiac arrest</p> <p>Study type: Modified RCT by center</p> <p>Size: N=1056 cardiac arrests; N=537 with asystole as first rhythm; N=305 with asystole after VF</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All cardiac arrests in Seattle area over 3 y period; Primary group was those with asystole as first rhythm</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>Intervention: 16 EMS/fire districts given TCP and trained in use</p> <p>Comparator: 23 EMS/fire districts given TCP and trained in use</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission/ primary asystole: 8% I vs. 8% C (p=NS) Survival to discharge: 4% I vs. 2% C (OR: 2.05; p=NS)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No improvement for pts with initial VF • Limited form of randomization
Hedges JR, et al. 1987 (169) 3315295	<p>Aim: Determine efficacy of TCP added to ACLS for prehospital hemodynamically significant bradycardia or asystole</p> <p>Study type: RCT (alternate day)</p> <p>Size: N=202</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts over 14 y treated by Thurston County, EMS for hemodynamically-significant bradycardia with decreased mental status (Glasgow coma score ≤12)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>Intervention: On odd calendar days, EMS used TCP 100 bpm at max output for pts</p> <p>Comparator: On even calendar days, TCP was not used</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission: 17% I vs. 17% C (p=NS) Survival to hospital discharge: 6% I vs. 4% C (p=NS)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited form of randomization • No improvement with TCP
PrePACE Morrison, LJ, et al. 2008 (86) 17933452	<p>Aim: To compare outcome of pts with prehospital unstable bradycardia with TCP vs. dopamine</p> <p>Study type: RCT</p> <p>Size: N=82; mean age 71 y; 57% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts 18 y or older presenting to Toronto EMS with hemodynamically unstable bradycardia unresponsive to fluids and atropine</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Trauma, hyperthermia, hypothermia, cardiac arrest</p>	<p>Intervention: TCP 80 bpm</p> <p>Comparator: Dopamine 5–20 mcg/kg/min</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge 69% I vs. 70% C (p=NS)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: VT/VF/cardiac arrest/burn: 7.1% C vs. 7.5% C (p=NS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of eligible pts not randomized • This was a pilot study for potential larger RCT • No benefit to TCP seen

Data Supplement 30. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Temporary Pacing (Section 6.3.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Sodeck GH, et al. 2006 (77) 17212976	Study type: Single center retrospective cohort in Austria Size: 277 pts (62% male, 48% AVB)	Inclusion criteria: Pts >18 y presenting to ED with “compromising bradycardia from 1994–2004; mean heart rate 33 bpm Exclusion criteria: Asymptomatic bradycardia, terminal illness	1° endpoint: 30 d mortality Results: 5% mortality at 30 d. 20% of pts treated with temporary TVP. 50% of those pts went on to have permanent pacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary TVP required in about 20% of pts presenting to ED with symptomatic bradycardia • Half of those pts go on to PPM
Brikhahn RH, et al. 2004 (170) 15039689	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort in US Size: 154 pts, 117 meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria. Mean age 78 y, 38% male, 51% AVB)	Inclusion criteria: All pts with temporary TVP placed in ED, intensive care unit, or ward 1999–2002. Only 3% placed under fluoroscopy Exclusion criteria: Indication asystole, TVP placed in cath or EP lab, no attending supervision	1° endpoint: Successful temporary TVP placement. Complication rate. Results: 88% success on first attempt. 17% serious complication rate. 96% placed by cephalic approach. 67% had PPM. 23% in-hospital mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar success rates between ED physicians and cardiologists • High overall success rate of implantation of TVP • Cephalic route rarely used in general practice today
Betts TR, 2003 (119) 12954959	Study type: Prospective registry in 5 regional hospitals in England in 1999 Size: 144 procedures in 111 pts; mean age 75 y.; 63% male; 51% AVB	Inclusion criteria: All TVPs placed over 9 mo period in 1999 Exclusion criteria: None cited	1° endpoint: General overview of procedure technique, outcomes, complications Results: Procedure times shorter for cardiologists, 28% complication rate. Immediate complication rates lower with experience (1/81) vs. inexperienced (5/59) operators. Infection occurred more in wires left in >48 h (17/86) than <48 h (2/55). 23% of comps resulted in delayed PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests benefit to TVP implant by cardiologists/ experienced operators • Greater infection risk for TVP wires left in >48 h • High rate of overall complications seen • 23% of comps delayed PPM implantation

<p>Mahapatra S, et al. 2005 (171) 16171740</p>	<p>Study type: Case-control derived from prospective database 1995–2003 at Mayo Clinic</p> <p>Size: 50 pts with cardiac perforation after PPM vs. 100 controls</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing PPM 1995–2003 with perforation and new effusion.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Age <18 y, prior effusion or cardiac surgery within 4 wk of PPM</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Risk factors for perforation after PPM</p> <p>Results: 1.2% of all pts had perforation. Predictors of perforation in multivariate analysis included prior TVP (HR: 2.7; 95% CI: 1.4–3.9], helical screw leads (HR: 2.5), steroid use (HR: 3.2)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests benefit to avoiding TVP prior to permanent pacing unless essential
<p>Lang R, et al. 1981 (172) 6169032</p>	<p>Study type: Single-center nonrandomized controlled study comparing balloon-tipped, flow-guided TVP vs. standard semi-rigid catheter</p> <p>Size: 111 consecutive pts (67 flow-guided, 44 semi-rigid)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring emergency or semi-urgent temporary TVP at a single Israeli center</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Successful implant, procedure time, threshold, multiple safety endpoints</p> <p>Results: Flow-guided TVP had 1) shorter insertion time (7 min vs. 14 min); less dislodgement (13% vs. 32%), lower incidence of serious ventricular arrhythmia (1.5 vs. 20.4%) Thresholds similar.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Superiority of balloon-tipped, flow guided electrode catheter for temporary TVP demonstrated.
<p>Hynes JK, et al. 1983 (115) 6823157</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center cohort at Mayo Clinic</p> <p>Size: 1022 pts, mean age 68 y, (65% male)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing temporary TVP wire in Mayo Clinic coronary care unit 1976–81.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications</p> <p>Results: Implanted mean 3.1 d, 64% placed antecubital route, 19% subclavian vein, 12 % internal jugular vein. 13.7% complication rate, increasing with duration of TVP. Lowest comp rate with internal jugular vein 5.3% pericarditis. PPM implanted in 58% of pts.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preference for internal jugular and subclavian vein access sites confirmed
<p>Winner S and Boon N, 1989 (173) 2769615</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective single region cohort study</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts referred to regional center for PPM</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Missing records</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications, defined as “major problems”: dislodgement, infection, pericarditis/perforation, thrombosis, wire in left ventricle</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advise avoiding TVP before PPM unless absolutely necessary

	Size: 266 pts/ 158 (59%) had temporary TVP		Results: 36% rate of major problems, much higher rate at smaller referral hospitals. 6% infection; 30% failure to pace, 4% pericarditis	
López Ayerbe J, et al. 2004 (114) 15544753	Study type: Retrospective single center cohort in Barcelona, Spain Size: N=530; mean age 74 y, 54% male; 51% AVB.	Inclusion criteria: Pts receiving TVP 1997–2003. All via femoral route (99%) with fluoroscopic guidance Exclusion criteria: Pts transferred out with no available f-u (N=38)	1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes Results: Mean duration 4.2 d. 22% complication rate: 1% death (3 tamponade, 1 asystole, 1 PE, 1 sepsis). 9% migration/dislodgement 9% other (VTE, effusion, infection)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complication rates improved compared with series from 1980s and 1990s (18–43%) • Lower use in pts with acute MI seen
Bjornstad CC, et al. 2012 (126) 22390277	Study type: Prospective regional 5 hospital study in Norway 2010–11. Size: N=50; 45% AVB; mean age 79 y, 62% male	Inclusion criteria: All pts with TVP in 5 hospitals March 2010–March 2011. All with fluoroscopy Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes Results: 96% TVP; 4% TCP. 60% received PPM; 14% died. 30% rate of “serious complications” including 6% death from sepsis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fewer TVPs being performed by more physicians with less experience • Lower complication rate with more experienced implanters
McCann P, 2006 (125) 17235372	Study type: Systematic review 1973–2004 Size: 15 studies; N=3737; mean age 71 y	Inclusion criteria: Cohort studies of TVP published 1973–2004 Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes Results: Overall complication rate 26.5%: 15% failed access, 10% failed placement, 9% sepsis, 4% arterial puncture, 2% lung/myocardium puncture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodologically limited systematic review • Higher complication rate in older pts • Lower complication rate when implanted by specialists • Trend toward greater use of right internal jugular access over time
Jou YL, et al. 2010 (124) 20946290	Study type: Single center retrospective cohort in Taiwan 2002–8 Size: N=509; mean age 77 y, 74% male; 64% AVB	Inclusion criteria: All pts with TVP 2002–8 at single center Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Trends in use Results: Greater use for AVB with intrinsic disease, less for sinus node dysfunction and MI over time. 48% had PPM implant within 30 d (mean 6 d) with increasing rate over time.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High rate of PPM for degenerative AVB

Knudsen MB, et al. 2013 (150) 23869746	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center cohort at academic medical center in Denmark 2000–11.</p> <p>Size: N=575 with TVP. N=55 with AVB and potential culprit drug. Mean age 77 y, 56% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts getting TVP wire 2000–11 who had AVB and potential culprit drug discontinued</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: No ECG documentation; other etiology of bradycardia documented; PPM infection; in hospital death</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Indication for PPM despite drug discontinuation; complications and outcomes</p> <p>Results: 49/55 (89%) ultimately required PPM, including 26/27 (96%) on BBs. 11% comp rate from TVP. PPM postponed mean of 7 d for drug withdrawal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors conclude that: “Primary PPM implantation should be considered in pts with high-degree AVB and concomitant AV blocking therapy, unless other reversible causes...exist.” • “In the elderly, the drug is virtually never the sole culprit; rather, it just exposes the underlying weakness of the aging conduction system
Murphy JJ, 1996 (116) 8620131	<p>Study type: Prospective cohort in 18 hospitals in Northern England over 6 mo</p> <p>Size: N=194. Mean age 71 y; AVB (67%). Acute MI in 53%</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All TVP implants in 18 hospitals.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications</p> <p>Results: Immediate complications in 12/194 (6%) – VT/VF in 6, arterial puncture (3), pneumothorax (2), brachial plexus injury (1). Late comps in 22/194 (11%) – VT/VF 10, definite/possible sepsis in 10 (5.2%) – almost all had TVP>48 h. 38/194 (20%) needed repositioning. Total comps 35%. 11/194 (5.5%) died within 1 h of procedure. 56/194 (29%) had PPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High rate of implant by junior staff (residents) • Continued high rate of complications in British medical system in 1990s
Pinneri F, et al. 2013 (174) 22240748	<p>Study type: Single-center nonrandomized controlled study.</p> <p>Size: N=106; mean age 77 y, 51% male; 75% had AVB; 59% ultimately required PPM</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring TVP 2003–2010. Pts underwent TVP guided by echo (N=53) or fluoroscopy (N=53) based on operator preference.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Incomplete follow-up (N=4)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: The primary efficacy endpoints were time to pacing, pacing threshold, changes in threshold and need for catheter replacement. The primary safety endpoints were overall complications and death related to TVP implant.</p> <p>Results: Successful in all but 1 in each group (98%). Time to pacing and 24 h threshold better in echo-guided group. TVP repositioned in 6% of echo-guided and 22% of fluoroscopy-</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Echo-guided TVP was safer and more effective in this single center cohort with cardiologists comfortable with technique. • Not clear why dislodgment rate and thresholds would be worse in fluoroscopy group.

			guided groups ($p<0.001$), Comp rate lower in echo (11%) than fluoroscopy (41%) group; $p<0.001$).	
Braun MU, et al. 2006 (175) 16923004	<p>Study type: Non-randomized prospective controlled study comparing externalized active-fixation lead vs. standard temporary TVP wire)</p> <p>Size: 49 pts, mean age 72 y, 63% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with systemic infection requiring VVI pacing >48 h</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Implant success, pacing thresholds, acute complications, dislodgement rate</p> <p>Results: 100% implant success in both groups, paced median 8 d, similar procedure time, acute comps, pacing threshold. There were 24 dislodgments in 12 pts in control group, only 1 in active-fixation lead group ($p<0.01$)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Externalized active fixation TVP lead associated with much lower dislodgment rate than standard TVP wire. Equally safe to implant • Externalized active fixation TVP preferred if pacing >48 h is anticipated.
de Cock CC, et al. 2003 (176) 12765453	<p>Study type: Non-randomized single-center comparison of TVP by femoral route with active vs. passive fixation wire in Netherlands 1998–2001</p> <p>Size: N=72 pts; mean age 70 y, 51% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring TVP at single center requiring prolonged temporary pacing (>48 h) – mean 6 d</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Implant parameters, dislodgments, other adverse events</p> <p>Results: Threshold higher in active (1.38V) than passive (0.7V). Dislodgement lower in active (2/36) than passive (12/36) groups ($p<0.001$). Other comps similar</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fewer dislodgments using an active fixation lead using femoral approach
Kawata H, et al. 2013 (177) 23482613	<p>Study type: Single center retrospective cohort study of temp active fix lead (TPPM) after lead extraction at UCSD</p> <p>Size: N=23; mean age 72 y, 70% male; 87% AVB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 23/47 pts undergoing extraction for CIED infection who were PM-dependent 2010–12</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Duration of TPPM, complications</p> <p>Results: Duration of TPPM mean 12 d. 12/23 discharged to home or SNF. 1 pt died of sepsis from primary infection; 1 pt developed vegetation on TPPM lead – removed and replaced. No dislodgements. One pts had late pocket infection after reimplant.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM is a safe and effective option for PM-dependent pts awaiting reimplant after CIED infection • Allows earlier mobilization and potential discharge to home/nursing facility to await CIED reimplant

Chihrin SM, et al. 2006 (178) 17145220	<p>Study type: Single center retrospective cohort in Canada 2001–5</p> <p>Size: N=20 pts; median 2 d; mean age 62 y; 75% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts implanted with TPPM via left subclavian vein or right internal jugular vein over 5 y period</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pacing duration, complications, costs</p> <p>Results: Duration median 2 d (1–83 d); 1 dislodgement requiring repositioning (5%). Using economic modeling, costs lower with TPPM than conventional TVP at 48 h</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Despite higher lead cost, TPPM cost-effective after 24 h due to lower complications and less intensive bed use
Lever N, et al. 2003 (179) 12527682	<p>Study type: Single center cohort in United Kingdom</p> <p>Size: N=20; mean age 66 y, 85% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring prolonged temp pacing due to infection or drug washout who had tunneled TPPM</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pacing duration, outcome, complications</p> <p>Results: Duration median 28 d (9–81 d); no dislodgments or repositioning; 2 minor local site infections, no systemic infection. One pt died from sepsis unrelated to TPPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM safe and effective, allows early mobility for pts requiring prolonged temporary pacing
Kornberger A, et al. 2013 (180) 23718817	<p>Study type: Single center cohort in Germany</p> <p>Size: N=60; mean age 73 y, 73% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts implanted with TPPM for CIED infection (70%) or other reasons (30%) 2000–2009</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Duration of pacing, outcomes, complications at 30 d</p> <p>Results: Successful in 98% - VVI in 56, DDD in 3) Duration mean 15 d. Intraoperative comps in 2 pts (3.3% - one venous thromboembolism and tamponade, one dislodgement during lead extraction). 4 late comps (6.7%) – 3 possible lead infections, 1 dislodgement.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM safe and effective option for prolonged temporary pacing
Zeigler P, et al. 2006 (181) 16580542	<p>Study type: Single-center cohort in Boston MA</p> <p>Size: N=62 pts; mean age 68 y; 60% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts getting TPPM for prolonged temp pacing at BWH 2000–2004</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Duration of pacing, outcomes, complications</p> <p>Results: Median duration 7.5 d. 66% went on to have PPM. No deaths from arrhythmia, no complications from TPPM, no dislodgements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM safe and effective option for prolonged temporary pacing. • Allows management in lower cost less intensive setting

Zoll PM, et al. 1985 (130) 3886190	<p>Study type: Prospective 5-center cohort study in US</p> <p>Size: 134 pts; mean age 70 y; 65% men</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All ED and hospital pts in whom TCP applied</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Stimulation effectiveness, clinical usefulness, survival in-hospital</p> <p>Results: QRS response to TCP in 78%, deemed clinically useful in 61%, survival in 62%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology for data collection not described • No controls • Endpoints not well described or documented • “This extensive experience with 134 pts treated by several investigators in 5 institutions under varied circumstances confirms the safety and efficacy of this new technique of noninvasive temporary pacing.”
Sherbino J, et al. 2006 (128) 16814446	<p>Study type: Systematic review of 7 studies of TCP for prehospital bradysystole</p> <p>Size: 7 studies, N= 1487</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Euthermic, nontraumatized adults who experience prehospital hemodynamically symptomatic bradycardia or bradysystolic cardiac arrest</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge</p> <p>Results: No benefits to TCP for bradysystolic cardiac arrest. Data inadequate to determine efficacy of TCP for SB</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited systematic review: Heterogeneity of study designs precluded statistical analysis
Hedges JR, et al. 1991 (129) 1721129	<p>Study type: Single EMS-system cohort in US</p> <p>Size: N=51; mean age 73 y, 67% male;</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pt >17 y with hemodynamically compromised bradycardia with witness collapse</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Trauma, hypothermia, initial rhythm asystole, VT, VF</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Arrival to ED with palpable pulse: 26% paced group vs. 13% control Survival to hospital discharge: 15% paced group vs. 0% control</p> <p>Results: Above</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-randomized • Potential for confounding by indication

Data Supplement 31. RCTs of clinical presentation of bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
--	--	--------------------	---	--	--

PRESS Santini M, et al. 2013 (182) 23390123	Aim: Assess whether PM in pts with bifascicular block+syncope reduces symptoms Study type: RCT Size: N=101	Inclusion criteria: LBBB or RBBB+LPFB/LAFB and syncope. Negative EKG, Holter, TTT, EPS Exclusion criteria: Known PM indication	Intervention: All pts got PM; DDD 60 or DDI 30 Comparator: DDI 30 pts	1° combined endpoint: Syncope, presyncope, or other symptoms due to AVB occurred in 23%. Results: Reduction in combined events (HR: 0.32; p=0.042) but syncope alone not reduced.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5% developed a new PM indication with AVB
--	---	---	--	--	---

Data Supplement 32. Nonrandomized data of Clinical Presentation of Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)
Guerrero-Marquez FJ, et al. 2016 (183) 28496928	Aim: To write a featured review of paroxysmal AVB Study type: Review Size: N/A	Inclusion criteria: N/A Exclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: N/A Comparator: N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Idiopathic AVB is paroxysmal 3rd degree heart block (sudden CHB) with no other rhythm abnormal pre or post in pts with NL heart and EKG • Etiology unknown • Intrinsic AVB occurs in pts with underlying HD due to phase 3 or phase 4 block, degeneration of HP or valve disease, ACS (infant MI) • Other causes of AVB; extrinsic vagal effect, Lev-Lenegre disease, SLE, bacterial endocarditis with abscess, sarcoid, Lyme disease, sickle cell
Brignole M, et al. 2011; (184) 21570228	Aim: Follow 18 pts with unexplained syncope	Inclusion criteria: Normal EKG, no SHD, parox CHB per monitoring	Intervention: EPS, Adenosine plasma level, ATP test, TTT, CSM	PPM relieved symptoms in all pts None progressed to perm AVB
ISSUE Brignole M, et al. 2001 (185) 11673344	Aim: Determine mechanism of syncope in pts with BBB and neg EPS Study type: Single arm prospective	Inclusion criteria: 52 pts with syncope, BBB, QRS >100, neg EPS	Intervention: ILR	Results: Most frequent cause of recurrent finding was sudden onset AVB with pauses (63%); CHB typically lasts 2–10 d

	<u>Size:</u> N=52			
Carano N, et al. 2012 (186) 23110777	<u>Aim:</u> Case report and review of RHD and CHB <u>Size:</u> N=1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case report and PubMed search	<u>Intervention:</u> Amoxicillin	<u>Results:</u> Resolution of CHB
Ando G, et al. 2005 (187) 16091145	<u>Aim:</u> Assess hemodynamic of long AVD	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case report	<u>Intervention:</u> PPM	<u>Results:</u> AVD from 290 to 150 and improved symptoms
Koehler U, et al. 1998 (67) 9551750	<u>Aim:</u> Assess effect of OSA Rx on brady <u>Size:</u> N=651	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Mod- sec OSA, neg EPS, echo, EKG, stress test	<u>Intervention:</u> CPAP	<u>Results:</u> 651 brady episodes in 16 pts- 73% were 2 nd and 3 rd AVB; reduced to 72 episodes post CPAP, 3 got PPM for >5 s pauses despite good Rx
Maeno K, et al. 2009 (188) 19466526	<u>Aim:</u> Report the interaction of hypoxia and bradyarrhythmia <u>Study type:</u> Case report, literature review <u>Size:</u> N=1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> CPAP	<u>Results:</u> Profound AVB resolved
Moya A, et al. 2011 (189) 21444367	<u>Aim:</u> Ability of protocol to Dx etiology of syncope <u>Study type:</u> Prospective nonrandomized study using 3 phases; EKG/echo/Holter, EPS/CSM, ILR <u>Size:</u> N=323	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Syncope +BBB, preserved EF	Prospective nonrandomized study using 3 phases; EKG/echo/Holter, EPS/CSM, ILR	<u>Results:</u> 158 (about 50%) were due to paroxysmal AVB or infraHisian abnormalities on EPS
Panic G, et al. 2011 (190) 20226549	<u>Aim:</u> Case report	N/A	N/A	<u>Results:</u> • Presented with high-grade AVB, resolution after 12 d abx

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5% of pts with Lyme will have cardiac involvement, typically AVB
Carroz P, et al. 2010 (191) 19946114	Aim: Discuss pseudo PM syndrome	Inclusion criteria: Case report	Case report	Results: PR was 480 ms, had intermittent cannon A waves, symptoms of fatigue due to atrial contraction before complete A filling, increase PCWP, decrease CO, improved with PPM
Marti-Almor J, et al. 2009 (192) 19578058	Aim: N=259 with BFB, 82% had syncope or presyncope, 18% no symptoms; 61% on EPS had conduction abnormal and received PPM Study type: Observational Size: N=259	Inclusion criteria: LBBB or RBBB+LAFB or RBBB+LPFB 82% had syncope	Prospective consecutive observational study	Results: 82% had symptoms (syncope, presyncope) 61% had pos. EPS (HV >70 if sx, HV>100 if asx, or infraHisian with RAP) and almost all got PPM, 2/3 had progression of AVB
Barold SS, et al. 1996 (193) 8734740	Aim: Editorial Study type: N/A Size: N=N/A	Inclusion criteria: N/A		Opinion: PMs can be used especially in pts with normal LVEF
Barold SS, et al. 2006 (194) 17334913	Aim: Describe clinical manifestations of 1 st AVB Study type: Review paper Size: N=N/A	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: PM, CRT	Results: Pacing addresses symptoms, CRT response is less than in those with normal PR
Barra SN, et al. 2012 (195) 22897386	Aim: Review the more common and rarer causes of AVB in young adults	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Recommendations: Work up for underlying cause based on family Hx and symptoms and risk factors for CAD	Results: More common causes in young adults: CAD, degenerative diseases, cardiomyopathies, infection, rheumatic, autoimmune, infiltrative, vagally induced, drugs

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Kenneback G, et al. 2007 (149) 17255148	Study type: Single-center, prospective cohort (Sweden) Size: N=17 (53% men), mean age 77 y	Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted with high-degree AVB on antiarrhythmic therapy (88% beta blocker) who received PPM, who then had AAD withdrawn with return of AV conduction. Exclusion criteria: Valve surgery in past year, permanent AF	1° endpoint: Recurrence of AVB detected by PM algorithm over 2 y Results: 9/12 pts (75%) with QRS \geq 120 ms and 1/5 pts (20%) developed recurrent AVB. 6/17 pts (35%) developed atrial tachyarrhythmias requiring AAD tx	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate to place PPM in pts with AVB and QRS \geq120 ms w/o further delay or evaluation.
Knudsen MB, et al. 2013 (150) 23869746	Study type: Single-center, retrospective cohort (Denmark) Size: N=55 (55% male, mean age 77 y)	Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted with 2/3 AVB, had temporary wire, were on class II-IV AADs or digoxin. Exclusion criteria: No ECG documentation, AVB due to other identified cause, prior PPM explant, died within several days	1° endpoint: Need for PPM; complications of TPM Results: 47/55 (85%) required PPM in hospital. 2/55 had recurrent AVB and required PPM. 11% of pts had complication of TPM (infection/dislodgment), also prolonged hospital stay	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with AVB on AADs /digoxin do not benefit from TPM and drug washout. Should proceed to PPM w/o delay. • “In the elderly, the drug is virtually never the sole culprit; rather, it just exposes the underlying weakness of the aging conduction system”
Osmonov D, et al. 2012 (151) 22530749	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Turkey) Size: N=108 (16% of all 668 pts admit with 2/3 AVB). 30/108 (28%) had AF with SVR	Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted with 2/3 AVB who were on AV nodal blocking drugs 2008–9 Exclusion criteria: MI, electrolyte disturbances, digoxin toxicity, vasovagal syncope	1° endpoint: Resolution/ recurrence AVB, need for PPM Results: Resolution of AVB with 72 h in 78/108 (72%). 21/78 (27%) had recurrence of AVB. Overall 51/108 (48%) had persistent or recurrent AVB despite drug withdrawal.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of pts with AVB on nodal-blocking drugs require PPM before discharge despite drug withdrawal. • Limited follow-up – other pts may have required PPM at later date
Zeltser D, et al. 2004 (152) 15234417	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Israel)	Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted with 2/3 AVB 1999–2003.	1° endpoint: Resolution/ recurrence AVB, need for PPM Results: 79/92 (86%) had drug discontinued. 32/79(41%) had	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall, only 15% of pts with AVB on nodal blocking drugs had AVB “caused by drugs” • F-u limited to 3 wk

	Size: N=169 (60% male, mean age 78 y). 92/169 (54%) receiving AV nodal blockers	Exclusion criteria: MI, digoxin toxicity, vasovagal syncope	resolution of AVB. 18/32 had relapse of AVB within 3 wk	
Risgaard B, et al. 2012 (153) 22333242	Study type: Retrospective single-center/region cohort study (Denmark) Size: N=259 (mean age 78 y, 46% male). 49.7% had 2/3 AVB. 15% had AF-slow ventricular response	Inclusion criteria: All pts referred for urgent PPM in 2009 Exclusion criteria: Discharged from hospital before implant, referred from outpatient department	1° endpoint: d to implant, complications during wait Results: Mean 8.3 d hospitalization to implant (3.2 d to Dx; 5.1 d waiting for PPM). 83/259 pts (32%) had complications while waiting – Infection (11%), asystole (20%), NSVT (5%), cardiac arrest (3%), death (1%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pt harm results from delay to PPM for capacity issues.
Farre N, et al. 2014 (154) 24491864	Study type: Retrospective single-center cohort (Spain) Size: N=79; mean age 72 y, 50% male	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts with “reversible” 3 rd degree AVB not undergoing initial PPM implant Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Persistence/recurrence of AVB, PPM implant Results: 39% of pts w/o ischemic/infarction developed recurrent AVB, had PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outside setting of MI/ischemia, high proportion of pts with 3rd degree AVB and “reversible causes” develop recurrent AVB. • Close f-u warranted • Study was research letter with little data presented
Antman EM, et al. 1990 (100) 2188752	Study type: Open-label, 21-center prospective cohort study 1974–86 Size: N=150 pts (79=53% with high-degree AVB). 46% male, mean age 65 y	Inclusion criteria: Pts who received digoxin-specific Fab fragments in trial 1974–86. Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Clinical “response” Results: 80% complete resolution of signs/sx. 10% partial response, 10% complete response.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB pts not separately analyzed
Hickey AR, et al. 1991 (101) 1993775	Study type: Multi-center US national prospective cohort Size: N=717; 40% men, mean age 74 y	Inclusion criteria: All pts in US receiving anti-digoxin Fab fragments Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Resolution of symptoms of digoxin toxicity Results: 50% complete response, 24% partial response; 12 % no response. 3% recurrence rate. 1% allergy to therapy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No separate analysis of AVB pts
Sadek MM, et al. 2013 (155) 23623644	Study type: Systematic review	Inclusion criteria: English language, original outcome data	1° endpoint: Reversal or improvement in AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considerable study heterogeneity

	Size: 10 publications; 299 pts	on pts with cardiac sarcoidosis tx with steroids. Exclusion criteria: Reports less than 5 subjects or less than 3-mo follow-up	Results: 27/57 (47%) cases treated with steroids had improved or recovered AV conduction vs. 0/16 pts. w/o steroid treatment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Improvement” in AV conduction not defined • Outcome not defined in terms of need for PM
Kandolin R, et al. 2011 (156) 21427276	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Finland) Size: N=133; 72 pts with unexplained AVB	Inclusion criteria: Pts. 18–55 y who had PPM implant for unexplained 2 nd /3 rd -degree AVB Exclusion criteria: None described	1° endpoint: Dx of cardiac sarcoidosis; reversal of AVB with treatment. Results: 18/72 (25%) had probable (4) or definite (14) cardiac sarcoidosis AVB reversed in only 2/16 pts (13%) treated with steroids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selected population, tertiary referral center • Little data about AVB described • Overall suggests low chance of reversing AVB with steroid treatment
Ozcan KS, et al. 2012 (157) 22738687	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort (Turkey) Size: N=50 (29 hypothyroid, 21 hyperthyroid)	Inclusion criteria: All pts. with 2 nd /3 rd degree AVB who had hyper- or hypothyroidism Exclusion criteria: MI, electrolytes abnormalities, digoxin toxicity, vasovagal syncope, on AADs	1° endpoint: Persistent AVB despite treatment of thyroid abnormalities Results: 46/50 (92%) pts required PPM; 2 additional pts had persistent AVB. 22/29 (76%) with hypothyroidism and 18/21 (86%) with hyperthyroidism had irreversible AVB.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thyroid abnormalities are rarely a cause of reversible AVB.
Forrester JD, et al. 2014 (158) 24879781	Study type: Systematic review of case reports and case series Size: 34 manuscripts reporting 45 cases	Inclusion criteria: English language case reports or series in peer-reviewed journal of pts with Lyme disease and ECG-documented 3 rd degree AVB Exclusion criteria: Not in English, pt not US, no pt variables reported	1° endpoint: Outcomes, need for PPM, persistence of AVB Results: 18/45 (40%) required TPM, 2/45 (4%) had PPM, both in 1980s. All other cases resolved, median time to resolution 6 d (range 1–42 d).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB with Lyme carditis almost always resolves with treatment
Van der Linde, MR, 1991 (159) 1947815	Study type: Review of published case reports in Europe and North America, European questionnaire, personal communication, observations	Inclusion criteria: Published case reports 1977–90, questionnaire, personal communication, observations Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Outcome, resolution of AVB, need for PPM Results: 49% had 3 rd degree AVB, 16% had 2 nd degree AVB. 35% required TPM, 94% with complete recovery of AV conduction, only 1 pt (1%) had persistent 3 rd degree AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM rarely needed after episode of Lyme carditis

	Size: 105 cases			
--	------------------------	--	--	--

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Abu-Laban RB, et al. 2006 (104) 16698410	Aim: To determine whether aminophylline increases rate of ROSC after out of hospital cardiac arrest Study type: RCT Size: N=971	Inclusion criteria: Pts over 16 y in British Columbia 2001–3 with brady-asystolic arrest refractory to intubation, atropine and epinephrine Exclusion criteria: Do-not-resuscitate order, pregnancy, hemorrhage/trauma or hypothermia, end-stage renal disease, on theophylline	Intervention: 500 mg IV aminophylline bolus Comparator: Matching placebo	1° endpoint: ROSC: 24.5% I vs. 23.7% C (0.8%, -4.6–6.2; p=0.778) Survival to hospital admission: 6.6% I vs. 7.6% C (-1.0%, -4.3–2.2; p=0.527) Survival to hosp. discharge: 0.4% I vs. 0.6% C (-0.2%, -1.1–0.7%; p=0.653) Safety endpoint (if relevant): None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not call out pt with AVB • Prehospital setting only
PrePACE Morrison, LJ, et al. 2008 (86) 17933452	Aim: To compare outcome of pts with prehospital unstable bradycardia with TCP vs. dopamine Study type: RCT Size: N=82; mean age 71 y; 57% male	In Inclusion criteria: Pts ≥18 y presenting to Toronto EMS with hemodynamically unstable bradycardia unresponsive to fluids and atropine Exclusion criteria: Trauma, hyperthermia, hypothermia, cardiac arrest	Intervention: TCP 80 bpm Comparator: Dopamine 5–20 mcg/kg/min	1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge 69% I vs. 70% C (p=NS) Safety endpoint: VT/VF/cardiac arrest/burn: 7.1% C vs. 7.5% C (p=NS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of eligible pts not randomized • This was a pilot study for potential larger RCT • Dopamine equivalent to TCP in this small pilot study

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
---	----------------------------------	--------------------	---	----------------------------------

Brady WJ, et al. 1999 (79) 10459592	<p>Study type: Single-EMS system retrospective cohort in US</p> <p>Size: N=131; 45 with AVB; Mean age 69 y; 53% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All nontraumatized pts from 1990–1993 who experienced bradycardia with associated hemodynamic instability who received atropine in field.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Not stated, but presumably cardiac arrest. Also excluded pre-hospital deaths (N=16)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: “Response” in 4 categories – adverse, none, partial, complete</p> <p>Results: Mean dose 1.1 mg. Of 37 pts with 3rd degree AVB in field, 21 arrived in ED with 3rd degree AVB and only 9 left ED with 3rd degree AVB. Of all pts, 27% had complete response, 20% partial, 50% none; 3% adverse</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited methodology, results poorly described
Feigl D, et al. 1984 (160) 6736451	<p>Study type: Single center retrospective cohort study in Israel 1978–1982</p> <p>Size: N=34; mean age 62 y; 82% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 2nd or 3rd degree AVB developing in course of IMI who survived >72 h</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Outcomes, response to atropine</p> <p>Results: Of 15 pts with early AVB (<6 h). Atropine normalized conduction in 20%, increased V rate in others. 5 had normalization with isoproterenol. 14 pts had late AVB – less response to atropine (mean 16 bpm). 50% required TPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Descriptive, uncontrolled study • No adverse events to drug therapy reported
Sclarovsky S, 1984 (161) 6731277	<p>Study type: Single center retrospective cohort study in Israel 1972–1982</p> <p>Size: N=76</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts with acute inferior MI who developed 2nd or 3rd degree AVB in hospital</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Combined AMI and IMI</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Description of outcomes, response to atropine and isoproterenol</p> <p>Results: 6/14 (36%) of pts with early AVB improved vs. 13/17 (77%) pts with late AVB (p<0.05). 2/8 (25%) of pts with early block and 2/6 (33%). 50% of pts had TPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Descriptive uncontrolled study • No adverse events to drug therapy reported
Chihrin SM, et al. 2008 (162) 18308011	<p>Study type: Single center prospective cohort in Canada</p> <p>Size: N=100; mean age 75 y; 56% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts from 2003–2006 undergoing PPM generator change who were PM dependent</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Elicitation of escape rhythm with PM stepdown to 30 bpm or isoproterenol 1–2 mcg/min</p> <p>Results: 59% demonstrated intrinsic rhythm with stepdown alone. Of remaining 41 pts, 28 (68%) demonstrated intrinsic rhythm with isoproterenol. No adverse events.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests that isoproterenol can be used to elicit faster escape rate in pts with AVB

Hurley KF, et al. 2015 (105) 76593309	Study type: Systematic review of 5 RCTs Size: N=1254	Inclusion criteria: RCTs of aminophylline used for pre-hospital resuscitate of bradysystolic cardiac arrest Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Survival to discharge/admission, ROSC Results: No improvement in outcome by any measure with aminophylline. Overall survival extremely low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aminophylline not useful in out of hospital bradysystolic arrest
Sodeck GH, et al. 2007 (77) 17212976	Study type: Retrospective analysis of single ED registry from tertiary center in Austria Size: N=277; mean age 68 y; 62% male; about 50% AVB	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts admitted to ED 1994–2004 with symptomatic, hemodynamically significant bradycardia Exclusion criteria: Asymptomatic and terminally ill pts	1° endpoint: Use of drugs for bradycardia, use of pacing Results: IV medications given to 170 pts (61%) – Atropine in 141 (51%), orciprenaline 62 (22%), epi 24 (9%), dopamine 6 (2%). 7 pts had TCP (4 successful); 54 (20%) had temporary TVP. 137/277 (50%) received PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Descriptive study with no control group • Pts with AVB not separately reported or analyzed • Minimal information on clinical effects of intervention given
Bertolet BD, et al. 1995 (163) 7661495	Study type: Single-center observational cohort in US Size: N=8; 3 with complete AVB	Inclusion criteria: Pts with significant AVB developing within 4 h of admission for acute inferior MI, resistant to atropine, given IV theophylline up to 250 mg Exclusion criteria: Pts who received BBs or CCBs prior	1° endpoint: Restoration of 1-1 AV conduction Results: All 8 pts had restoration of 1-1 AV conduction within 3 min lasting at least 24 h	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No controls • Very small, single-center experience
Altun A, et al. 1998 (164) 9789698	Study type: Single-center observational cohort in Turkey Size: N=8; 6 with complete AVB; mean age 68 y	Inclusion criteria: Pts with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB after IMI for at least 1 h, resistant to atropine. Given 2 doses of aminophylline 240 mg 1 h apart Exclusion criteria: Pts in hyperacute phase of MI, received AV nodal blocking drugs	1° endpoint: Restoration of AV conduction Results: Aminophylline restored 1-1 AV conduction in 7 pts and Mobitz I AVB in 1. No adverse effects. AVB relapsed in 1 pt only	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No controls • Very small, single-center experience
Goodfellow J, et al. 1995 (165) 7588933	Study type: Single-center case series in United Kingdom	Inclusion criteria: Pts with atropine-resistant AVB with acute inferior MI treated with	1° endpoint: Restoration of 1-1 AV conduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No controls • Very small case series

	Size: N=3	streptokinase. All had hypotension Exclusion criteria: None	Results: 1-1 AV conduction restored promptly with resolution of hypotension in all	
Love, JN, et al. 1998 (90) 9674488	Study type: Single center case series in US Size: N=9	Inclusion criteria: Pts presenting with symptomatic bradycardia resistant to atropine 1 mg who received IV glucagon 1–7 mg then 3–5 mg/h Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Improvement in bradycardia and hemodynamics Results: All pts improved at least transiently	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most pts had BBs and/or CCBs as significant co-factors • Unknown how many had AVB
Dhingra RC, et al. 1973 (166) 4744693	Study type: Single center cohort undergoing invasive EP study in US Size: N=42; 8 with 3 rd degree AVB, 3 with 2 nd degree AVB	Inclusion criteria: 42 pts with heart disease undergoing invasive EPS w/o and with isoproterenol Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Improvement in AV conduction and change in ventricular rate Results: 2/8 pts with 3 rd degree AVB had improved conduction with isoproterenol, as did 3/3 pts with 3 rd degree AVB. Ventricular rate improved in all subjects from mean of 45 bpm to 62 bpm, regardless of site of block	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very small study • Bias in reflects those able to undergo EPS • Hemodynamics/ BP not measured • Suggests isoproterenol useful to augment heart rate in 2nd and 3rd degree AVB
Hatle L, et al. 1971 (167) 5557475	Study type: Single center prospective cohort from Norway Size: N=105 pts with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB in setting of acute MI	Inclusion criteria: Pts with acute MI treated 1966–1970 with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB treated with isoproterenol, generally 1–3 mcg/min Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Improvement in heart rate Results: In hospital mortality 48%. 60 pts received isoproterenol: 38 (63%) had increase in heart rate and BP; 12 (20%) had increased in heart rate but minimal change in BP; 8 (13%) had minimal change; 2 (3%) isoproterenol terminated due to ventricular ectopy. 3 pts had ventricular fibrillation on isoproterenol, 1 of which died. 14 pts treated with TVP, 3 of whom died from ventricular fibrillation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very early cohort when there was minimal treatment for acute MI • Extremely high mortality • In this group, isoproterenol appeared safe compared with TVP • Uncontrolled cohort study

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
---------------------------------------	--	--------------------	---	---	--

Ferguson JD, et al. 1997 (113) 9217762	<p>Aim: Compare 2 types of TVP catheters for success and complication rates</p> <p>Study type: Unblinded RCT</p> <p>Size: 40 pts, mean age 72 y. 85% with AVB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Undergoing temporary VVI pacing (85% with AVB) guided by fluoroscopy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>Intervention: Use of balloon-tipped electrode (N=20)</p> <p>Comparator: Use of semi-rigid electrode (N=20)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Procedure duration (264 vs. 540 s; $p<0.002$), fluoroscopy time (87 vs. 189 s; $p<0.01$), suitability of final position (0 unacceptable vs. 7; $p<0.0001$). Thresholds similar</p> <p>Safety endpoint (if relevant): Dislodgement (1 vs. 3) Death (0 vs. 2)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When guided by fluoroscopy, balloon-tipped catheters are easier to place successfully than semi-rigid catheters • Use of balloon-tipped catheter associated with trend toward lower complication rate
Barthell E, 1988 (127) 3056132	<p>Aim: Compared TCP added to ACLS vs. ACLS alone for pre-hospital pts with asystole, EMD, or hypotensive bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: Unblinded RCT (alternate d randomization)</p> <p>Size: N=239; 142 with asystole; 84 with EMD; 13 with hypotensive bradycardia</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All adult, nontraumatic bradyasystolic episodes or arrests treated by Milwaukee County Paramedic System Oct 1986–May 1987</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>Intervention: TCP + ACLS</p> <p>Comparator: ACLS alone</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission: Asystole/EMD 17% I vs. 20% C ($p=NS$) Hypo-brady 100% I vs. 29% C ($p=0.01$)</p> <p>Survival to hospital discharge: Asystole/EMD 2% I vs. 4% C ($p=NS$) Hypo-brady 83% I vs. 14% C ($p=0.01$)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited form of randomization • Overall, no effect of TCP for pre-hospital use for asystole/EMD arrest • Possible benefit for hypotensive bradycardia, but number of pts very small
Cummins RO, et al. 1993 (168) 8474514	<p>Aim: Determine efficacy of TCP of asystolic out of hospital cardiac arrest</p> <p>Study type: Modified RCT by center</p> <p>Size: N=1056 cardiac arrests; N=537 with asystole as first rhythm; N=305 with asystole after VF</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All cardiac arrests in Seattle area over 3 y period; Primary group was those with asystole as first rhythm</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>Intervention: 16 EMS/fire districts given TCP and trained in use</p> <p>Comparator: 23 EMS/fire districts given TCP and trained in use</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission/ primary asystole: 8% I vs. 8% C ($p=NS$) Survival to discharge: 4% I vs. 2% C (OR: 2.05; $p=NS$)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No improvement for pts with initial VF • Limited form of randomization

Hedges JR, et al. 1987 (169) 3315295	<p>Aim: Determine efficacy of TCP added to ACLS for prehospital hemodynamically significant bradycardia or asystole</p> <p>Study type: RCT (alternate day)</p> <p>Size: N=202</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts over 14 y treated by Thurston County, EMS for hemodynamically-significant bradycardia with decreased mental status (Glasgow coma score ≤ 12)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>Intervention: On odd calendar days, EMS used TCP 100 bpm at max output for pts</p> <p>Comparator: On even calendar days, TCP was not used</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital admission: 17% I vs. 17% C (p=NS) Survival to hospital discharge: 6% I vs. 4% C (p=NS)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited form of randomization • No improvement with TCP
PrePACE Morrison, LJ, et al. 2008 (86) 17933452	<p>Aim: To compare outcome of pts with prehospital unstable bradycardia with TCP vs. dopamine</p> <p>Study type: RCT</p> <p>Size: N=82; mean age 71 y; 57% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts 18 y or older presenting to Toronto EMS with hemodynamically unstable bradycardia unresponsive to fluids and atropine</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Trauma, hyperthermia, hypothermia, cardiac arrest</p>	<p>Intervention: TCP 80 bpm</p> <p>Comparator: Dopamine 5–20 mcg/kg/min</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge 69% I vs. 70% C (p=NS)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: VT/VF/cardiac arrest/burn: 7.1% C vs. 7.5% C (p=NS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of eligible pts not randomized • This was a pilot study for potential larger RCT • No benefit to TCP seen

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Sodeck GH, et al. 2006 (77) 17212976	<p>Study type: Single center retrospective cohort in Austria</p> <p>Size: 277 pts (62% male, 48% AVB)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts >18 y presenting to ED with “compromising bradycardia from 1994–2004; mean heart rate 33 bpm</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Asymptomatic bradycardia, terminal illness</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 30 d mortality</p> <p>Results: 5% mortality at 30 d. 20% of pts treated with temporary TVP. 50% of those pts went on to have permanent pacing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary TVP required in about 20% of pts presenting to ED with symptomatic bradycardia • Half of those pts go on to PPM

Brikhahn RH, et al. 2004 (170) 15039689	<p>Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort in US</p> <p>Size: 154 pts, 117 meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria. Mean age 78 y, 38% male, 51% AVB)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts with temporary TVP placed in ED, intensive care unit, or ward 1999–2002. Only 3% placed under fluoroscopy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Indication asystole, TVP placed in cath or EP lab, no attending supervision</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Successful temporary TVP placement. Complication rate.</p> <p>Results: 88% success on first attempt. 17% serious complication rate. 96% placed by cephalic approach. 67% had PPM. 23% in-hospital mortality</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar success rates between ED physicians and cardiologists • High overall success rate of implantation of TVP • Cephalic route rarely used in general practice today
Betts TR, 2003 (119) 12954959	<p>Study type: Prospective registry in 5 regional hospitals in England in 1999</p> <p>Size: 144 procedures in 111 pts; mean age 75 y.; 63% male; 51% AVB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All TVPs placed over 9 mo period in 1999</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None cited</p>	<p>1° endpoint: General overview of procedure technique, outcomes, complications</p> <p>Results: Procedure times shorter for cardiologists, 28% complication rate. Immediate complication rates lower with experience (1/81) vs. inexperienced (5/59) operators. Infection occurred more in wires left in >48 h (17/86) than <48 h (2/55). 23% of comps resulted in delayed PPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests benefit to TVP implant by cardiologists/ experienced operators • Greater infection risk for TVP wires left in >48 h • High rate of overall complications seen • 23% of comps delayed PPM implantation
Mahapatra S, et al. 2005 (171) 16171740	<p>Study type: Case-control derived from prospective database 1995–2003 at Mayo Clinic</p> <p>Size: 50 pts with cardiac perforation after PPM vs. 100 controls</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing PPM 1995–2003 with perforation and new effusion.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Age <18 y, prior effusion or cardiac surgery within 4 wk of PPM</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Risk factors for perforation after PPM</p> <p>Results: 1.2% of all pts had perforation. Predictors of perforation in multivariate analysis included prior TVP (HR: 2.7; 95% CI: 1.4–3.9], helical screw leads (HR: 2.5), steroid use (HR: 3.2)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests benefit to avoiding TVP prior to permanent pacing unless essential
Lang R, et al. 1981 (172) 6169032	<p>Study type: Single-center nonrandomized controlled study</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring emergency or semi-urgent temporary TVP at a single Israeli center</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Successful implant, procedure time, threshold, multiple safety endpoints</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Superiority of balloon-tipped, flow guided electrode catheter for temporary TVP demonstrated.

	<p>comparing balloon-tipped, flow-guided TVP vs. standard semi-rigid catheter</p> <p>Size: 111 consecutive pts (67 flow-guided, 44 semi-rigid)</p>	<p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>Results: Flow-guided TVP had 1) shorter insertion time (7 min vs. 14 min); less dislodgement (13% vs. 32%), lower incidence of serious ventricular arrhythmia (1.5 vs. 20.4%) Thresholds similar.</p>	
<p>Hynes JK, et al. 1983 (115) 6823157</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center cohort at Mayo Clinic</p> <p>Size: 1022 pts, mean age 68 y, (65% male)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing temporary TVP wire in Mayo Clinic coronary care unit 1976–81.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications</p> <p>Results: Implanted mean 3.1 d, 64% placed antecubital route, 19% subclavian vein, 12 % internal jugular vein. 13.7% complication rate, increasing with duration of TVP. Lowest comp rate with internal jugular vein 5.3% pericarditis. PPM implanted in 58% of pts.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preference for internal jugular and subclavian vein access sites confirmed
<p>Winner S and Boon N, 1989 (173) 2769615</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective single region cohort study</p> <p>Size: 266 pts/ 158 (59%) had temporary TVP</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts referred to regional center for PPM</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Missing records</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications, defined as “major problems”: dislodgement, infection, pericarditis/perforation, thrombosis, wire in left ventricle</p> <p>Results: 36% rate of major problems, much higher rate at smaller referral hospitals. 6% infection; 30% failure to pace, 4% pericarditis</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advise avoiding TVP before PPM unless absolutely necessary
<p>López Ayerbe J, et al. 2004 (114) 15544753</p>	<p>Study type: Retrospective single center cohort in Barcelona, Spain</p> <p>Size: N=530; mean age 74 y, 54% male; 51% AVB.</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts receiving TVP 1997–2003. All via femoral route (99%) with fluoroscopic guidance</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Pts transferred out with no available f-u (N=38)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes</p> <p>Results: Mean duration 4.2 d. 22% complication rate: 1% death (3 tamponade, 1 asystole, 1 PE, 1 sepsis). 9% migration/dislodgement' 9% other (VTE, effusion, infection)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complication rates improved compared with series from 1980s and 1990s (18–43%) • Lower use in pts with acute MI seen

Bjornstad CC, et al. 2012 (126) 22390277	<p>Study type: Prospective regional 5 hospital study in Norway 2010–11.</p> <p>Size: N=50; 45% AVB; mean age 79 y, 62% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts with TVP in 5 hospitals March 2010–March 2011. All with fluoroscopy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes</p> <p>Results: 96% TVP; 4% TCP. 60% received PPM; 14% died. 30% rate of “serious complications” including 6% death from sepsis</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fewer TVPs being performed by more physicians with less experience • Lower complication rate with more experienced implanters
McCann P, 2006 (125) 17235372	<p>Study type: Systematic review 1973–2004</p> <p>Size: 15 studies; N=3737; mean age 71 y</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Cohort studies of TVP published 1973–2004</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications, outcomes</p> <p>Results: Overall complication rate 26.5%: 15% failed access, 10% failed placement, 9% sepsis, 4% arterial puncture, 2% lung/myocardium puncture</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodologically limited systematic review • Higher complication rate in older pts • Lower complication rate when implanted by specialists • Trend toward greater use of right internal jugular access over time
Jou YL, et al. 2010 (124) 20946290	<p>Study type: Single center retrospective cohort in Taiwan 2002–8</p> <p>Size: N=509; mean age 77 y, 74% male; 64% AVB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts with TVP 2002–8 at single center</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Trends in use</p> <p>Results: Greater use for AVB with intrinsic disease, less for sinus node dysfunction and MI over time. 48% had PPM implant within 30 d (mean 6 d) with increasing rate over time.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High rate of PPM for degenerative AVB
Knudsen MB, et al. 2013 (150) 23869746	<p>Study type: Retrospective single-center cohort at academic medical center in Denmark 2000–11.</p> <p>Size: N=575 with TVP. N=55 with AVB and potential culprit drug. Mean age 77 y, 56% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts getting TVP wire 2000–11 who had AVB and potential culprit drug discontinued</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: No ECG documentation; other etiology of bradycardia documented; PPM infection; in hospital death</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Indication for PPM despite drug discontinuation; complications and outcomes</p> <p>Results: 49/55 (89%) ultimately required PPM, including 26/27 (96%) on BBs. 11% comp rate from TVP. PPM postponed mean of 7 d for drug withdrawal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors conclude that: “Primary PPM implantation should be considered in pts with high-degree AVB and concomitant AV blocking therapy, unless other reversible causes...exist.” • “In the elderly, the drug is virtually never the sole culprit; rather, it just exposes the underlying weakness of the aging conduction system

<p>Murphy JJ, 1996 (116) 8620131</p>	<p>Study type: Prospective cohort in 18 hospitals in Northern England over 6 mo</p> <p>Size: N=194. Mean age 71 y; AVB (67%). Acute MI in 53%</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All TVP implants in 18 hospitals.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications</p> <p>Results: Immediate complications in 12/194 (6%) – VT/VF in 6, arterial puncture (3), pneumothorax (2), brachial plexus injury (1). Late comps in 22/194 (11%) – VT/VF 10, definite/possible sepsis in 10 (5.2%) – almost all had TVP>48 h. 38/194 (20%) needed repositioning. Total comps 35%. 11/194 (5.5%) died within 1 h of procedure. 56/194 (29%) had PPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High rate of implant by junior staff (residents) • Continued high rate of complications in British medical system in 1990s
<p>Pinneri F, et al. 2013 (174) 22240748</p>	<p>Study type: Single-center nonrandomized controlled study.</p> <p>Size: N=106; mean age 77 y, 51% male; 75% had AVB; 59% ultimately required PPM</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring TVP 2003–2010. Pts underwent TVP guided by echo (N=53) or fluoroscopy (N=53) based on operator preference.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Incomplete follow-up (N=4)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: The primary efficacy endpoints were time to pacing, pacing threshold, changes in threshold and need for catheter replacement. The primary safety endpoints were overall complications and death related to TVP implant.</p> <p>Results: Successful in all but 1 in each group (98%). Time to pacing and 24 h threshold better in echo-guided group. TVP repositioned in 6% of echo-guided and 22% of fluoroscopy-guided groups ($p<0.001$), Comp rate lower in echo (11%) than fluoroscopy (41%) group; $p<0.001$.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Echo-guided TVP was safer and more effective in this single center cohort with cardiologists comfortable with technique. • Not clear why dislodgment rate and thresholds would be worse in fluoroscopy group.
<p>Braun MU, et al. 2006 (175) 16923004</p>	<p>Study type: Non-randomized prospective controlled study comparing externalized active-fixation lead vs. standard temporary TVP wire)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with systemic infection requiring VVI pacing >48 h</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Implant success, pacing thresholds, acute complications, dislodgement rate</p> <p>Results: 100% implant success in both groups, paced median 8 d, similar procedure time, acute comps, pacing threshold. There were 24 dislodgments in 12 pts in control</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Externalized active fixation TVP lead associated with much lower dislodgment rate than standard TVP wire. Equally safe to implant • Externalized active fixation TVP preferred if pacing >48 h is anticipated.

	Size: 49 pts, mean age 72 y, 63% male		group, only 1 in active-fixation lead group (p<0.01)	
de Cock CC, et al. 2003 (176) 12765453	Study type: Non-randomized single-center comparison of TVP by femoral route with active vs. passive fixation wire in Netherlands 1998–2001 Size: N=72 pts; mean age 70 y, 51% male	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring TVP at single center requiring prolonged temporary pacing (>48 h) – mean 6 d Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Implant parameters, dislodgments, other adverse events Results: Threshold higher in active (1.38V) than passive (0.7V). Dislodgement lower in active (2/36) than passive (12/36) groups (p<0.001). Other comps similar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fewer dislodgments using an active fixation lead using femoral approach
Kawata H, et al. 2013 (177) 23482613	Study type: Single center retrospective cohort study of temp active fix lead (TPPM) after lead extraction at UCSD Size: N=23; mean age 72 y, 70% male; 87% AVB	Inclusion criteria: 23/47 pts undergoing extraction for CIED infection who were PM-dependent 2010–12 Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Duration of TPPM, complications Results: Duration of TPPM mean 12 d. 12/23 discharged to home or SNF. 1 pt died of sepsis from primary infection; 1 pt developed vegetation on TPPM lead – removed and replaced. No dislodgements. One pts had late pocket infection after reimplant.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM is a safe and effective option for PM-dependent pts awaiting reimplant after CIED infection • Allows earlier mobilization and potential discharge to home/nursing facility to await CIED reimplant
Chihrin SM, et al. 2006 (178) 17145220	Study type: Single center retrospective cohort in Canada 2001–5 Size: N=20 pts; median 2 d; mean age 62 y; 75% male	Inclusion criteria: Pts implanted with TPPM via left subclavian vein or right internal jugular vein over 5 y period Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Pacing duration, complications, costs Results: Duration median 2 d (1–83 d); 1 dislodgement requiring repositioning (5%). Using economic modeling, costs lower with TPPM than conventional TVP at 48 h	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Despite higher lead cost, TPPM cost-effective after 24 h due to lower complications and less intensive bed use

Lever N, et al. 2003 (179) 12527682	<p>Study type: Single center cohort in United Kingdom</p> <p>Size: N=20; mean age 66 y, 85% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts requiring prolonged temp pacing due to infection or drug washout who had tunneled TPPM</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pacing duration, outcome, complications</p> <p>Results: Duration median 28 d (9–81 d); no dislodgments or repositioning; 2 minor local site infections, no systemic infection. One pt died from sepsis unrelated to TPPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM safe and effective, allows early mobility for pts requiring prolonged temporary pacing
Kornberger A, et al. 2013 (180) 23718817	<p>Study type: Single center cohort in Germany</p> <p>Size: N=60; mean age 73 y, 73% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts implanted with TPPM for CIED infection (70%) or other reasons (30%) 2000–2009</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Duration of pacing, outcomes, complications at 30 d</p> <p>Results: Successful in 98% - VVI in 56, DDD in 3) Duration mean 15 d. Intraoperative comps in 2 pts (3.3% - one venous thromboembolism and tamponade, one dislodgement during lead extraction). 4 late comps (6.7%) – 3 possible lead infections, 1 dislodgement.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM safe and effective option for prolonged temporary pacing
Zeigler P, et al. 2006 (181) 16580542	<p>Study type: Single-center cohort in Boston MA</p> <p>Size: N=62 pts; mean age 68 y; 60% male</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts getting TPPM for prolonged temp pacing at BWH 2000–2004</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Duration of pacing, outcomes, complications</p> <p>Results: Median duration 7.5 d. 66% went on to have PPM. No deaths from arrhythmia, no complications from TPPM, no dislodgements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TPPM safe and effective option for prolonged temporary pacing. • Allows management in lower cost less intensive setting
Zoll PM, et al. 1985 (130) 3886190	<p>Study type: Prospective 5-center cohort study in US</p> <p>Size: 134 pts; mean age 70 y; 65% men</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All ED and hospital pts in whom TCP applied</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None stated</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Stimulation effectiveness, clinical usefulness, survival in-hospital</p> <p>Results: QRS response to TCP in 78%, deemed clinically useful in 61%, survival in 62%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology for data collection not described • No controls • Endpoints not well described or documented • “This extensive experience with 134 pts treated by several investigators in 5 institutions under varied circumstances confirms the safety and efficacy of this new technique of noninvasive temporary pacing.”

Sherbino J, et al. 2006 (128) 16814446	Study type: Systematic review of 7 studies of TCP for prehospital bradysystole Size: 7 studies, N= 1487	Inclusion criteria: Euthermic, nontraumatized adults who experience prehospital hemodynamically symptomatic bradycardia or bradysystolic cardiac arrest Exclusion criteria: None stated	1° endpoint: Survival to hospital discharge Results: No benefits to TCP for bradysystolic cardiac arrest. Data inadequate to determine efficacy of TCP for SB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited systematic review: Heterogeneity of study designs precluded statistical analysis
Hedges JR, et al. 1991 (129) 1721129	Study type: Single EMS-system cohort in US Size: N=51; mean age 73 y, 67% male;	Inclusion criteria: Pt >17 y with hemodynamically compromised bradycardia with witness collapse Exclusion criteria: Trauma, hypothermia, initial rhythm asystole, VT, VF	1° endpoint: Arrival to ED with palpable pulse: 26% paced group vs. 13% control Survival to hospital discharge: 15% paced group vs. 0% control Results: Above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-randomized Potential for confounding by indication

Data Supplement 33. RCTs of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
THEOPACE Alboni P et al. 1997 (133) 9236443	Aim: Compare the effects of PM to oral theophylline and to control Study type: RCT (3 arms) Size: 107	Inclusion criteria: Pts with SND Exclusion criteria: Heart rate <30 bpm, pauses >3 s.	Intervention: PM or theophylline Comparator: Control	Results: Syncope reduced in PM group but not in theophylline group compared to control (p=0.02 and 0.07 respectively)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PM and theophylline groups had lower incidence of HF compared to control (p=0.05) Theophylline stopped for side effects in 11%

Data Supplement 34. Nonrandomized data of General Principles of Chronic Therapy/Management of Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Dhingra RC, et al. 1974 (196) 4817704	Aim: Describe natural Hx of 2 nd AVB+ BBB Study type: Prospective observational Size: 15	Inclusion criteria: BBB+ 2 nd degree AVB Exclusion criteria: Acute MI	Intervention: EPS Comparator: N/A	Results: At EPS, type I (Wenckebach) block pts had block proximal to the His and those with type II block or 2:1 block had block distal to the His	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Syncope more common in those with block distal to the His • All 9 pts with infra-His block implanted with PM for syncope, CHF LBBB, but not RBBB, was associated with block distal to the His
Shaw DB and Eraut D 1970 (197) 5413952	Aim: Follow 2 nd and 3 rd degree HB pts for symptoms and mortality Study type: Observational Size: 100	Inclusion criteria: 2 nd 3 rd degree HB Exclusion criteria: Digoxin or propranolol use, acute MI	Intervention: None	Results: Prevalence of heart block increases with age.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • About 50% had syncopal events • About 10% had CHF No reported deaths
Simon AB, et al. 1978 (198) 626128	Aim: Follow natural Hx of AVB pts with PMs Study type: Observational Size: 246	Inclusion criteria: 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB Exclusion criteria: SND	Intervention: PM implant	Results: Survival at 1 and 5 y was 88% and 61% mostly due to underlying cardiac disease and age	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using historical data (50% mortality in first year), authors conclude large mortality benefit with PM • Most common mode of death was SCD 3 deaths clearly attributable to PM failure
Strasberg B, et al. 1981 (199) 7471363	Aim: Assess natural Hx of 2 nd AVB Study type: Prospective observational Size: 56	Inclusion criteria: 2 nd AVB that is chronic, and shown by EPS Exclusion criteria: Acute AVB in setting of MI	Intervention: None	Results: 2/3 had Hx of heart disease which conferred worse survival. Causes of death were CHF, MI, and SCD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All ECGs showed type I AVB. • None progressed to CHB • 3 deaths were attributed to PM failure

Edhag O, et al. 1976 (200) 1015354	<p>Aim: Report natural Hx of pts with CHB or arrhythmic syncope</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 101</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: PM not implanted</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: PM implantation</p>	<p>Intervention: None</p>	<p>Results: CHB with Adams-Stokes had worse survival than asx CHB</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survival at 1 y=68%, at 5 y=37%
Shaw DB, et al. 1985 (201) 4005079	<p>Aim: Compare outcomes in pts with type I and type II 2nd degree AVB</p> <p>Study type: Observational</p> <p>Size: 214 (77 Mobitz I, 86 Mobitz II, 51 2:1)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 2nd degree AVB</p>	<p>Intervention: PM</p> <p>Comparator: No PM</p>	<p>Results: 5-y survival for pts with PM=78%; survival for those w/o a PM=41%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pts with Mobitz I and Mobitz II had similar prognosis
Wahbi K, et al. 2012 (202) 22453570	<p>Aim: Determine whether EPS+ prophylactic PM improves survival in MD</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective study using DM1 Heart Registry</p> <p>Size: 486</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: PR >200, QRS >100, or both</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: High-grade AVB or already have PM</p>	<p>Intervention: EPS and implant PM if HV >70 ms</p> <p>Comparator: Noninvasive strategy</p>	<p>Results: Overall survival 74.4% (95% CI: 69.2–79.9%) The EPS+PM group survival was 76.7% and the noninvasive group was 69.2%; when adjusted for clinical variables the HR=0.61 (95% CI: 0.38–0.98; p=0.04)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The HR of dying suddenly was 75% lower in the invasive group The noninvasive group had an incidence if SCD of 18% (95% CI: 10.2–27.4%)
Buckley AE, et al. 1999 (203) 10377322	<p>Aim: Describe cardiac involvement in Emery Dreifuss</p> <p>Study type: Small case series</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts with Emery Dreifuss and cardiac involvement</p>	<p>Intervention: PM</p>	<p>Results: The pts exhibited atrial tachycardia, AF, and atrial standstill with junctional bradycardia.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

	Size: 3				
Kitaguchi T, et al. 2001 (204) 11525883	Aim: Describe a proband and his family Study type: Observational Size: 14	Inclusion criteria: Family members with limb girdle MD	Intervention: PM	Results: All family members had AVB and arrhythmias requiring PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A
Finsterer J, et al. 2016 (205) 27014341	Aim: Thorough review of all neuromuscular disease and cardiac involvement Study type: Comprehensive literature search Size: 224 papers	Inclusion criteria: Comprehensive list of search terms and manual searches Exclusion criteria: Abstracts	Intervention: N/A	Results: Several aspects of cardiac involvement were including hypertrophic CM, DCM, CHF, SCD, arrhythmias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A
Ha AH, et al. 2012 (206) 22385162	Aim: Determine predictors of AVB in MD pts Study type: Observational single center Size: 236	Inclusion criteria: MD type I and II	Intervention: PM and ICD Comparator: No device	Results: 23.8% of DM I and 16.7% of DM II pts had a severe ECG abnormality (defined as PR \geq 240 ms or QRS \geq 120 ms). PMs and ICDs were implanted in 14% overall but in 65% of those with severe ECG abnormality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over 44 months of follow-up mean rates of ventricular pacing in device pts was 24% and 13% developed CHB 3 pts died of SCD, 2 of whom had functioning PMs (1.3%)
Lazarus A, et al. 2002 (207) 12427418	Aim: Document incidence of AVB in MD pts with HV>70 but no symptoms Study type: Single arm, prospective	Inclusion criteria: MD pts with HV >70 regardless of symptoms	Intervention: PM	Results: 43% developed CHB, 51% had atrial tachyarrhythmias and 26.5% had VA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No deaths due to AVB • 4 sudden deaths; 2 of which did not have arrhythmia cause per PM interrogation

	<u>Size:</u> 49				
Facenda-Lorenzo M, et al. 2013 (208) 24775453	<u>Aim:</u> Document frequency of arrhythmias in MD type I pts <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective observational study <u>Size:</u> 81	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with genetic tested Dx of type I MD pts referred to cardiology	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS, PM, ICD per physician discretion	<u>Results:</u> At baseline visit, 71.6% had a normal ECG. At follow-up, 48.8% had sinus bradycardia and 31.3% had PR ≥ 220 ms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8.6% developed 2nd or 3rd degree AVB during follow-up • Holter found 2nd or 3rd AVB in 9 pts • 2 pts required an ICD
Bhakta D, et al. 2011 (209) 22035077	<u>Aim:</u> Assess implantation of PM and ICD rates in type I MD pts <u>Study type:</u> Prospective multicenter registry <u>Size:</u> 406	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts seen at 23 different neuromuscular clinics in US	<u>Intervention:</u> PM or ICD per physician discretion	<u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11.3% implanted with a PM, 5.2% with an ICD • 52% of PM pts died in follow-up. PM pts often died of respiratory failure or sudden death • None of pts implanted for isolated 1st AVB progressed to CHB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PR interval ≥ 240 or QRSd ≥ 120 ms appear to predict 2nd or 3rd degree AVB (NPV 99.6% and PPV of 10.3%) • Almost 1/3 of PM pts were PM dependent by last follow-up
Groh WJ, et al. 2008 (210) 18565861	<u>Aim:</u> Assess if ECG can predict SCD in MD type I pts <u>Study type:</u> Prospective multicenter registry <u>Size:</u> 406; of which 96 had severe ECG abnormality	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts seen at 23 different neuromuscular clinics in US; including those with either non-SR, PR ≥ 240 ms, QRS ≥ 120 ms, 2 nd /3 rd degree AVB (termed "severe ECG abnormality") <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> PM or ICD per physician discretion	<u>Results:</u> 20% died; 33% were sudden death. 41 received PM; 27 were prophylactic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Severe EKG abnormality had sensitivity =74.1%, specificity =61.7% for prediction of SCD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atrial tachyarrhythmias were common (30%) • Risk factors for sudden death were severe ECG abnormalities and atrial tachyarrhythmias
Groh WJ, 2012 (211) 22760083	<u>Aim:</u> Contemporary review and expert opinion	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviews role of PM and ICD • Conduction abnormalities are frequent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A

	<u>Study type:</u> N/A <u>Size:</u> N/A			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICDs are often needed to treat VA and SCD 	
Kabunga P, et al. 2015 (212) 25540845	<u>Aim:</u> Systematic review of arrhythmias in Kearns-Sayre pts <u>Study type:</u> Literature review <u>Size:</u> 54 studies	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Specific search terms	<u>Intervention:</u> PM or ICD	<u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 57% of Kearns Sayre pts develop cardiac disease SCD has been reported in up to 20% • Most common is conduction disease which can progress to CHB, or PMVT/torsade 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most common ECG abnormality is LAFB +/-RBBB • Progression to high-grade AVB can be sudden • VA are often bradycardia related
Saxon LA, et al. 1990 (213) 1695352	<u>Aim:</u> Look at Holter and correlate to cerebral symptoms <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 411	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> All Holters with persistent AF	<u>Intervention:</u> N/A	<u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was no difference between the group with symptoms and 2-s pauses and the group with no symptoms and 2-s pauses • Many pts had resolution of symptoms w/o PM implant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 s did not appear to correlate to cerebral symptoms
Hilgard J, et al. 1985 (214) 3984858	<u>Aim:</u> Look at 3 s pauses on Holter and correlate clinical outcomes <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 52	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 6470 Holters screened; 52 had pauses ≥ 3 s.	<u>Intervention:</u> Per the physician discretion to implant PM	<u>Results:</u> Of 52 Holters with pauses, 18 showed AF with slow ventricular response, and 12 had AVB.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26 of 52 received a PM • 5 out of 52 pts had symptoms during the pause
Ector H, et al. 1983 (215) 6191291	<u>Aim:</u> Assess etiology of pauses and indications for PM <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive Holters, 53 had a pause ≥ 3 s.	<u>Intervention:</u> None	<u>Results:</u> Of the 53 Holters with pauses, 5 had AVB and 29 had slow AF. Symptoms were reported in 45 of 53	Authors propose pauses of 3 s as the cutoff for PM

	<u>Size:</u> 2350 Holters; 53 had pauses				
Michaelsson M, et al. 1995 (216) 7634461	<u>Aim:</u> Assess long-term outcome of adults with CCHB <u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational <u>Size:</u> 102	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Isolated CCHB diagnosed in pts 15 y or younger; mean age at follow-up was 38 y	<u>Intervention:</u> 54 implanted with a PM	<u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stokes Adams attacks occurred in 27, 8 of whom died• 24 women w/o PM gave birth, 6 had syncope during pregnancy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There were 11 deaths; 2 died of PM failure and 6 died suddenly 2 pts required an ICD• 8 had BBB, QRS not a predictor of syncope/death
Sholler GF, et al. 1989 (217) 2480059	<u>Aim:</u> Identify factors that predict need for PM in congenital CHB pts <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective chart review <u>Size:</u> 43	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Children with isolated CCHB 1955–1985 at Boston Children’s Hospital	<u>Intervention:</u> PM for symptoms only, not EKG or Holter findings	<u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 29 remained free of symptoms• 14 had symptoms (near syncope, exercise intolerance), 1 had CHF at birth, 1 had cardiac arrest	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Heart rate on ECG or Holter did not predict need for PM
Ando G, et al. 2005 (187) 16091145	<u>Aim:</u> Assess hemodynamics of long AV delay <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case report	<u>Intervention:</u> PM	<u>Results:</u> During a hemodynamic assessment, atrial contraction was seen to occur while AV valves are closed, similar to PM syndrome	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• AV delay decreased from 290 to 150 with PM improved symptoms
Carroz P, et al. 2010 (191) 19946114	<u>Aim:</u> Report pseudo PM syndrome <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case report of pt with marked 1 st degree AV block	<u>Intervention:</u> Rapid atrial pacing and atropine injection	<u>Results:</u> At baseline, pt had PR= 480 ms, intermittent cannon A waves. Symptoms of dizziness and dyspnea improved with PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• N/A

Kim YH, et al. 1993 (218) 8269289	Aim: Describe symptoms of pseudo-PM syndrome Study type: Case report Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: Case report of pseudo-PM syndrome after fast PW ablation and resultant long PR	Intervention: Fast PW ablation	Results: Marked 1 st degree AVB, very symptomatic. Symptoms improved with PM	• N/A
Barold SS, 1996 (193) 8734740	Aim: Editorial to discuss role of PM in 1 st degree AVB Study type: N/A Size: N/A	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: PM implant	Opinion: PMs can be used especially in pts with normal LVEF	• N/A
Alboni P, et al. 2013 (219) 23286970	Aim: Describe vagally mediated AVB Study type: N/A Size: N/A	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PMs are not warranted in asx pts with vagally mediated AVB • Typically, these pts have normal AV conduction 	• N/A
Massie B, et al. 1978 (220) 668079	Aim: Describe EPS findings in pts with Mobitz II AVB Study type: Case series Size: 13	Inclusion criteria: Mobitz type II with concomitant sinus slowing Exclusion criteria: No associated sinus slowing	Intervention: EPS; PM for persistent symptoms	Results: Mobitz type II with sinus slowing appears related to vagal tone	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These episodes of bradycardia were responsive to atropine • Dx of Mobitz type II best when sinus rate is stable
Mosqueda-Garcia, R et al. 2000 (221) 11104751	Aim: Attempt to explain the pathophysiology of neurally mediated syncope	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: N/A	Conclusions: neurally mediated syncope is not a uniform syndrome in all pts and involves baroreceptor reflex abnormalities and neurohumoral mechanisms	• N/A

	<u>Study type:</u> Comprehensive review <u>Size:</u> N/A				
Guerrero-Marquez FJ, et al. 2016 (183) 28496928	<u>Aim:</u> To write a featured review of paroxysmal AVB <u>Study type:</u> Review <u>Size:</u> N/A	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> N/A	<u>Conclusions:</u> Idiopathic AVB is paroxysmal 3 rd degree heart block with no other rhythm abnormalities pre or post in pts with normal heart and EKG	Other causes of AVB include; extrinsic vagal effect, Lev-Lenegre disease, SLE, bacterial endocarditis with abscess, sarcoid, Lyme disease, sickle cell
Kato Y, et al. 2003 (222) 12870723	<u>Aim:</u> Assess efficacy of steroids for resolution of AVB in sarcoidosis <u>Study type:</u> retrospective <u>Size:</u> 20	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with cardiac sarcoid, AVB and normal EF	<u>Intervention:</u> Steroids per physician discretion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Results:</u> Of the 7 treated with steroids 4 had resolution of AVB, 6 had steroid side effects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the 13 untreated pts resolved the AVB
Takaya Y, et al. 2015 (223) 25529542	<u>Aim:</u> Assess outcomes of sarcoid pts with AVB as initial manifestation <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective observational study <u>Size:</u> 53	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive cardiac sarcoid pts with either AVB or VT or CHF	<u>Intervention:</u> PM or ICD per physician	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in general pts presenting with AVB have fewer cardiac adverse events than those with VT/HF (mostly HFH) however cardiac mortality is about the same • Of the 17 with high-grade AVB treated with steroids, 7 responded 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 17 pts with AVB, 7 died of fatal SCD including 3 who responded to steroids for AVB
Padala SK, et al. 2017 (224) 27836297	<u>Aim:</u> Assess impact of steroids given early on AVB, VA, and LVEF <u>Study type:</u> retrospective	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Cardiac sarcoid pts given steroids early after Dx	<u>Intervention:</u> Steroids	<u>Results:</u> Only those where steroids started within 30 d had improvement in LVEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some with early steroid treatment had no VT or AVB recurrence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts who did not receive early steroid treatment did not have any improvement

	<u>Size:</u> 30				
Birnie DH, et al. 2016 (225) 27443438	<u>Aim:</u> Review literature on cardiac sarcoid <u>Study type:</u> N/A <u>Size:</u> N/A	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results: RBBB is more common than LBBB. Epsilon waves are rare. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sarcoidosis with cardiac involvement portends a worse prognosis
Sadek MM, et al. 2013 (155) 23623644	<u>Aim:</u> Systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiac sarcoidosis and steroids <u>Study type:</u> N/A <u>Size:</u> 10 studies	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Published studies on steroids for cardiac sarcoidosis	<u>Intervention:</u> Steroids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results: Overall steroids beneficial for recovery of AVB with 47.4% of pts improved 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are no RCT looking at steroid use in cardiac sarcoid
Zhou Y, et al. 2017 (226) 27614001	<u>Aim:</u> Determine outcome of cardiac sarcoidosis in a single institution <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 73	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> All pts who met criteria for sarcoid	<u>Intervention:</u> Per physician discretion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results: Heart block was present in 19.2% of pts. 5-y survival overall was 95.5%. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of ICD or PM predicted increased mortality
Sayed RH, et al. 2015 (227) 25549725	<u>Aim:</u> Characterize the bradyarrhythmias in cardiac AL amyloid pts <u>Study type:</u> Single arm prospective <u>Size:</u> 20	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> AL amyloidosis + (pre) syncope symptoms	<u>Intervention:</u> All pts received ILR	Results: 13 of the 20 died with median survival 60 d <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8 of the 13 had bradycardia (heart rate <35 bpm) preceding PEA 	Baseline ECG showed 1 st degree AVB in 45% and 1 pt had Mobitz type I at baseline

Reisinger J, et al. 1997 (228) 9316537	Aim: Assess spectrum of EP abnormalities in AL amyloid Study type: Case series Size: 25	Inclusion criteria: AL amyloid	Intervention: EPS. ICD or PM per physician discretion	Results: All 25 had abnormal EKG with conduction disease present • 23 of 25 had HV >55ms (most had narrow QRS)	• 23 died; 10 died of SCD (of which 2 had PM and 1 had ICD)
Panic G, et al. 2011 (190) 20226549	Aim: Case report of AVB due to Lyme disease Study type: Case report Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: Pt with Lyme disease and high-grade 2 nd degree AVB	Intervention: Antibiotics	Results: Pt presented with high-grade AVB which resolved after 12 d of antibiotics	Pt presented for symptoms of fatigue and heart rate of 31 bpm
Maeno K, et al. 2009 (188) 19466526	Aim: Report the interaction of OSA and bradyarrhythmia Study type: Case report and literature review Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Intervention: CPAP	• Results: Profound AVB resolved with CPAP	• In this case, AVB was seen prior to hypoxia and was not simultaneous
Benditt DG, et al. 1983 (229) 6359850	Aim: Assess EP effects of IV and PO theophylline Study type: Single arm Size: 10	Inclusion criteria: No significant structural heart disease and bradycardia with symptoms. All had prior syncope	Intervention: Acute IV theophylline, then chronic PO theophylline	• Results: Chronic treatment with level 9–12 tolerated in 8/10 (80%); suppression of symptoms achieved in 6 of the 8	• N/A
Nimura A, et al. 2011 (230) 21921376	Aim: Discuss possible mechanisms of AVB	Inclusion criteria: Case report	Intervention: Cilostazol	• Results: In an elderly pt with high-grade AVB, the AVB	• N/A

	resolution with cilostazol			resolved after cilostazol treatment	
	Study type: Case report				
	Size:				

Data Supplement 35. RCTs of meds/reversible/transient causes of bradycardia due to AVB (Section 6.4.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2 ^o Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Birmingham Trial Watson RD, et al. 1984 (231) 6475712	Aim: Determine if PM in post MI pts with conduction disease reduces mortality Study type: RCT Size: 50	Inclusion criteria: Survived 14 d post MI, have RBBB or RBBB+ left hemiblock Exclusion criteria: Prior conduction disease, PM	Intervention: Ventricular PM (27) Comparator: Control (23)	• Results: At 5-y follow-up, 61% of PM pts had died compared to 41% of control pts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over 5-y follow-up no progression of AVB • PM of no benefit • VT was an important cause of death

Data Supplement 36. Nonrandomized data of Medications/Reversible/Transient Causes of Bradycardia due to AVB (Section 6.4.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results	Summary/Conclusion; Comments
Tans AC, et al. 1980 (232) 7350750	Aim: Prognosis of acute inferior MI with heart block Study type: Observational Size: 144	Inclusion criteria: Acute inferior MI, 2 nd and 3 rd AVB, AF with rate <60, 2:1 AVB, AVB lasted >30 min	1^o endpoint: AVB was associated with increased mortality (22% vs. 9%) Results: AVB developed between 1 and 5 d after MI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 94 had CHB, 84 recovered 1:1 conduction, 10 died • Duration of AVB was 30 min–16 d • AVB after MI typically resolves

Ginks WR, et al. 1977 (233) 836733	<p>Aim: Determine the need for permanent PM in pts with Anterior MI and temp. pacing for CHB</p> <p>Study type: Observational</p> <p>Size: 52</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Anterior MI, CHB 2 had CHB, narrow QRS 50 had QRS >120</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Of the 25 survivors, 4 required permanent pacing</p> <p>Results: 27 of the 52 died</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the surviving 25 pts, 1 remained in CHB and underwent PM before discharge and 2 pts developed CHB months later • Do not recommend PM if CHB resolves
Singh SM, et al. 2015 (234) 25205530	<p>Aim: Determine incidence of high-grade AVB in ACS</p> <p>Study type: Global registry (GRACE)</p> <p>Size: 1701 (of 59,229 subjects in GRACE)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: GRACE Registry subject with high-grade AVB</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Lack of high-grade AVB</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 2.9% of subjects had high-grade AVB</p> <p>Results: Rate of high-grade AVB decreased over time.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 46% present initially with AVB and 54% developed in hospital • 23% of high-grade AVB pts died in the hospital (OR: 4.2; 95% CI: 3.6–4.9; p<0.001) • Of the 1701, 100 (5.9%) required permanent PM
Osmonov D, et al. 2012 (151) 22530749	<p>Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort of pts with drug induced AVB</p> <p>Size: N=108 (16% of all 668 pts admit AVB).</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted with Mobitz type II or 3rd degree AVB or 2:1 AVB who were on AV nodal blocking drugs</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: MI, electrolyte disturbances, digoxin toxicity</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Resolution/ recurrence AVB, need for PPM</p> <p>Results: Resolution of AVB within 72 h in 72%. 21/78 (27%) had recurrence of AVB. Overall 51/108 (48%) had persistent of recurrent AVB despite drug withdrawal.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Half of pts with AVB on nodal-blocking drugs require PM before discharge despite drug withdrawal. • Limited follow-up – other pts may have required PPM at later date
Zeltser D, et al. 2004 (152) 15234417	<p>Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort</p> <p>Size: N=169 (60% male, mean age 78 y).</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts admitted with 2nd or 3rd degree AVB 1999–2003.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: MI, digoxin toxicity</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Resolution/ recurrence AVB, need for permanent PM</p> <p>Results: 92/169 (54%) were receiving AV nodal blockers; 79/92 (86%) had drug discontinued. 41% had resolution of AVB with stopping drug; 56% had relapse of AVB</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall, only 15% of pts with AVB on nodal blocking drugs had AVB “caused by drugs” • AVB may recur despite remaining off the drug
Knudsen MB, et al. 2013 (150) 23869746	<p>Study type: Single-center, retrospective cohort</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts admitted with 2nd or 3rd degree AVB, had</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Need for permanent PM after drug discontinuation; complications of TPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with AVB on AADs or digoxin do not benefit from temp. PM and

	Size: N=55	temporary pacing wire, were on class II-IV AADs or digoxin. Exclusion criteria: AVB due to other identified cause, prior PM explant, died within several days	Results: 47/55 (85%) required permanent PM in hospital. 2/55 had recurrent AVB and required PPM. 11% of pts had complication of temp. PM (infection/ dislodgment)	drug washout. Should proceed to PPM w/o delay.
Ozcan KS, et al. 2012 (157) 22738687	Study type: Single-center retrospective cohort Size: N=50 (29 hypothyroid, 21 hyperthyroid)	Inclusion criteria: All pts. with 2 nd /3 rd degree AVB who had hyper- or hypothyroidism Exclusion criteria: MI, electrolytes abnormalities, digoxin toxicity, on AADs	1° endpoint: Persistent AVB despite treatment of thyroid abnormalities Results: 46/50 (92%) pts required permanent PM; 2 additional pts had persistent AVB. 76% of hypothyroid and 86% of hyperthyroid had irreversible AVB.	Thyroid abnormalities are rarely a cause of reversible AVB.
Farre N, et al. 2014 (154) 24491864	Aim: Assess outcome of CHB due to ACS and other causes Study type: Retrospective Size: 79 pts with reversible AVB; grp A=ACS, N=52 Grp B=non-ACS, N=27	Inclusion criteria: reversible CHB, no indwelling PM Exclusion criteria: Felt not to be reversible	Results: For the ACS group 1/52 received a permanent PM. For the non-ACS, AVB was due to hyperkalemia, AVN blockers, acute infection, 1 PE; 9/27 had recurrent AVB and required permanent PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If ACS, syncope presenting symptom of CHB 6%; for non-ACS 33%. • 39% of reversible non-ACS pts had recurrent AVB requiring permanent PM • 2% of ACS had recurrent AVB • Many non-ACS had residual LBBB
Panic G, et al. 2011 (190) 20226549	Aim: Case report of AVB due to Lyme disease Study type: Case report Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: Pt with Lyme disease and high-grade 2 nd degree AVB	Results: Pt presented with high-grade AVB which resolved after 12 d of antibiotics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pt presented with high-grade AVB, resolution after 12 d antibiotics • 5% of pts with Lyme will have cardiac involvement, typically AVB
Kostic T, et al. 2017 (235) 28082088	Study type: Review of Lyme carditis and clinical course	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Results: AVB is the most common conduction disorder with Lyme carditis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manifestations of AVB may progress rapidly in hours or days

Robinson ML, et al. 2015 (236) 25999222	Study type: Review Size: N/A	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Results: 1.1% of Lyme disease reported to CDC between 2000–2010 included cardiac manifestations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A
Carano N, et al. 2012 (186) 23110777	Aim: Case report and review of rheumatic HD and CHB Study type: Literature review and case report Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: N/A	Results: Pt presented with acute rheumatic carditis and CHB; CHB resolved within 24 h of antibiotics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 25 cases found in the literature, the AVB lasted from minutes to several days • PM implant typically not needed (in 7 of 25 cases)
Koehler U, et al. 1998 (67) 9551750	Aim: Assess effect of OSA Rx on brady Study type: Prospective single arm Size: 16	Inclusion criteria: Pts with OSA, and negative EPS, echo, EKG, stress test	1° endpoint: Assess effect of CPAP or BiPAP on nocturnal AVB Results: CPAP and BiPAP reduced the number of AVB episodes from 651 to 72 (p<0.01)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 pts received PM for continued pauses despite effective OSA therapy
Maeno K, et al. 2009 (188) 19466526	Aim: Report the interaction of hypoxia and AVB Study type: Case report, literature review Size: 1	Inclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Resolution of AVB with CPAP Results: AVB occurred prior to oxygen desaturation and resolved with CPAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB may be due to increased vagal tone
Becker H, et al. 1995 (66) 7812557	Aim: Assess effect of CPAP on AVB and bradycardia Study type: Prospective single arm observational Size: 17	Inclusion criteria: All referrals for sleep apnea and if 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB or asystole >2 s. noted during sleep study	1° endpoint: CPAP reduced incidence of heart block Results: 12 of 17 had AVB eliminated with CPAP, 3 had substantial reduction in AVB, and 2 had persistent bradycardia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7.1% of sleep apnea pts referred for sleep study had AVB during sleep • Most had normal baseline EKG (1 RBBB, 1 1st AVB) • Mean duration of 3rd AVB was 8.4 s
Grimm W, et al. 2000 (68) 10980227	Aim: Assess outcomes of pts with OSA-related bradycardia Study type: Prospective single arm	Inclusion criteria: Negative EPS and Holter for AVB Exclusion criteria: Taking digoxin/BB/CCB	1° endpoint: Effect of CPAP Results: CPAP resolved >3 s pauses in 21/29	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 out of 8 with continued pauses received a PM • PM had no effect on outcomes (syncope) and prognosis is good

	<u>Size:</u> 29			
Unterberg C, et al. 2005 (237) 16126716	<u>Aim:</u> Compare CPAP to atrial overdrive pacing <u>Study type:</u> Prospective crossover <u>Size:</u> 10	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts on CPAP for OSA, no PM indication	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Assess if atrial overdrive pacing or CPAP is superior for reducing apneic episodes <u>Results:</u> The apneas were significantly reduced with CPAP but not with atrial pacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPAP improved apneas but pacing did not despite elimination of bradycardia or asystole episodes
Garrigue S, et al. 2002 (238) 11832528	<u>Aim:</u> Assess utility of PM overdrive pacing for OSA and central sleep apnea <u>Study type:</u> Prospective crossover study <u>Size:</u> 15	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with DC pacemakers with symptoms of OSA and had positive test for OSA	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Compare ventricular back up pacing to atrial overdrive pacing <u>Results:</u> The hypopnea index was reduced from 9 to 3 with atrial overdrive pacing (p<0.001)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atrial overdrive pacing reduced the number of apneic episodes >50% in majority of pts
Stegman SS, et al. 1996 (70) 8774819	<u>Aim:</u> Determine if asx bradycardia during sleep is due to OSA <u>Study type:</u> Prospective single arm <u>Size:</u> 8	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with asx bradycardia referred for PM	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Determine incidence of OSA in these pts <u>Results:</u> 7 of 8 had a positive sleep study for OSA and did not receive PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts remained asx and improved sleep symptoms

Data Supplement 37. RCT data of additional testing for Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Sivakumaran S, et al. 2003 (17) 12867227	<u>Aim:</u> Compare utility of loop recorder vs. Holter	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Syncope or presyncope	<u>Intervention:</u> 48 h Holter (n=51) vs. 30 d event (n=49)	Diagnostic yield was 63% for loop vs. 24 % for Holter (p<0.0001)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23% of loop recorder pts failed to activate during symptoms

	<u>Study type:</u> RCT <u>Size:</u> 100				
Kinlay S, et al. 1996 (239) 7503472	<u>Aim:</u> Compare 3-month event monitor to 48-h Holters <u>Study type:</u> Randomized Crossover trial <u>Size:</u> 43	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with palpitations and no prior testing	<u>Intervention:</u> Received a 90-d Event monitor	<u>Results:</u> Event monitors were more likely to provide a Dx (67% vs. 35%; p<0.001). Holter not a good test for intermittent symptoms or events	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The event monitor is more cost effective due to higher yield (\$213 per additional rhythm strip)
Giada F, et al. 2007 (240) 17498580	<u>Aim:</u> Compare Holter+event+ EPS to ILR for diagnostic yield <u>Study type:</u> RCT <u>Size:</u> 50	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Infrequent palpitations that last >1 min <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Abnormal H&P, ECG, echo	<u>Intervention:</u> ILR <u>Control:</u> 24-h Holter, 4-wk external monitor, and if negative, an EPS	<u>Results:</u> ILR more effective in establishing etiology of palpitations (73% vs. 21%; p<0.001)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The event monitor is more cost effective
FRESH Podoleanu C, et al. 2014 (74) 25241220	<u>Aim:</u> Role of ILR for syncope evaluation <u>Study type:</u> RCT <u>Size:</u> 78	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Syncope	<u>Intervention:</u> ILR <u>Control:</u> Standard of care, per physician discretion	<u>Results:</u> ILR yield is superior (cause of syncope identified in 46.2% vs. 5%; p<0.001)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ILR was more cost effective with fewer hospitalization days and fewer tests

Data Supplement 38. Nonrandomized data of additional testing for Bradycardia due to AV block (Section 6.4.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published PMID	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)
Katrtsis DG, et al. 2017 (241) 28507743	<u>Aim:</u> Review the role of EPS in bradycardia	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> N/A <u>Comparator:</u> N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30% of Mobitz II blocks have narrow QRS • 20% of 2:1 AVB is in the AV Node

	<u>Study type:</u> N/A <u>Size:</u> N/A			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HV >70 is nonspecific, HV >100 is specific but insensitive • Proposed indications for EPS of AV Block: • (1) Asymptomatic 2nd degree AVB with BBB (type I or not sure) (2) suspect phase 4 infranodal block (3) suspect type II but QRS narrow (4) symptomatic type I block and BBB
Mangiardi LM, et al. 1982 (242) 7064840	<u>Aim:</u> Assess utility of CSM and atropine <u>Study type:</u> Prospective, nonrandomized <u>Size:</u> 25 (15 with intraHis block and 10 with intranodal block)	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Documented AVB at EPS and narrow QRS	<u>Intervention:</u> Carotid sinus massage × 10 s., 2 mg atropine, EPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atropine and carotid massage yielded a Dx in 22 (13/15 and in 9/10) pts • Atropine and carotid sinus did yield differing results at bedside vs. EPS in some pts
Twidale N, et al. 1988 (243) 2462213	<u>Aim:</u> Determine use for assessing AVB <u>Study type:</u> Prospective, nonrandomized <u>Size:</u> 89	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 51 with bifascicular block and syncope or transient high-grade AVB or tachyarrhythmia; 38 with no syncope and normal ECG (control group)	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS with IV procainamide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In those with bifascicular block, procainamide prolonged HV, developed high-grade AVB; in control group HV only minimally prolonged and no AVB seen
Bogossian H, et al. 2017 (244) 28294370	<u>Aim:</u> Assess role of EPS in BBB pts <u>Study type:</u> Prospective single arm <u>Size:</u> 30	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Symptomatic bifascicular block (RBBB+LAFB) and 1 st AVB	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All pts had long HV, mean 82 ms • 1st AVB in symptomatic pts with bifascicular block likely due to infraHisian delay
Kalscheur MM, et al. 2016 (245) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 27565449 	<u>Aim:</u> Examine the IIa indication for PM for bifascicular block +syncope <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 43	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with bifascicular block and syncope who underwent PM <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> vasovagal, orthostatic cause of syncope	<u>Intervention:</u> Negative EPS, and empiric PM Implant (n=26) <u>Comparator:</u> Positive EPS or ILR findings for AVB and PM implant (n=17)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary endpoint: time to 1st recurrence of syncope • Syncope recurrence was 18%/5 y in empiric grp vs. 0% in pos. EPS/ILR group • Progression to high-grade AVB in 53% of the pos. EPS/ILR group vs. 27% of the empiric group (p=0.11)

Morady F, et al. 1984 (246) 6475778	<u>Aim:</u> Assess role of EPS in pts with BBB and syncope <u>Study type:</u> Prospective, nonrandomized <u>Size:</u> 32	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> BBB and syncope <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> 2nd or 3rd degree AVB or SVT, SND	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12/32 had HV \geq70 ms • 44% had inducible VT
Click RL, et al. 1987 (247) 3825942	<u>Aim:</u> Assess role of EPS <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 112	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Chronic BBB, with symptoms	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 34 received PM for long HV • 39 had ventricular arrhythmias induced and 21 had conduction disease and VT
Brignole M, et al. 1995 (248) 7618623	<u>Aim:</u> Look at role of EPS in syncope pts <u>Study type:</u> Prospective <u>Size:</u> 25	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Unexplained syncope who during monitoring had documented bradycardia causing syncope	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS, TTT, CSM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPS useful for AVB Dx • Carotid massage and TTT useful for neurally mediated Dx • These 3 tests are complimentary when done together; if all 3 are negative bradycardia unlikely as cause of syncope
Dhingra RC, et al. 1979 (249) 498473	<u>Aim:</u> Role of EPS in bifascicular block <u>Study type:</u> Prospective nonrandomized <u>Size:</u> 531	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Bifascicular block w/o 2nd or 3rd degree block	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS with atrial pacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacing induced infraHisian block during Wenckebach was functional but if occurred during normal AV conduction, was pathologic
Zipes DP, et al. 1979 (250) 378457	<u>Aim:</u> Physiology review of 2 nd degree AVB <u>Study type:</u> N/A <u>Size:</u> N/A	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Described maneuvers to distinguish type I vs. type II 2nd degree AVB
Shetty RK, et al. 015 (251) 25819829	<u>Aim:</u> Describe worsening AVB with exercise <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pt with RBBB, LAFB and 1st degree AVB	<u>Intervention:</u> Treadmill which induced complete AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1:1 AV conduction present at rest; CHB seen during treadmill testing

Toeda T, et al. 2000 (252) 10793447	<u>Aim:</u> Assess for exercise induced AVB <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pt with exercise induced AVB	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS showed infranodal AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPS showed gap phenomenon with AV conduction
Chokshi SK, et al. 1990 (253) 2360528	<u>Aim:</u> Assess importance of exercise induced AVB <u>Study type:</u> Case series <u>Size:</u> 3	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> AVB during exercise testing	<u>Intervention:</u> EPS showed prolonged HV and block distal to His	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All 3 had negative Holter monitoring • All 3 had prolonged HV interval and infraHisian block at EPS
Bakst A, et al. 1975 (254) 1191459	<u>Aim:</u> Assess exercise induced AVB <u>Study type:</u> Case report and discussion <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pt with exertional dyspnea and EKG with 1:1 conduction	<u>Intervention:</u> Treadmill and atropine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercise improves Mobitz type I AVB and worsens AV conduction if underlying Mobitz type II AVB • Atropine similarly worsens AV conduction when underlying Mobitz type II
Egred M, et al. 2004 (255) 15561349	<u>Aim:</u> Assess importance of exercise induced AVB <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Syncope during walking	<u>Intervention:</u> Treadmill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treadmill testing can be an important diagnostic tool when evaluating exertional syncope
Fisher JD, 1981 (256) 7019962	<u>Aim:</u> Assess role of EPS <u>Study type:</u> Review of EPS, its role in SSS, AVN disease	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>Intervention:</u> Detailed account of how to do EPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviews role of CSM, exercise testing, breath holding

Data Supplement 39. RCTs for Permanent Pacing for AV block (Section 6.4.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
---	--	--------------------	--	---	---

UKPace Toff WD, et al. 2005 (257) 16014884	Aim: Assess mortality benefit from dual vs. ventricular pacing in pts with AVB Study type: RCT Size: 2,021	Inclusion criteria: Age >70 y, 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB (73.3% had CHB) Exclusion criteria: AF, NYHA class IV	Intervention: Randomized to dual PM, or ventricular PM-fixed rate, or to ventricular PM-adaptive rate Comparator: Compare DC pacing to ventricular pacing	1° endpoint: No all-cause mortality benefit for DC pacing at 3 y (7.2% vs. 7.4%; p=NS; CI: 0.83–1.11)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More procedural complications in the DC group Slightly higher risk of CVA/TIA/TE event in fixed rate ventricular pacing group (p=0.04) but not in the rate adaptive ventricular pacing group
PASE Lamas GA, et al. 1998 (140) 9545357	Aim: Determine difference in health related QOL in ventricular vs. DC pacing in pts >65 y Study type: RCT Size: 407	Inclusion criteria: >65 y, SR (49% had AVB at baseline) Exclusion criteria: AF	Intervention: Implanted with DC PM; randomized to ventricular or DC pacing Comparator: Ventricular pacing	1° endpoint: QOL improved for both groups compared to baseline (p<0.001) but no difference between pacing modes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference in death, stroke, AF rates • AVB subgroup did not experience better QOL or functional status
MOST Lamas GA, et al. 2002 (141) 12063369	Aim: Assess mortality and stroke benefit with DC pacing Study type: RCT Size: 2,010	Inclusion criteria: SND (21% with concomitant AVB) Exclusion criteria: Non-SR	Intervention: Implanted with DC PM, randomized to pacing mode Comparator: Ventricular pacing	1° endpoint: All-cause mortality+ nonfatal stroke in DC pacing (21.5%) vs. ventricular pacing (23%) was not significant (p=0.48)	No subgroup analysis of AVB group done
MOST Vp40% Sweeney MO, et al. 2003 (146) 12782566	Aim: Use MOST database to assess whether RV pacing increases HFH and AF Study type: Reanalysis of RCT data Size: 1,339	Inclusion criteria: MOST trial subjects with % ventricular pacing data Exclusion criteria: MOST trial subjects w/o ventricular pacing data	Intervention: Implanted DC PM, randomized to pacing mode Comparator:	1° endpoint: Ventricular pacing >40% of the time increases risk of a HFH (HR 2.56–2.99) and AF risk linearly increases as % ventricular pacing increases regardless of pacing mode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The increasing risk of HFH with increasing ventricular pacing levels off after 40%

CTOPP Connolly SJ, et al. 2000 (142) 10805823	Aim: Assess for reduction in stroke and CV mortality with DC pacing vs. ventricular pacing Study type: RCT Size: 2,568	Inclusion criteria: Indicated for PM (60% had AVB) Exclusion criteria: AF	Intervention: Randomized to ventricular or DC PM Comparator: Ventricular pacing	1° endpoint: First occurrence of CVA or CV mortality over 3 y was 5.5% in the ventricular group and 4.9% in the DC group (p=0.33) • Less AF with DDD (p=0.05)	• All-cause mortality was 6.6% vs 6.3% (p=0.92) • Annual AF rates were lower in the dual pacing group (5.3% vs. 6.6%; p=0.5) • No difference in HFH or stroke
CTOPP Extended Kerr CR, et al. 2004 (258) 14707022	Aim: Reassess primary endpoint of stroke and CV mortality at 6 y of follow-up Study type: RCT Size: 1995	Inclusion criteria: Undergoing PM for bradycardia (60% had AVB) Exclusion criteria: AF	Intervention: Randomized to ventricular or DC PM Comparator: Ventricular pacing	1° endpoint: No change from above (combined endpoint of CV mortality+ stroke 6.1% vs. 5.5%; p=0.26)	Annual risk of AF was less with DC pacing (4.5% vs. 5.7%; p=0.009)
BLOCK-HF Curtis AB, et al. 2013 (259) 23614585	Aim: Whether BiV pacing reduces mortality+ morbidity or LV remodeling in AVB pts Study type: RCT Size: 691	Inclusion criteria: Pts with AVB indicated for PM, LVEF ≤50% Exclusion criteria: Indicated for CRT	Intervention: BiV PM or ICD, randomized to RV or BiV pacing Comparator: Dual chamber pacing	1° endpoint: Composite of all-cause mortality, HF event, or 15% increase in LVESV was met (HR: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.6–0.9)	• 2° endpoint: Composite of death or HFH was met (HR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.61–0.99)
Gierula J, et al. 2013 (260) 23736807	Aim: Assess benefit of CRT upgrade in CHB PM pts Study type: RCT Size: 50	Inclusion criteria: PM dep pts (pacing >80%), LVEF <50% Exclusion criteria: Symptomatic HF or recent HFH	Intervention: Upgrade to BiV PM Comparator: DC pacing	1° endpoint: Change in LVEF at 6 months was significantly improved in the CRT group (9% vs. -1.5%; p<0.0001)	• 2° endpoints: pVO ₂ , QOL, and NT-proBNP improved with CRT (p≤0.03 for all 3 outcomes) • Reduction in LVEDD did not reach statistical significance
HOBIPACE Kindermann M, et al. 2006 (261)	Aim: Assess benefit of CRT in pts with depressed LVEF who	Inclusion criteria: LVEF ≤40%, LVEDD	Intervention: CRT devices implanted	1° endpoints: (1) With CRT, LVESV decreased 17% (p<0.001), (2) LVEF	• NT-proBNP reduced 31% with CRT (p<0.002)

16697307	are indicated for pacing Study type: Prospective randomized crossover Size: 30	≥60 mm, PM indication with AVB Exclusion criteria: Not meeting inclusion criteria	Comparator: After 3-month run in period, 3 months of RV pacing compared to 3 months of CRT	increased 22% (p<0.0002), (3) pVO ₂ increased 12% (p<0.0003)	
DAVID Wilkoff BL, et al. 2002 (262) 12495391	Aim: Compare DC pacing to VVI backup pacing in ICD indicated pts with no pacing indication Study type: RCT Size: 506	Inclusion criteria: ICD indicated, LVEF ≤40% Exclusion criteria: Any PM indication	Intervention: All pts were implanted with a DC ICD Comparator: Ventricular back up pacing vs. DC pacing	1° endpoint: Freedom from composite of time to death or 1st HFH at 1 y (83.9% for ventricular backup vs. 73.3% for DC pacing; HR: 1.61; 95% CI: 1.06–2.44)	HFH was 13.3% in the ventricular back up group vs. 22.6% (HR: 1.54; 95% CI: 0.97–2.46)
PAVE Doshi RN, et al. 2005 (263) 16302897	Aim: Compare RV to BiV pacing in pts with AVN ablation for AF Study type: RCT Size: 184	Inclusion criteria: Any LVEF, AF, AVN ablation, Exclusion criteria: NYHA class IV	Intervention: AVN ablation +dual or BiV PM Comparator: RV pacing	1° endpoint: BiV group had a greater improvement at 6 months in 6MHW (31% increase vs. 24%; p=0.04)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference in QOL • No difference in LVEF The benefit of BiV with 6MHW more pronounced in those with LVEF <45%
APAF Brignole M, et al. 2011 (264) 21606084	Aim: Compare RV pacing to CRT in pts undergoing AV node ablation Study type: RCT Size: 186	Inclusion criteria: Permanent AF undergoing AV node ablation with or w/o refractory HF and reduced EF Exclusion criteria: NYHA class IV with systolic BP ≤80 mm Hg, prior PM	Intervention: All subjects implanted with CRT Comparator: RV pacing to CRT pacing 1:1 randomization	1° endpoint: Composite endpoint of death due to HF, HFH, worsening HF was lower with CRT (11% vs. 26% event rate p=0.005; 95% CI: 0.18–0.73)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2° endpoint: CRT had lower rates of worsening HF (p=0.001; 95% CI: 0.12–0.58) and HFH (p=0.013; 95% CI: 0.06–0.72) • No mortality difference between groups • CRT benefit evident in LVEF >35% subgroup

OPSITE Brignole M, et al. 2005 (265) 15618036	Aim: Compare RV to LV and to BiV pacing in pts with permanent AF and AV node ablation Study type: Prospective randomized crossover Size: 56	Inclusion criteria: Permanent AF and AV node ablation Exclusion criteria: NYHA class IV, unsuccessful AV node ablation	Intervention: AV node ablation and CRT implant Comparator: Each subject paces RV, LV, and BiV	1° endpoint: QOL measures were minimally improved with BiV (MLHFQ up 10%, NYHA improved 11%, LVEF increased 5%; all with p<0.05) but exercise capacity at 3 months did not improve.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large interpatient variability present • LV only pacing did not confer as much benefit as BiV pacing
---	--	---	--	--	---

Data Supplement 40. Nonrandomized data for Permanent Pacing for AV block (Section 6.4.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)
Brignole M, et al. 2012 (266) 22095616	Aim: Identify predictors of improvement after AV node ablation Study type: Prospective observational study of RCT cohort Size: 171	Inclusion criteria: Subjects enrolled in APAF with 2-y follow-up Exclusion criteria: Inadequate follow-up	Intervention: CRT vs. RV pacing after AV node ablation Comparator: Compared responders and nonresponders	1° endpoint: RV 63% responder rate and 83% responder rate for CRT (p=0.003) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On multivariate Cox regression analysis, the only predictor of response was CRT mode and having CRT echo optimized
Dretzke J, et al. 2004 (267) 15106214	Aim: Cochrane review: compare clinical effectiveness of VVI and DC PMs in pts with SND or AVB Study type: Review of RCT and crossover Size: N= 31 studies	Inclusion criteria: RCT and crossover studies comparing DDD and VVI PMs Exclusion criteria: Atrial single chamber pacing	Intervention: N/A Comparator: N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is significantly less AF with DDD pacing • Dual chamber pacing is favored for PM syndrome • Trend (NS) for less stroke, HF, mortality and improved exercise capacity

Dhingra RC, et al. 1974 (196) 4817704	<p><u>Aim:</u> Natural Hx of 2⁰ AVB+BBB</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=15</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> BBB+ 2nd degree AVB</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Acute MI</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> EPS; follow-up</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only 3 were asx at presentation • Permanent PM indicated for severe bradycardia, syncope, CHF • All 9 pts with infra-His block got PM for syncope, CHF • 2 of 4 with supra-Hisian block got PM for syncope, CHF, 1 developed interim CHB but refused PM, 1 asx
Shaw DB, et al. 1970 (197) 5413952	<p><u>Aim:</u> Determine prevalence of pts with 2nd and 3rd degree AVB pts and record their symptoms</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=100</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 2nd 3rd degree AVB</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Digoxin or propranolol use, acute MI</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> None</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48% had syncopal events • 9% had CHF • No reported deaths
Simon AB, et al. 1978 (198) 626128	<p><u>Aim:</u> Follow natural Hx and survival of AVB pts who underwent PM implant</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=246</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 2nd or 3rd degree AVB</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> SND</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Ventricular PM</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> Historical reports of pts w/o a PM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural Hx of CHB is 50% mortality in the first year based on prior historical literature • Survival improved to 61% at 5 y with a PM • Post PM, new CV events were common including MI, CHF, and stroke and SCD was the most common mode of death
Strasberg B, et al. 1981 (199) 7471363	<p><u>Aim:</u> Assess natural Hx of 2nd AVB</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective, observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=56</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts with chronic 2nd AVB and EPS positive for 2nd AVB</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Acute AVB in setting of MI or digoxin toxicity</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All had 2nd degree type I (Wenckebach) on baseline ECG (none had type II) • 34% did not have heart disease and had a normal HV interval; none died of cardiac cause • 66% had heart disease, some had prolonged HV; 25% received a PM • No one progressed to CHB

Vatankulu MA, et al. 2009 (268) 19406272	<p><u>Aim:</u> Assess LV remodeling in CHB PM pts after PM upgrade to CRT</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective single arm</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=26</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> CHB, upgraded PM to CRT, on optimal GDMT</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Asymptomatic, medications stable <1 month</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> BiV upgrade+/- defibrillator</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NYHA improved by one class in most subjects • Mean EF increased from 39% to 46% • 25% decrease in mean LVESV • 18% decrease in mean LVEDV • No clinical hard endpoints such as HFH or mortality
Kiehl EL, et al. 2016 (269) 27855853	<p><u>Aim:</u> Determine incidence of PM-induced CM, and identify predictors of RV-pacing induced CM</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=823</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts receiving PM between 2000–2014 for CHB; LVEF >50%; many pts had procedural/surgical AVB</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Generator change procedure, no echo within 6 months of implant</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> CRT upgrade in some PM pts</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> Compared those with RV-induced CM and those w/o</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12.3% developed PM-induced CM with mean EF 34% • Of the 25 CRT upgrades with post CRT echo, 84% were responders with mean LVEF increase 18%, LVESV decreased by 45% • RV pacing burden of 20% seemed to delineate increased risk of developing HF
MOST Ellenbogen KA, et al. 2003 (270) 12972124	<p><u>Aim:</u> Characterize complications from DC PM implants using the MOST database</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective analysis of RCT</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=2010</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> DDDR PM implanted for SND; SR</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Serious comorbidities</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Dual chamber PM</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> Ventricular single chamber PM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most common complication in the DC PM group was atrial lead dislodgement (1.7%) • Female sex seemed to predict risk of complication
FOLLOWPACE Udo EO, et al. 2012 (271) 22182495	<p><u>Aim:</u> Determine incidence and predictors of PM complications</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective, multicenter</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=1517</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> All pts undergoing initial PM implant</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Generator change procedures;</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> PM implant</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 69% of implanted PMs were DC • There were 5.54% lead related problems in the 1st 2 months • 12.4% of pts had a complication within 2 months of implant • Multivariate analysis showed a HR of 3.09 for DC devices compared to single chamber devices for complications within 2 months of implant

		investigational PM implanted		
Ellenbogen KA, et al. 2000 (272) 10867093	<p>Aim: Determine predictors of PM syndrome in the PASE study</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective analysis of RCT</p> <p>Size: N= 407</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Indication for PM implant; in SR</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Severe CHF; AF</p>	<p>Intervention: Randomized to single or DC PM</p> <p>Comparator: Compare the 2 arms</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Predictors of PM syndrome in a Cox multivariate regression model include: reduced systolic BP with VVI pacing, use of BB, DCM • 26% crossed over from ventricular to DC pacing
MOST Link MS, et al. 2004 (273) 15172414	<p>Aim: Determine incidence and predictors of PM syndrome in SND pts treated with ventricular pacing using the MOST database</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective analysis of RCT</p> <p>Size: N= 996</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Randomized to ventricular pacing and meet criteria for PM syndrome</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Not meeting pre-defined criteria for PM syndrome</p>	<p>Intervention: PM syndrome pts crossed over to DC pacing</p> <p>Comparator: Pts compared to themselves pre-crossover</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 18.3% met criteria for PM syndrome • Predictors of PM syndrome include lower sinus rate, higher paced rate, higher % paced beats
Arbustini E, et al. 2002 (274) 11897440	<p>Aim: Assess prevalence of LMNA mutations in a DCM cohort</p> <p>Study type: Prospective</p> <p>Size: N=73 and 107 controls</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: DCM (familial and sporadic) with and w/o AVB. Control group=29 with ischemic or valvular disease and 107 blood donors w/o known heart disease</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: DCM pts who do not meet WHO criteria</p>	<p>Intervention: Genetic testing (73)</p> <p>Comparator: Genetic testing (107)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LMNA gene mutations accounted for 33% of the pts with DCM with AVB • AVB associated with DCM is a reason for LMNA gene molecular screening • None of the DCM pts with intact AV conduction had any LMNA defects

Anselme, F, et al. 2013 (275) 23811080	<p>Aim: Assess utility of primary prevention ICD placement in pts with LMNA mutation and AVB</p> <p>Study type: Prospective, single arm</p> <p>Size: N=47</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts with LMNA mutation and either a (1) PM, or an (2) indication for PM, or (3) PR interval>240 ms and either LBBB or NSVT</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: LMNA pts w/o the 3 additional criteria were enrolled but did not receive an ICD</p>	<p>Intervention: ICD (n=21)</p> <p>Comparator: Standard of care w/o ICD (n=24); 2° prevention ICD (n=2)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the ICD pts died of SCD over median follow-up of 62 months • 52% of primary prevention ICD recipients experienced sustained VAs requiring ICD therapy • Conduction disorders was a predictor of VA
Hasselberg, NE, et al. 2014 (276) 24058181	<p>Aim: To look for predictors of VA in pts with lamin A/C mutation</p> <p>Study type: Prospective observational</p> <p>Size: N= 41</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: LMNA mutation positive</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Inability to consent</p>	<p>Intervention: ECG, Holter, echo, CMRI, genetic testing</p> <p>Comparator: N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 of the 41 (27%) lamin A/C mutation positive subjects had AVB • 21 (51%) had VA • All 8 pts with sustained VT had AVB and markedly prolonged AVB (median 310 ms) • Prolonged PR interval and any type of AVB were the strongest predictors of sustained VA

Data Supplement 41. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Conduction Disorders (Section 7)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
<p>The Framingham Study</p> <p>Schneider JF, et al. 1979 (27) 154870</p>	<p>Aim: Assess the clinical implications of LBBB</p> <p>Study type: Nested case-control in Framingham cohort</p> <p>Size: 55 pts who developed LBBB, 110 matched controls Mean age at study onset =50 y Mean age at onset of LBBB =62 y</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: LBBB and age- and sex-matched control pts who did not develop LBBB from Framingham cohort</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Development of CV disease</p> <p>Results: 55/5209 people developed LBBB in 18 y of follow-up 48% of these develop CAD or HF for the 1st time with or following Dx of LBBB Only 11% remained free of clinically apparent CV abnormal In men, the appearance of LBBB contributed independently to an</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparison with age- and sex-matched control subjects free from LBBB suggests that newly acquired LBBB is most often a hallmark of advanced hypertensive or ischemic heart disease, or both

			increased risk of CV disease mortality	
Fahy GJ, et al. 1996 (31) 8651093	Aim: Determine the long-term outcome of pts with BBB and no clinical evidence of CV disease Study type: Nested case-control Size: 310 pts with BBB, 310 matched controls out of 110,000 participant screening program in Ireland	Inclusion criteria: BBB/age- and sex-matched controls Exclusion criteria: Suspected heart disease	1° endpoint: Long-term “outcome” of BBB pts Results: BBB did not impact overall mortality Cardiac mortality was significantly increased in the LBBB group compared to their controls LBBB, but not RBBB, was associated with an increased prevalence of CV disease at the follow-up (21% vs. 11%; p=0.04).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Isolated left BBB is associated with an increased risk of developing overt CV disease and increased cardiac mortality.
Talreja D, et al. 2000 (43) 10689252	Aim: Assess ability to predict LV dysfunction on echo, by historic, clinical, radiographic, and ECG parameters Study type: Cross-sectional Size: 300	Inclusion criteria: Consecutive inpatients referred for the echocardiographic assessment of LV function	1° endpoint: LVER <45% Results: 124 (41%) had LVEF <45% Presence of LBBB, male sex, and CM on CXR were associated with presence of LV dysfunction Only 2 pts with LVSD had a normal ECG More than 50% of the predictive power of the model rested on the discriminatory ability of a normal ECG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When ECG is normal, it is extremely unlikely to have LV systolic dysfunction. It can be argued that such pts should not be referred for echocardiography.
Eriksson P, et al. 1998 (30) 9832497	Aim: Assess prevalence of BBB, its impact on mortality and coexisting CV conditions Study type: Prospective cohort Size: 855 men who were 50 y old in 1963 followed for 30 y 82 developed BBB 22 of those were LBBB	Inclusion criteria: Random sampling of Swedish men Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Mortality and CV disease Results: The prevalence of BBB increases from 1% at age 50 y to 17% at age 80 y, resulting in a cumulative incidence of 18%. BBB did not predict ischemic heart disease or mortality Men who developed BBB had bigger LV volume at baseline and greater incidence of DM and HF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BBB correlates strongly to age and is common in elderly men. BBB is a marker of a slowly progressing degenerative disease that affects the myocardium. BBB is not associated with increased mortality I could not find data broken down by LBBB vs. RBBB; vast majority were RBBB*

Mahmod M, et al. 2012 (277) 21805313	<p>Aim: Evaluate the diagnostic value of CMR in asx pts with LBBB</p> <p>Study type: Cross-sectional</p> <p>Size: 54 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Asymptomatic adults with complete LBBB referred for cardiac MR</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Absence of echo</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pathologic findings on MR</p> <p>Results: 9/29 (31%) had abnormal MR despite normal echo: 6 with DCM, 2 with LVH 19/25 (76%) with abnormal echo also had abnormal MR; in 13 of them (52%) the MR provided new “clinically relevant” findings: 8 DCM, 1 cardiac sarcoid</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CMR detects subclinical CMP in 1/3 of asx pts with LBBB and normal echo • CMR provides additional clinically relevant data in over 50% of pts • CMR is valuable adjuvant diagnostic tool for pt with asx LBBB
Brignole M, et al. 2001 (185) 11673344	<p>Study type: Prospective Observational</p> <p>Size: 52 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: BBB and negative conventional workup</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Rhythm at syncope recurrence as assessed by ILR</p> <p>Safety endpoint: N/A</p>	<p>In pts with BBB and negative EPS, most syncopal recurrences result from prolonged asystolic pauses, mainly attributable to paroxysmal AVB.</p>
Moya A, et al. 2011 (189) 21444367	<p>Aim: To analyze the clinical outcomes of pts with syncope and BBB following a systematic diagnostic approach: 3-phase: clinical evaluation, EPS, ILR</p> <p>Study type: Multicentered prospective observational trial</p> <p>Size: 323 patients (after exclusions)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥1 syncope in the last 6 mo. and BBB on EGG with a QRSd of ≥120 ms</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Indication for prophylactic ICD due to low LVEF; pre-excitation; long QT syndrome; Brugada syndrome; acute MI; pregnancy; life expectancy <1 y due to noncardiac cause; geographically or otherwise inaccessible for follow-up; unwilling or unable to give informed consent</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Clinical Dx (established in 267 patients (82.7%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -recurrent syncope: in 15/215 (7%) after phase 1/2; 36 of 108 (33% after phase 3 -documented spontaneous arrhythmias -death due to any cause: no difference in mortality rate between pts diagnosed at Phase I or II, compared with those who had implanted ILR (6.0 vs. 6.5%) <p>Safety endpoint: N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In pts with syncope, BBB, and preserved LVEF, a systematic diagnostic strategy (ESC guidelines) achieves a high rate of Dx (82.6%) with a low rate of mortality (6%), allowing clinicians to institute etiology-specific treatment. • The most common cause of syncope was bradyarrhythmia, mostly due to paroxysmal A-V block. Other etiologies of syncope were recognized in 17.6% • initial clinical evaluation achieved a Dx in 25%; the most frequent Dx at EPS was a bradyarrhythmia (76%), VT or SVT was induced in 14%. • The study was not designed to determine whether this diagnostic strategy was better than implanting a PM in the majority of pts
McAnulty JH, et al. 1982 (278) 7088050	<p>Study type: Prospective Observational</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Bifascicular or trifascicular block</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Major clinical events, death, heart block, need for PPM, syncope</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A higher percentage of pts with syncope were shown to develop CHB (17%) vs. those w/o syncope (2%)

	Size: 554 pts 351 had EPS and 203 refused it	Exclusion criteria: Terminal non-cardiac disease; symptoms already documented as due to bradycardia prior to study	Safety endpoint: N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heart block occurred in 4.9% of those with long HV compared to 1.9% with normal HV A prolonged PR interval (found in 13%) was associated with and increased risk of all death, sudden death, major clinical events or HF, but not development of heart block. Bundle branch block occurs in 1% of population, and requires no special evaluation in asx pts
Kwok CS, et al. 2016 (279) 26879241	Aim: Determine if prolonged PR interval is associated with adverse CV outcomes and mortality. Study type: Systemic review + meta-analysis Size: 14 studies, 400,750 pts	Inclusion criteria: Studies that evaluated clinical outcomes associated with prolonged and normal PR intervals Exclusion criteria: From main analysis: Studies of pts with specific cardiac pathologies (such as AS, sinus nodal dysfunction and HF) or of pts who had received intervention (angiography or CRT)	1° endpoint: Mortality Results: Increased risk of mortality with prolonged PR interval risk ratio (RR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.02–1.51, 5 studies. Prolonged PR interval was associated with significant risk of HF or LV dysfunction (RR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.18–1.65, 3 studies) and AF (RR: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.23–1.71, 8 studies) but not CV mortality, coronary heart disease or MI or stroke or TIA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible association between prolonged PR interval and significant increases in AF, HF and mortality.
Boriani G, et al. 2003 (280) 12649505	Size: 18 pts (age 42.8±19.6 y) with genetically confirmed X-linked (N=10) or autosomal dominant (N=8) EDMD	Inclusion criteria: N/A Exclusion criteria: N/A	Results: Pacemakers were required by 10 of 18 (56%) pts for bradyarrhythmia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> >50% of pts with muscular dystrophy (EDMD) require PM implant. Survival after PM implant is very reasonable
Mymin D, et al. 1986 (281) 3762641	Study type: Longitudinal, Observational Size: 3983 healthy men	Inclusion criteria: Healthy males Exclusion criteria: Females	1° endpoint: 1°AVB Results: 52 initial cases plus 124 new cases over 30 y. No difference in all-cause mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary first-degree heart block with moderate PR prolongation is a benign condition may not apply to more marked prolongation of the PR interval
Huhta JC, et al. 1983 (282) 6851033	Study type: Retrospective review	107 pts with ccTGA	23 of 107 (21%) developed naturally occurring AVB at a rate of 2% per yr. 12 of 49 (24%) developed AVB at VSD closure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pts with ccTGA are at a constant and elevated risk of developing complete AVB throughout their lives.

Connelly MS, et al. 1996 (283) 8609349	Study type: Retrospective review	52 pts with ccTGA	9 or 52 (17.3%) developed spontaneous AVB; 9 of 52 (17.3%) developed postoperative AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17% of pts developed progressive AVB unrelated to surgery
Weindling SN, et al. 1998 (284) 9723647	Study type: Retrospective review	54 pts with postoperative heart block following congenital heart surgery	31 of 32 pts who recovered AV conduction did so by the 9 th postoperative day.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 43% did not recover conduction 97% of those who recovered conduction – did so by d 9
Meune C, et al. 2006. (285) 16407522	Study type: Prospective observational	19 pts with lamin A mutations referred for pacing and receiving an ICD	9 pts (46%) received an appropriate shock for ventricular tachyarrhythmias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The implantation of an ICD, rather than a PM, should be considered for these pts
van Rijsingen, IA, et al. 2012. (286) 22281253	Study type: Retrospective multicentered cohort	269 pts with LMNA mutations	Malignant ventricular arrhythmias occurred (5%/y) in pts with ≥2 of: NSVT, LVEF <45% at the first clinical contact, male sex, and non-missense mutations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific risk factors portend a higher risk of ventricular arrhythmia in carriers of LMNA mutations
Maury P, et al. 2013. (287) 24011739	Study type: Retrospective review	325 pts	First degree AVB was independently associated with sudden death or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator appropriated therapies (OR: 2.41; 95% CI: 1.01–0.73; p=0.046)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> First degree AVB is independently linked to outcome and may be proposed to be used for individual risk stratification
O'Mahony C, et al. 2011. (288) 21856674	Study type: Observational, longitudinal, retrospective cohort study	204 pts; 12 had device implant during follow-up for bradyarrhythmias	Independent predictors of future antibradycardia pacing were (in a multivariable Cox model): QRSd and PR interval duration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pacing for AV and sinus node disease is common (±8%) Pts with QRS ≥110 ms should be closely monitored for bradyarrhythmias
Polak PE, et al. 1989 (289) 2707275	Study type: Case series	2 pts	Pts with fascicular block progressed to PM-dependent complete block	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Khambatta S, et al. 2014 (290) 25061332	Study type: Retrospective review	35 pts	PM/ICD required in 31 % (11 pts) 4 pts (11%) in the series died, but all deaths were from sudden cardiac events.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High incidence of device implantation implant and sudden death
Ali H, et al. 2017 (291) 28583850	Study type: Systematic Review	Case reports on CHB following blunt cardiac injury were available for 50 pts	PPM implantation was indicated in ~50% of early survivors because of recurrent or permanent CHB. BBB was present in >70% of pts A fatal outcome occurred in 20% of pts; structural damage of AV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CHB secondary to blunt cardiac injury is associated with 20% mortality mainly occurring in the early post-traumatic period and most of the deaths are due to arrhythmia.

			conduction system in 50% of necropsies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurrent or permanent CHB requiring PM implantation occurs in ~50% of survivors. • A structural damage of the AV conductive system can be found in 50% of victims
--	--	--	--	---

Data Supplement 42. Randomized Data for Predicting Perioperative Bradycardia (Section 8.1.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Chierichini A, et al. 2015 (292) 25953222	<p>Aim: Evaluate the use of irrigation fluid using norepinephrine or epinephrine in pts undergoing arthroscopy for rotator cuff surgery</p> <p>Study type: Prospective randomized double blind controlled study</p> <p>Size: 120 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ASA status 1 or 2, >18 y, scheduled for rotator cuff surgery with interscalene brachial plexus block</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: CAD, cardiac conduction defects, BB or ACEI:</p>	<p>Intervention: Norepinephrine (0.66 mg/L) to the irrigation bag</p> <p>Comparator: Epinephrine (0.33 mg/L) to the irrigation bag</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Development of hypotension or bradycardia (<30 bpm in ≤5 min or <50 bpm)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: Timing and safety of events</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypotension and/or bradycardia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ NE: 5/60 (8%) ○ E: 15/59 (25%) • Did not separate bradycardia events • Timing similar (30–35 min)

Data Supplement 43. RCTs of Conduction Disorders (Section 7)

Data Supplement 44. Nonrandomized Data for predicting perioperative bradycardia (Section 8.1.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Marrocco-Trischitta MM, et al. 2016 (293) 27177706	Aim: Evaluate use of temporary transvenous pacing (TTVP) for pts with	Inclusion criteria: Database searched for pts with CEA and TTVP	1° endpoint: 4/34 CEA surgeries with TTVP had PM activation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary transvenous pacing may be useful in pts undergoing CEA

	<p>trifascicular block undergoing CEA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective with historical controls (other pts with vascular surgery and TTVP)</p> <p>Size: 31 CEAs compared to 37 other vascular surgery (68 total)</p>	<p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse events were • Defined as follows: PM activation, occurrence • Of block progression to 2nd degree AVB of Mobitz type II, or third-degree A-V block, • bradycardia 40 bpm with a minimum duration 10 s and/or a hemodynamic compromise (i.e., systolic BP <90 mm Hg), asystole with a duration >5 s • 4 pts with PM activation • In 2 pts procedure stopped due to asystole 	
<p>Cheung CC, et al. 2015 (294) 25541033</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate prevalence of hypotension and bradycardia during elective noncardiac surgery</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 193 pts undergoing noncardiac elective surgery</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Post-hoc analysis of prospectively acquired data from a study evaluating withdrawal/management of a loop diuretic prior to surgery</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 67 pts developed intraoperative bradycardia (< 60 bpm for 2 sequential measurements >5 min apart)</p> <p>Results: Developed a HEART score for predicting hypotension or bradycardia based on baseline heart rate and BP, Age, Drug Rx, Cardiac complications score, and complexity of surgery (OR: 2.51; 95% CI: 1.79–3.53; C-statistic: 0.75)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surgical risk for hypotension and bradycardia can be assessed preoperatively
<p>Bauer AM, et al. 2014 (295) 24651937</p>	<p>Aim:</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size:</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results: Single pt with a carotid body tumor who became asystolic during surgery</p>	<p>Single pt with a carotid body tumor who became asystolic during surgery</p>
<p>Fritsch G, et al. 2012 (296) 22188223</p>	<p>Aim: Identify factors associated with surgical complications</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective analysis</p> <p>Size: 1,363 consecutive pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 1,363 consecutive pts in a 3 mo period scheduled for elective surgery</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 86 pts (6.3%) developed some complication. Hypotension most common but 20 pts (1.5%) developed hemodynamically relevant bradycardia</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not specifically analyze pts with bradycardia • Age, type of surgery and medical Hx were predictors for complications in general

<p>Perreira ID, et al. 2011 (297) 21920207</p>	<p>Aim: Identify factors associated with intraoperative bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 80,660 pts with neuraxial anesthesia from a single center</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: >18 y old</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Sinus bradycardia</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinus bradycardia more common with age <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 18–40 y: 2.5% • 41–60 y: 4.1% • >61 y: 5.2% • Sinus bradycardia dependent on anesthesia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSA (single puncture subarachnoid): 3.4% • CSA (continuous subarachnoid): 3.5% • SE (single puncture epidural): 1.3% • CE (continuous epidural): 3.4% • DB (double block): 1.5% • Variables associated with sinus bradycardia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age • Gender (0.74 for women) • Physical status (ASA III/IV 2.49/1.94) • Type of surgery (Emergency 1.98) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinus bradycardia more common with age, sex, anesthesia, and physical status
<p>Mitar MD, et al. 2015 (298) 25746023</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate pacing requirement for rotational atherectomy</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 138 pts Temporary pacing in 67 No temporary pacing in 67</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing rotational atherectomy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: (2nd degree AVB or asystole >2 s in the no PM group)</p> <p>Results: Pacemaker activated in PM group or AVB in no PM group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LM: 1/19 (5%) • LAD: 2/38 (5%) • Cx: 10/25 (40%) • RCA: 28/51 (55%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemaker activated in PM group or AVB in no PM group in pts with RCA or Cx PCI
<p>Im SH, et al. 2008 (299) 18254669</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate utility of transcutaneous pacing with carotid angioplasty and stenting</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 30 pts and 31 procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts who underwent elective carotid angioplasty and stenting and placement of a transcutaneous pacing system.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Transcutaneous pacing use</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24/31 required transcutaneous pacing (77%) • Continuous pacing for 10–30 min required in 5/31 pts (16%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacing support often required with elective carotid angioplasty and stenting

Bush RL, et al. 2004 (300) 15181504	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of bradycardia with carotid stenting procedures</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 48 pts who underwent 51 procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Carotid artery stenting procedures in consecutive pts who were thought to be of unacceptable risk for carotid artery endarterectomy.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Clinically significant bradycardia or hypotension</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access site hematomas in 2 pts (4%) • Significant bradycardia or asystole in 11/49 (22%) of procedures • Mean time of pacing was 6.6±1.2 min (range: 2.2–20.1 min) • No correlation between preprocedural cardiac status (History of MI or CABG) and development of bradycardia and hypotension 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant bradycardia or asystole in 11/49 in carotid stenting procedures
Harrop JS, et al. 2001 (301) 11564241	<p>Aim: Evaluate hypotension and bradycardia associated with carotid artery interventional procedures</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 43 pts underwent 47 carotid artery angioplasty and stenting procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts undergoing carotid artery procedures</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: 10 pts excluded; no reasons given</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Use of pacing for bradycardia and hypotension</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemaker activation in 23/37 procedures (73%) • No correlation between PM activation and sex, etiology of stenosis, severity of stenosis, number of inflations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemaker activation common with CEA
Gauss A, et al. 1999 (302) 10456813	<p>Aim: Evaluation of transcutaneous pacing in pts thought to be at risk for bradycardia (trifascicular block)</p> <p>Study type: Consecutive, prospective</p> <p>Size: 39 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts with asx chronic 1st degree AVB and LBBB or bifascicular block.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Progression of AVB, asystole >5 s or bradycardia <40 bpm >10 s)</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 37 of 39 pts could be paced transcutaneously • 0/39 had progression of AVB • 9 pts had bradycardia <40 bpm (6 intraoperatively and 3 postoperatively) • No pts absolutely required pacing for rate support 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No pts absolutely required pacing for rate support
Killeavey ES, et al. 1990 (303) 15227187	<p>Aim: Evaluate the use of transvenous pacing during PCI</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing PCI</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Requirement for pacing</p> <p>Results:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirement for pacing low

	<p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 778 pts (398 w/o transvenous pacing and 379 with prophylactic pacing and 1 emergent pacing)</p>	<p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 pts developed ventricular arrhythmias associated with prophylactic pacing (0.5%) • 8/379 had pacing required (2%) • Overall incidence for pacing for hemodynamically significant bradycardia in prophylactic situations was 7/777 (0.8%) 	
<p>Chowdhury T, et al. 2015 (304) 26656339</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Propofol boluses aborted the trigeminal cardiac reflex (TCR) induced severe bradycardia during dural manipulation.</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case report</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1 pt</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Results:</u> During dural stimulation, propofol 50 mg IV terminated sinus bradycardia</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case report discussing that during dural stimulation, propofol 50 mg IV terminated sinus bradycardia
<p>Yong J, et al. 2015 (305) 26424701</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate development of cardiac arrest during laparoscopic surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective analysis of the Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS) database</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 14 cases from >11,000 pt database</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Cardiac arrest pts</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Cardiac arrest (bradycardia)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9/14 bradycardia • 2 critical points for cardiac arrest: insufflation or establishment of pneumoperitoneum (12/14; 86%) Anesthesia induction (2/14; 14%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia common during laparoscopy
<p>Vimala S, et al. 2016 (306) 26114985</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Case report of asystole during dural manipulation</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case report</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1 pt</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia and asystole during dural manipulation and excision of a temporal lobe meningioma (near the left insula) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case report of bradycardia during dural manipulation

Mohan S, et al. 1990 (307) 24788865	<p>Aim: Evaluate the use of transvenous pacing during PCI</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 60 y undergoing maxillectomy for squamous cell cancer</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asystole during posterior osteotomy • Bradycardia again during manipulation of the posterior maxillary tuberosity • Treatment by atropine and minimizing surgical manipulation 	
Ishii D, et al. 1990 (308) 23834853	<p>Aim: Evaluate the use of cilostazol for preventing bradycardia during carotid artery stenting</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 53 pts who underwent 54 carotid artery stenting procedures divided into procedures where pts received cilostazol (26) and those who did not (28)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts who underwent carotid artery stenting at a single institution</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia (<50 bpm or hypotension (<90 mm Hg)</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intraprocedural bradycardia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cilostazol: 4/26 (15%) ○ No cilostazol: 15/28 (54%) • Postprocedure bradycardia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cilostazol: 0/26 ○ No cilostazol: 3/28 (11%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cilostazol reduced intraoperative bradycardia
Schipke JD, et al. 2013 (309) 23332411	<p>Aim: 1 pt who developed asystole during paranasal sinus surgery</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 s of asystole with instrumenting the paranasal sinuses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asystole with instrumenting the paranasal sinuses
Haldar R, et al. 2013 (310) 23242253	<p>Aim: 1 pt who developed bradycardia during skull pin fixation</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart rate decreased from 88 to 44 bpm with skull fixation pin tightening that stopped when instrumentation stopped and recurred with tightening again. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart rate decreased with skull fixation pin tightening that stopped when instrumentation stopped and recurred with tightening again.

Seo KC, et al. 2010 (311) 20498810	<p><u>Aim:</u> Identify possible factors contributing to bradycardia and hypotension during shoulder surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 63</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> ASA I/II pts who received interscalene block for arthroscopic shoulder surgery in the sitting position</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia (<50 bpm) and/or hypotension (<100 mm Hg or use of ephedrine)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 13/63 with bradycardia and hypotension • Bradycardia and hypotension more likely with: Right sided procedures (R: 27% vs. L: 5%) Higher use of fentanyl (54% vs. 0.4%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia and hypotension more common with tight sided procedures
Jeyabalan G, et al. 2010 (312) 20557186	<p><u>Aim:</u> Identify factors associated with bradycardia during pharmacomechanical thrombectomy for deep vein thrombosis</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 57 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts who underwent pharmacomechanical (AngioJet) therapy for deep vein thrombosis</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia</p> <p><u>Safety endpoint:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7/57 (12.3%) had bradyarrhythmias asystole 2 sinus bradycardia: 5 More than 1 episode: 4 • Bradycardia resolved in 5/7 pts with cessation of therapy. 2 pts received atropine 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia observed with AngioJet procedures
Usami K, et al. 2010 (313) 20448432	<p><u>Aim:</u> Describe 3 pts who developed bradycardia with surgery for cerebellopontine angle meningiomas</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case series</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 3</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case series of pts with bradycardia during meningioma surgery</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient bradycardia/asystole and hypotension apparently due to activation of the trigeminocardiac reflex by direct stimulation of the trigeminal nerve or branches in the dura mater or cerebellar tentorium • Remifentanyl suggested as a possible contributor 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient bradycardia/asystole and hypotension apparently due to activation of the trigeminocardiac reflex by direct stimulation of the trigeminal nerve or branches in the dura mater or cerebellar tentorium
Lubbers HT, et al. 2010 (314) 20347202	<p><u>Aim:</u> Describe 3 pts who developed bradycardia with craniomaxillofacial surgery.</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case series</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 3</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case series, N/A</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe 3 pts identified from a single center surgical database with bradycardia during craniomaxillofacial surgery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purely descriptive with no specific recommendations or findings

Christensen RE, et al. 2010 (315) 19933174	<p>Aim: Describe outcomes in pts with surgically corrected D transposition of the great arteries (D-TGA) undergoing noncardiac surgery</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 50 procedures (34 pts)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts with surgically corrected D-TGA undergoing noncardiac surgery (43 pediatric and 7 adults)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Adverse events including bradycardia</p> <p>Results: 4 adverse events. 1 pt with severe bradycardia during abdominal insufflation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 adverse events observed in pts with congenital heart disease and noncardiac surgeries.
Jacques F, et al. 2009 (316) 18657390	<p>Aim: Compare regional anesthesia and general anesthesia for CEA surgery</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 72 Regional anesthesia: 25 General anesthesia: 47</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing CEA from a single center</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Hypotension and bradycardia (<60 bpm)</p> <p>Results: Regional anesthesia associated with less intraoperative bradycardia (4%) when compared to general anesthesia (63%)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regional anesthesia associated with less intraoperative bradycardia
Hanss R, et al. 2008 (317) 18211442	<p>Aim: Evaluate heart rate variability as a tool to identify pts who will have hypotension or bradycardia during surgery</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective model followed by a prospective study</p> <p>Size: 100</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: High perioperative risk (ASA III/IV) undergoing major vascular or abdominal surgery</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Not in SR, <18 y, emergency surgery</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia and hypotension</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific data on bradycardia but those pts with lower heart rate variability (stratified by a total power <500 Ms²Hz⁻¹) were more likely to develop hypotension and bradycardia • 4/50 pts in the retrospective model development group had bradycardia (<50 bpm) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small numbers of bradycardia (mostly hypotension)
Reddy MK, et al. 2008 (318) 18157036	<p>Aim: Describe a pt who developed bradycardia during surgical positioning of an unstable cervical spine</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia (35 bpm) and hypotension (50 mm Hg) with initial skull positioning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case report of bradycardia with skull positioning

	<u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1		• Atropine and beta agonists not successful but surgical repositioning of the spine led to resolution and development of a heart rate 100 bpm	
Ardesch JJ, et al. 2007 (319) 17825483	<u>Aim:</u> Describe cardiac responses with vagal nerve stimulation <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 111	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts who received a vagal nerve stimulator for treatment of epilepsy <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia <u>Results:</u> 3 cases of bradycardia during intraoperative testing. Not subsequently observed on postoperative testing.	• Transient bradycardia can be observed with vagal stimulation.
Jones PM and Soderman RM, 2007 (320) 17223834	<u>Aim:</u> Describe a pt on 2 cholinesterase inhibitors who developed intraoperative bradycardia <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia <u>Results:</u> Bradycardia (35 bpm) with induction of anesthesia	• Bradycardia (35 bpm) with induction of anesthesia
Wijeysundera DN, et al. 2014 (321) 25091545	<u>Aim:</u> ERC report on perioperative BB use <u>Study type:</u> Meta-analysis <u>Size:</u> N/A	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Varied among studies <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Varied among studies	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia <u>Results:</u> Perioperative beta blockade started within 1 d or less before noncardiac surgery increases risks of intraoperative bradycardia (RR: 2.61; 95% CI: 2.18– 3.12).	• Perioperative beta blockade started within 1 d or less before noncardiac surgery increases risks of intraoperative bradycardia

Data Supplement 45. Nonrandomized Data for predicting complete heart block with pulmonary artery catheter insertion (Section 8.1.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
---	----------------------------------	--------------------	---	----------------------------------

Morris D, et al. 1987 (322) 3675104	<p>Aim: Evaluate the incidence of CHB in pts with LBBB undergoing PA catheter placement</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 47 pts who underwent 82 PA catheter placements</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts with LBBB who underwent PA catheter placement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: CHB</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 episodes of CHB in the setting of old LBBB but none temporally related to PA catheter placement • 2 episodes of CHB in the setting of new LBBB but none temporally related to PA catheter insertion-though occurred while the catheter was in place 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors do not recommend prophylactic temporary transvenous pacing
Elliott CG, et al. 1979 (323) 510002	<p>Aim: Evaluate complications associated with PA catheter placement</p> <p>Study type: Prospective</p> <p>Size: 116 PA catheters</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing PA catheter placement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Arrhythmias, ECG changes, or complications</p> <p>Results: Transient RBBB in 3% of pts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient RBBB fairly rare
Unnikrishnan D, et al. 2003 (324) 14570803	<p>Aim: Describe complications associated with PA catheter placement</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results: Complete heart block with central venous line placement in a pt with LBBB</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient CHB may occur with placement of central venous catheter

Data Supplement 46. Nonrandomized data for Permanent Pacing for TAVI/valve surgery

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P value; OR or RR; & 95% CI)
Mouillet G, et al. 2013 (325) 22972678	Aim: Predict which pts need PPM after Core Valve	Inclusion criteria: Pts getting Core Valve	Follow for 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All pts got temp pacing x 72 h; of 90 pts, 11 had immediate AVB and PPM; 21 subsequently needed PPM, mostly in the first wk.

	<p><u>Study type:</u> Prospective Observational study of pts getting Core Valve</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=90</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post TAVI QRSd <128 ms predicted no PM needed
Rabinovitz, E et al. 2016 (326) 26936468	<p><u>Aim:</u> Assess need for PM</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=302</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive TAVI pts</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> TAVI</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20% required PPM
PARTNER Leon MB, et al. 2010 (327) 20961243	<p><u>Aim:</u> Assess TAVI in severe AS pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Severe AS N=358</p>	TAVI vs. medical Rx	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.4% underwent PPM after TAVI
Kogan A, et al. 2015 (328) 25583151	<p><u>Aim:</u> Assess incidence of PPM with SAVR pre and post TAVI</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=290</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> SAVR pre and post 2008 and TAVI pts, single center</p>	Retrospective observational study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results: 2.48% got PPM within 7 d, over half of these had CHB. Pre TAVI, was 3.79% and post TAVI was 1.47%. • PARTNER trial: SAVR had 3.6% PPM
Rivard L, et al. 2015 (329) 25446155	<p><u>Aim:</u> Determine if EPS helps predict PM post TAVI</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=75</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 75 consecutive TAVI pts with no prior PM</p>	EPS, assess HV interval	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delta HC >13 ms (pre-post TAVI) and new LBBB with HV >65 were predictive of PM • 13 ms delta is 100% sensitivity and 84% specificity
Rene AG, et al. 2013 (330) 24028584	<p><u>Aim:</u> Assess recovery of AV conduction after valve surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational</p> <p><u>Size:</u> N=98</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> S/P valve surgery and received PPM same hospital.</p>	<p><u>Intervention:</u> PPM</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 98 with CHB, 77% became PM dependent • 40% who received a PM had no evidence of high-grade AVB during PM follow-up • 26% of those who recovered AV conduction in 30 d had recurrent AVB
Steyers CM, et al. 2015 (331) 26470027	<p><u>Aim:</u> Comprehensive review of AVB post cardiac surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Review</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> AVR, MVR, CABG, CABG/valve</p>	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PM dependency was highly variable • Recovery of AV conduction highly variable

	Size: N=10 studies, 780 pts			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal timing for PM (how long to wait for recovery) not established
Dawkins S, et al. 2008 (332) 18154792	Aim: Identify incidence and predictors of AVB after AVR Study type: Retrospective observational Size: N=354	Inclusion criteria: Surgical AVR	Intervention: PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7% needed PM in AS pts • 16% needed PM in AI pts
Viles-Gonzalez JF, et al. 2014 (333) 24526511	Aim: Observe natural Hx of AVB after MVR	Inclusion criteria: 290 MVR pts	Retrospective observational study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results: 2% got PPM mostly for AVB, 55% recovered conduction abnormal, some had residual 1st AVB; mean time to recover was 3 d. Predictors include RBBB
Merin O, et al. 2009 (334) 19140907	Aim: Study type: Size: N=4,999	Inclusion criteria: CABG, AVR, MVR	Intervention: PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 81% had a CABG • Predictor for PM=LBBB • 1.5% got a PM • 1/3 recovered AV conduction at late follow-up

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
The Birmingham Trial Watson RD, et al. 1984 (231) 6475712	Aim: To determine whether permanent pacing reduces mortality in pts with fascicular block ≥14 d post-MI, and whether measurement of intracardiac conduction times predicts later death. Study type: RCT	Inclusion criteria: Survived at least 14 d after AMI; RBBB alone or in combination with left anterior or left posterior hemiblock or left posterior hemiblock alone Exclusion criteria: Age ≥70 y; previous ECG evidence of conduction disorder (before infarction)	Intervention: Permanent pacing Comparator: No permanent pacing Resting intracardiac conduction times were measured in both groups prior to pacing	1° endpoint: No difference in mortality Safety endpoint (if relevant): N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progression of conduction disease was not observed • Measurement of infranodal conduction time (HV interval) did not predict outcome • Ventricular arrhythmia was an important cause of death

	<u>Size:</u> 50 pts	Pts with left bundle branch block were not included due to the difficulty in identifying the ECG features of AMI.			
PACE Petrac, D., et al. 1996 (335) 8734745	<u>Aim:</u> <u>Study type:</u> <u>Size:</u> 192 pts.	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> <u>Exclusion criteria:</u>	<u>Intervention:</u> His bundle recording during atrial pacing <u>Comparator:</u> Nonfunctional infraHisian 2° AVB	<u>1° endpoint:</u> In pts with chronic BBB and syncope, a nonfunctional infraHisian AVB induced by incremental atrial pacing identified pts with particularly high risk of development of spontaneous infraHisian AVB. <u>Safety endpoint:</u> N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incremental atrial pacing identified pts at high risk of development of spontaneous infraHisian AVB
The PRESS Study Santini M, et al. 2013 (182) 23390123	<u>Aim:</u> To demonstrate a reduction in symptomatic events in pts with bifascicular block and syncope of undetermined origin implanted with PPM. <u>Study type:</u> Randomized <u>Size:</u> 100 pts	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> <u>Exclusion criteria:</u>	<u>Intervention:</u> Permanent DDD pacing with a low rate of 60 bpm <u>Comparator:</u> Permanent DDI pacing with a low rate of 30 bpm	<u>1° endpoint:</u> (1) syncope, (2) symptomatic presyncopal episodes associated with a device intervention (ventricular pacing), and (3) symptomatic episodes associated with intermittent or permanent AVB (any degree).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DDD60 led to a significant reduction of syncope or symptomatic events associated with a cardioinhibitory origin, compared with DDI30 programming

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
The Framingham Study Schneider JF, et al.	<u>Aim:</u> Assess the clinical implications of LBBB	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> LBBB and age- and sex-matched control pts who did not	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Development of CV disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comparison with age- and sex-matched control subjects free from LBBB suggests that newly acquired

1979 (27) 154870	<p>Study type: Nested case-control in Framingham cohort</p> <p>Size: 55 pts who developed LBBB, 110 matched controls Mean age at study onset =50 y Mean age at onset of LBBB =62 y</p>	<p>develop LBBB from Framingham cohort</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>Results: 55/5209 people developed LBBB in 18 y of follow-up 48% of these develop CAD or HF for the 1st time with or following Dx of LBBB Only 11% remained free of clinically apparent CV abnormal In men, the appearance of LBBB contributed independently to an increased risk of CV disease mortality</p>	<p>LBBB is most often a hallmark of advanced hypertensive or ischemic heart disease, or both</p>
Fahy GJ, et al. 1996 (31) 8651093	<p>Aim: Determine the long-term outcome of pts with BBB and no clinical evidence of CV disease</p> <p>Study type: Nested case-control</p> <p>Size: 310 pts with BBB, 310 matched controls out of 110,000 participant screening program in Ireland</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: BBB/age- and sex-matched controls</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Suspected heart disease</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Long-term “outcome” of BBB pts</p> <p>Results: BBB did not impact overall mortality Cardiac mortality was significantly increased in the LBBB group compared to their controls LBBB, but not RBBB, was associated with an increased prevalence of CV disease at the follow-up (21% vs. 11%; p=0.04).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Isolated left BBB is associated with an increased risk of developing overt CV disease and increased cardiac mortality.
Talreja D, et al. 2000 (43) 10689252	<p>Aim: Assess ability to predict LV dysfunction on echo, by historic, clinical, radiographic, and ECG parameters</p> <p>Study type: Cross-sectional</p> <p>Size: 300</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive inpatients referred for the echocardiographic assessment of LV function</p>	<p>1° endpoint: LVER <45%</p> <p>Results: 124 (41%) had LVEF <45% Presence of LBBB, male sex, and CM on CXR were associated with presence of LV dysfunction Only 2 pts with LVSD had a normal ECG More than 50% of the predictive power of the model rested on the discriminatory ability of a normal ECG</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When ECG is normal, it is extremely unlikely to have LV systolic dysfunction. It can be argued that such pts should not be referred for echocardiography.

Eriksson P, et al. 1998 (30) 9832497	<p>Aim: Assess prevalence of BBB, its impact on mortality and coexisting CV conditions</p> <p>Study type: Prospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 855 men who were 50 y old in 1963 followed for 30 y 82 developed BBB 22 of those were LBBB</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Random sampling of Swedish men</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Mortality and CV disease</p> <p>Results: The prevalence of BBB increases from 1% at age 50 y to 17% at age 80 y, resulting in a cumulative incidence of 18%. BBB did not predict ischemic heart disease or mortality Men who developed BBB had bigger LV volume at baseline and greater incidence of DM and HF</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BBB correlates strongly to age and is common in elderly men. • BBB is a marker of a slowly progressing degenerative disease that affects the myocardium. • BBB is not associated with increased mortality • I could not find data broken down by LBBB vs. RBBB; vast majority were RBBB*
Mahmod M, et al. 2012 (277) 21805313	<p>Aim: Evaluate the diagnostic value of CMR in asx pts with LBBB</p> <p>Study type: Cross-sectional</p> <p>Size: 54 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Asymptomatic adults with complete LBBB referred for cardiac MR</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Absence of echo</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pathologic findings on MR</p> <p>Results: 9/29 (31%) had abnormal MR despite normal echo: 6 with DCM, 2 with LVH 19/25 (76%) with abnormal echo also had abnormal MR; in 13 of them (52%) the MR provided new “clinically relevant” findings: 8 DCM, 1 cardiac sarcoid</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CMR detects subclinical CMP in 1/3 of asx pts with LBBB and normal echo • CMR provides additional clinically relevant data in over 50% of pts • CMR is valuable adjuvant diagnostic tool for pt with asx LBBB
Brignole M, et al. 2001 (185) 11673344	<p>Study type: Prospective Observational</p> <p>Size: 52 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: BBB and negative conventional workup</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Rhythm at syncope recurrence as assessed by ILR</p> <p>Safety endpoint: N/A</p>	<p>In pts with BBB and negative EPS, most syncopal recurrences result from prolonged asystolic pauses, mainly attributable to paroxysmal AVB.</p>
Moya A, et al. 2011 (189) 21444367	<p>Aim: To analyze the clinical outcomes of pts with syncope and BBB following a systematic diagnostic approach: 3-phase: clinical evaluation, EPS, ILR</p> <p>Study type: Multicentered prospective observational trial</p> <p>Size: 323 patients (after exclusions)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ≥1 syncope in the last 6 mo. and BBB on EGG with a QRSd of ≥120 ms</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Indication for prophylactic ICD due to low LVEF; pre-excitation; long QT syndrome; Brugada syndrome; acute MI; pregnancy; life expectancy</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Clinical Dx (established in 267 patients (82.7%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -recurrent syncope: in 15/215 (7%) after phase 1/2; 36 of 108 (33% after phase 3 -documented spontaneous arrhythmias -death due to any cause: no difference in mortality rate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In pts with syncope, BBB, and preserved LVEF, a systematic diagnostic strategy (ESC guidelines) achieves a high rate of Dx (82.6%) with a low rate of mortality (6%), allowing clinicians to institute etiology-specific treatment. • The most common cause of syncope was bradyarrhythmia, mostly due to paroxysmal A-V block. Other

		<1 y due to noncardiac cause; geographically or otherwise inaccessible for follow-up; unwilling or unable to give informed consent	between pts diagnosed at Phase I or II, compared with those who had implanted ILR (6.0 vs. 6.5%) Safety endpoint: N/A	etiologies of syncope were recognized in 17.6% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial clinical evaluation achieved a Dx in 25%; the most frequent Dx at EPS was a bradyarrhythmia (76%), VT or SVT was induced in 14%. The study was not designed to determine whether this diagnostic strategy was better than implanting a PM in the majority of pts
McAnulty JH, et al. 1982 (278) 7088050	Study type: Prospective Observational Size: 554 pts 351 had EPS and 203 refused it	Inclusion criteria: Bifascicular or trifascicular block Exclusion criteria: Terminal non-cardiac disease; symptoms already documented as due to bradycardia prior to study	1° endpoint: Major clinical events, death, heart block, need for PPM, syncope Safety endpoint: N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A higher percentage of pts with syncope were shown to develop CHB (17%) vs. those w/o syncope (2%) Heart block occurred in 4.9% of those with long HV compared to 1.9% with normal HV A prolonged PR interval (found in 13%) was associated with and increased risk of all death, sudden death, major clinical events or HF, but not development of heart block. Bundle branch block occurs in 1% of population, and requires no special evaluation in asx pts
Kwok CS, et al. 2016 (279) 26879241	Aim: Determine if prolonged PR interval is associated with adverse CV outcomes and mortality. Study type: Systemic review + meta-analysis Size: 14 studies, 400,750 pts	Inclusion criteria: Studies that evaluated clinical outcomes associated with prolonged and normal PR intervals Exclusion criteria: From main analysis: Studies of pts with specific cardiac pathologies (such as AS, sinus nodal dysfunction and HF) or of pts who had received intervention (angiography or CRT)	1° endpoint: Mortality Results: Increased risk of mortality with prolonged PR interval risk ratio (RR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.02–1.51, 5 studies. Prolonged PR interval was associated with significant risk of HF or LV dysfunction (RR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.18–1.65, 3 studies) and AF (RR: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.23–1.71, 8 studies) but not CV mortality, coronary heart disease or MI or stroke or TIA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible association between prolonged PR interval and significant increases in AF, HF and mortality.

Boriani G, et al. 2003 (280) 12649505	Size: 18 pts (age 42.8±19.6 y) with genetically confirmed X-linked (N=10) or autosomal dominant (N=8) EDMD	Inclusion criteria: N/A Exclusion criteria: N/A	Results: Pacemakers were required by 10 of 18 (56%) pts for bradyarrhythmia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • >50% of pts with muscular dystrophy (EDMD) require PM implant. • Survival after PM implant is very reasonable
Mymin D, et al. 1986 (281) 3762641	Study type: Longitudinal, Observational Size: 3983 healthy men	Inclusion criteria: Healthy males Exclusion criteria: Females	1° endpoint: 1°AVB Results: 52 initial cases plus 124 new cases over 30 y. No difference in all-cause mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary first-degree heart block with moderate PR prolongation is a benign condition • may not apply to more marked prolongation of the PR interval
Huhta JC, et al. 1983 (282) 6851033	Study type: Retrospective review	107 pts with ccTGA	23 of 107 (21%) developed naturally occurring AVB at a rate of 2% per yr. 12 of 49 (24%) developed AVB at VSD closure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with ccTGA are at a constant and elevated risk of developing complete AVB throughout their lives.
Connelly MS, et al. 1996 (283) 8609349	Study type: Retrospective review	52 pts with ccTGA	9 or 52 (17.3%) developed spontaneous AVB; 9 of 52 (17.3%) developed postoperative AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17% of pts developed progressive AVB unrelated to surgery
Weindling SN, et al. 1998 (284) 9723647	Study type: Retrospective review	54 pts with postoperative heart block following congenital heart surgery	31 of 32 pts who recovered AV conduction did so by the 9 th postoperative day.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 43% did not recover conduction • 97% of those who recovered conduction – did so by d 9
Meune C, et al. 2006. (285) 16407522	Study type: Prospective observational	19 pts with lamin A mutations referred for pacing and receiving an ICD	9 pts (46%) received an appropriate shock for ventricular tachyarrhythmias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The implantation of an ICD, rather than a PM, should be considered for these pts
van Rijsingen, IA, et al. 2012. (286) 22281253	Study type: Retrospective multicentered cohort	269 pts with LMNA mutations	Malignant ventricular arrhythmias occurred (5%/y) in pts with ≥2 of: NSVT, LVEF <45% at the first clinical contact, male sex, and non-missense mutations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific risk factors portend a higher risk of ventricular arrhythmia in carriers of LMNA mutations
Maury P, et al. 2013. (287) 24011739	Study type: Retrospective review	325 pts	First degree AVB was independently associated with sudden death or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator appropriated therapies (OR: 2.41; 95% CI: 1.01–0.73; p=0.046)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First degree AVB is independently linked to outcome and may be proposed to be used for individual risk stratification
O'Mahony C, et al. 2011. (288) 21856674	Study type: Observational, longitudinal, retrospective cohort study	204 pts; 12 had device implant during follow-up for bradyarrhythmias	Independent predictors of future antibradycardia pacing were (in a multivariable Cox model): QRSD and PR interval duration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacing for AV and sinus node disease is common (±8%) • Pts with QRS ≥110 ms should be closely monitored for bradyarrhythmias

Polak PE, et al. 1989 (289) 2707275	Study type: Case series	2 pts	Pts with fascicular block progressed to PM-dependent complete block	• N/A
Khambatta S, et al. 2014 (290) 25061332	Study type: Retrospective review	35 pts	PM/ICD required in 31 % (11 pts) 4 pts (11%) in the series died, but all deaths were from sudden cardiac events.	• High incidence of device implantation implant and sudden death
Ali H, et al. 2017 (291) 28583850	Study type: Systematic Review	Case reports on CHB following blunt cardiac injury were available for 50 pts	PPM implantation was indicated in ~50% of early survivors because of recurrent or permanent CHB. BBB was present in >70% of pts A fatal outcome occurred in 20% of pts; structural damage of AV conduction system in 50% of necropsies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHB secondary to blunt cardiac injury is associated with 20% mortality mainly occurring in the early post-traumatic period and most of the deaths are due to arrhythmia. • Recurrent or permanent CHB requiring PM implantation occurs in ~50% of survivors. • A structural damage of the AV conductive system can be found in 50% of victims

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Aim of Study; Study Type; Study Size (N)	Patient Population	Study Intervention (# patients) / Study Comparator (# patients)	Endpoint Results (Absolute Event Rates, P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any); Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Chierichini A, et al. 2015 (292) 25953222	<p>Aim: Evaluate the use of irrigation fluid using norepinephrine or epinephrine in pts undergoing arthroscopy for rotator cuff surgery</p> <p>Study type: Prospective randomized double blind controlled study</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ASA status 1 or 2, >18 y, scheduled for rotator cuff surgery with interscalene brachial plexus block</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: CAD, cardiac conduction defects, BB or ACEI:</p>	<p>Intervention: Norepinephrine (0.66 mg/L) to the irrigation bag</p> <p>Comparator: Epinephrine (0.33 mg/L) to the irrigation bag</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Development of hypotension or bradycardia (<30 bpm in ≤5 min or <50 bpm</p> <p>Safety endpoint: Timing and safety of events</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypotension and/or bradycardia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ NE: 5/60 (8%) ○ E: 15/59 (25%) • Did not separate bradycardia events • Timing similar (30–35 min)

	Size: 120 pts				
--	----------------------	--	--	--	--

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Marrocco-Trischitta MM, et al. 2016 (293) 27177706	<p>Aim: Evaluate use of temporary transvenous pacing (TTVP) for pts with trifascicular block undergoing CEA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective with historical controls (other pts with vascular surgery and TTVP)</p> <p>Size: 31 CEAs compared to 37 other vascular surgery (68 total)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Database searched for pts with CEA and TTVP</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 4/34 CEA surgeries with TTVP had PM activation</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse events were • Defined as follows: PM activation, occurrence • Of block progression to 2nd degree AVB of Mobitz type II, or third-degree A-V block, • bradycardia 40 bpm with a minimum duration 10 s and/or a hemodynamic compromise (i.e., systolic BP <90 mm Hg), asystole with a duration >5 s • 4 pts with PM activation • In 2 pts procedure stopped due to asystole 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary transvenous pacing may be useful in pts undergoing CEA
Cheung CC, et al. 2015 (294) 25541033	<p>Aim: Evaluate prevalence of hypotension and bradycardia during elective noncardiac surgery</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 193 pts undergoing noncardiac elective surgery</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Post-hoc analysis of prospectively acquired data from a study evaluating withdrawal/management of a loop diuretic prior to surgery</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 67 pts developed intraoperative bradycardia (< 60 bpm for 2 sequential measurements >5 min apart)</p> <p>Results: Developed a HEART score for predicting hypotension or bradycardia based on baseline heart rate and BP, Age, Drug Rx, Cardiac complications score, and complexity of surgery (OR: 2.51; 95% CI: 1.79–3.53; C-statistic: 0.75)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surgical risk for hypotension and bradycardia can be assessed preoperatively

Bauer AM, et al. 2014 (295) 24651937	<u>Aim:</u> <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u>	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None	<u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A <u>Results:</u> Single pt with a carotid body tumor who became asystolic during surgery	Single pt with a carotid body tumor who became asystolic during surgery
Fritsch G, et al. 2012 (296) 22188223	<u>Aim:</u> Identify factors associated with surgical complications <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective analysis <u>Size:</u> 1,363 consecutive pts	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 1,363 consecutive pts in a 3 mo period scheduled for elective surgery <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None	<u>1° endpoint:</u> 86 pts (6.3%) developed some complication. Hypotension most common but 20 pts (1.5%) developed hemodynamically relevant bradycardia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not specifically analyze pts with bradycardia • Age, type of surgery and medical Hx were predictors for complications in general
Perreira ID, et al. 2011 (297) 21920207	<u>Aim:</u> Identify factors associated with intraoperative bradycardia <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 80,660 pts with neuraxial anesthesia from a single center	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> >18 y old <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Sinus bradycardia <u>Results:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinus bradycardia more common with age <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 18–40 y: 2.5% • 41–60 y: 4.1% • >61 y: 5.2% • Sinus bradycardia dependent on anesthesia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSA (single puncture subarachnoid): 3.4% • CSA (continuous subarachnoid): 3.5% • SE (single puncture epidural): 1.3% • CE (continuous epidural): 3.4% • DB (double block): 1.5% • Variables associated with sinus bradycardia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age • Gender (0.74 for women) • Physical status (ASA III/IV 2.49/1.94) • Type of surgery (Emergency 1.98) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinus bradycardia more common with age, sex, anesthesia, and physical status
Mitar MD, et al. 2015 (298) 25746023	<u>Aim:</u> Evaluate pacing requirement for rotational atherectomy <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts undergoing rotational atherectomy <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None	<u>1° endpoint:</u> (2 nd degree AVB or asystole >2 s in the no PM group) <u>Results:</u> Pacemaker activated in PM group or AVB in no PM group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LM: 1/19 (5%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemaker activated in PM group or AVB in no PM group in pts with RCA or Cx PCI

	<p>Size: 138 pts Temporary pacing in 67 No temporary pacing in 67</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LAD: 2/38 (5%) • Cx: 10/25 (40%) • RCA: 28/51 (55%) 	
<p>Im SH, et al. 2008 (299) 18254669</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate utility of transcutaneous pacing with carotid angioplasty and stenting</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 30 pts and 31 procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts who underwent elective carotid angioplasty and stenting and placement of a transcutaneous pacing system.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Transcutaneous pacing use</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24/31 required transcutaneous pacing (77%) • Continuous pacing for 10–30 min required in 5/31 pts (16%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacing support often required with elective carotid angioplasty and stenting
<p>Bush RL, et al. 2004 (300) 15181504</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of bradycardia with carotid stenting procedures</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 48 pts who underwent 51 procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Carotid artery stenting procedures in consecutive pts who were thought to be of unacceptable risk for carotid artery endarterectomy.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Clinically significant bradycardia or hypotension</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access site hematomas in 2 pts (4%) • Significant bradycardia or asystole in 11/49 (22%) of procedures • Mean time of pacing was 6.6±1.2 min (range: 2.2–20.1 min) • No correlation between preprocedural cardiac status (History of MI or CABG) and development of bradycardia and hypotension 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant bradycardia or asystole in 11/49 in carotid stenting procedures
<p>Harrop JS, et al. 2001 (301) 11564241</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate hypotension and bradycardia associated with carotid artery interventional procedures</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 43 pts underwent 47 carotid artery angioplasty and stenting procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts undergoing carotid artery procedures</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: 10 pts excluded; no reasons given</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Use of pacing for bradycardia and hypotension</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemaker activation in 23/37 procedures (73%) • No correlation between PM activation and sex, etiology of stenosis, severity of stenosis, number of inflations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemaker activation common with CEA

Gauss A, et al. 1999 (302) 10456813	<p>Aim: Evaluation of transcutaneous pacing in pts thought to be at risk for bradycardia (trifascicular block)</p> <p>Study type: Consecutive, prospective</p> <p>Size: 39 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts with asx chronic 1st degree AVB and LBBB or bifascicular block.</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Progression of AVB, asystole >5 s or bradycardia <40 bpm >10 s)</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 37 of 39 pts could be paced transcutaneously • 0/39 had progression of AVB • 9 pts had bradycardia <40 bpm (6 intraoperatively and 3 postoperatively) • No pts absolutely required pacing for rate support 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No pts absolutely required pacing for rate support
Killeavey ES, et al. 1990 (303) 15227187	<p>Aim: Evaluate the use of transvenous pacing during PCI</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 778 pts (398 w/o transvenous pacing and 379 with prophylactic pacing and 1 emergent pacing)</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing PCI</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Requirement for pacing</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 pts developed ventricular arrhythmias associated with prophylactic pacing (0.5%) • 8/379 had pacing required (2%) • Overall incidence for pacing for hemodynamically significant bradycardia in prophylactic situations was 7/777 (0.8%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirement for pacing low
Chowdhury T, et al. 2015 (304) 26656339	<p>Aim: Propofol boluses aborted the trigeminal cardiac reflex (TCR) induced severe bradycardia during dural manipulation.</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1 pt</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results: During dural stimulation, propofol 50 mg IV terminated sinus bradycardia</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case report discussing that during dural stimulation, propofol 50 mg IV terminated sinus bradycardia
Yong J, et al. 2015 (305) 26424701	<p>Aim: Evaluate development of cardiac arrest during laparoscopic surgery</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Cardiac arrest pts</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Cardiac arrest (bradycardia)</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9/14 bradycardia • 2 critical points for cardiac arrest: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia common during laparoscopy

	<p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective analysis of the Australian Incident Monitoring Study (AIMS) database</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 14 cases from >11,000 pt database</p>		<p>insufflation or establishment of pneumoperitoneum (12/14; 86%)</p> <p>Anesthesia induction (2/14; 14%)</p>	
<p>Vimala S, et al. 2016 (306)</p> <p>26114985</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Case report of asystole during dural manipulation</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case report</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1 pt</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia and asystole during dural manipulation and excision of a temporal lobe meningioma (near the left insula) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case report of bradycardia during dural manipulation
<p>Mohan S, et al. 1990 (307)</p> <p>24788865</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate the use of transvenous pacing during PCI</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case report</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 1</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 60 y undergoing maxillectomy for squamous cell cancer</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asystole during posterior osteotomy • Bradycardia again during manipulation of the posterior maxillary tuberosity • Treatment by atropine and minimizing surgical manipulation 	
<p>Ishii D, et al. 1990 (308)</p> <p>23834853</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate the use of cilostazol for preventing bradycardia during carotid artery stenting</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 53 pts who underwent 54 carotid artery stenting procedures divided into procedures where pts received cilostazol (26) and those who did not (28)</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts who underwent carotid artery stenting at a single institution</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia (<50 bpm or hypotension (<90 mm Hg)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intraprocedural bradycardia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cilostazol: 4/26 (15%) ○ No cilostazol: 15/28 (54%) • Postprocedure bradycardia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cilostazol: 0/26 ○ No cilostazol: 3/28 (11%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cilostazol reduced intraoperative bradycardia

Schipke JD, et al. 2013 (309) 23332411	<u>Aim:</u> 1 pt who developed asystole during paranasal sinus surgery <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A <u>Results:</u> • 15 s of asystole with instrumenting the paranasal sinuses	• Asystole with instrumenting the paranasal sinuses
Haldar R, et al. 2013 (310) 23242253	<u>Aim:</u> 1 pt who developed bradycardia during skull pin fixation <u>Study type:</u> Case report <u>Size:</u> 1	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> N/A <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> N/A <u>Results:</u> • Heart rate decreased from 88 to 44 bpm with skull fixation pin tightening that stopped when instrumentation stopped and recurred with tightening again.	• Heart rate decreased with skull fixation pin tightening that stopped when instrumentation stopped and recurred with tightening again.
Seo KC, et al. 2010 (311) 20498810	<u>Aim:</u> Identify possible factors contributing to bradycardia and hypotension during shoulder surgery <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 63	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> ASA I/II pts who received interscalene block for arthroscopic shoulder surgery in the sitting position <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia (<50 bpm) and/or hypotension (<100 mm Hg or use of ephedrine) <u>Results:</u> • 13/63 with bradycardia and hypotension • Bradycardia and hypotension more likely with: Right sided procedures (R: 27% vs. L: 5%) Higher use of fentanyl (54% vs. 0.4%)	• Bradycardia and hypotension more common with tight sided procedures
Jeyabalan G, et al. 2010 (312) 20557186	<u>Aim:</u> Identify factors associated with bradycardia during pharmacomechanical thrombectomy for deep vein thrombosis <u>Study type:</u> Retrospective <u>Size:</u> 57 pts	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts who underwent pharmacomechanical (AngioJet) therapy for deep vein thrombosis <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia <u>Safety endpoint:</u> • 7/57 (12.3%) had bradyarrhythmias asystole 2 sinus bradycardia: 5 More than 1 episode: 4 • Bradycardia resolved in 5/7 pts with cessation of therapy. 2 pts received atropine	• Bradycardia observed with AngioJet procedures
Usami K, et al. 2010 (313) 20448432	<u>Aim:</u> Describe 3 pts who developed bradycardia with surgery for cerebellopontine angle meningiomas	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case series of pts with bradycardia during meningioma surgery	<u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia <u>Results:</u> • Transient bradycardia/asystole and hypotension apparently due to activation of the	• Transient bradycardia/asystole and hypotension apparently due to activation of the trigeminocardiac reflex

	<p><u>Study type:</u> Case series</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 3</p>	<p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p>trigemino-cardiac reflex by direct stimulation of the trigeminal nerve or branches in the dura mater or cerebellar tentorium</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remifentanyl suggested as a possible contributor 	<p>by direct stimulation of the trigeminal nerve or branches in the dura mater or cerebellar tentorium</p>
<p>Lubbers HT, et al. 2010 (314) 20347202</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Describe 3 pts who developed bradycardia with craniomaxillofacial surgery.</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Case series</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 3</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Case series, N/A</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Bradycardia</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe 3 pts identified from a single center surgical database with bradycardia during craniomaxillofacial surgery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purely descriptive with no specific recommendations or findings
<p>Christensen RE, et al. 2010 (315) 19933174</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Describe outcomes in pts with surgically corrected D transposition of the great arteries (D-TGA) undergoing noncardiac surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 50 procedures (34 pts)</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts with surgically corrected D-TGA undergoing noncardiac surgery (43 pediatric and 7 adults)</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> N/A</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Adverse events including bradycardia</p> <p><u>Results:</u> 4 adverse events. 1 pt with severe bradycardia during abdominal insufflation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 adverse events observed in pts with congenital heart disease and noncardiac surgeries.
<p>Jacques F, et al. 2009 (316) 18657390</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Compare regional anesthesia and general anesthesia for CEA surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 72 Regional anesthesia: 25 General anesthesia: 47</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Consecutive pts undergoing CEA from a single center</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Hypotension and bradycardia (<60 bpm)</p> <p><u>Results:</u> Regional anesthesia associated with less intraoperative bradycardia (4%) when compared to general anesthesia (63%)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regional anesthesia associated with less intraoperative bradycardia

<p>Hanss R, et al. 2008 (317) 18211442</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate heart rate variability as a tool to identify pts who will have hypotension or bradycardia during surgery</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective model followed by a prospective study</p> <p>Size: 100</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: High perioperative risk (ASA III/IV) undergoing major vascular or abdominal surgery</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Not in SR, <18 y, emergency surgery</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia and hypotension</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific data on bradycardia but those pts with lower heart rate variability (stratified by a total power <500 Ms²Hz⁻¹) were more likely to develop hypotension and bradycardia • 4/50 pts in the retrospective model development group had bradycardia (<50 bpm) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small numbers of bradycardia (mostly hypotension)
<p>Reddy MK, et al. 2008 (318) 18157036</p>	<p>Aim: Describe a pt who developed bradycardia during surgical positioning of an unstable cervical spine</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia (35 bpm) and hypotension (50 mm Hg) with initial skull positioning • Atropine and beta agonists not successful but surgical repositioning of the spine led to resolution and development of a heart rate 100 bpm 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case report of bradycardia with skull positioning
<p>Ardesch JJ, et al. 2007 (319) 17825483</p>	<p>Aim: Describe cardiac responses with vagal nerve stimulation</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 111</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts who received a vagal nerve stimulator for treatment of epilepsy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia</p> <p>Results: 3 cases of bradycardia during intraoperative testing. Not subsequently observed on postoperative testing.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient bradycardia can be observed with vagal stimulation.
<p>Jones PM and Soderman RM, 2007 (320) 17223834</p>	<p>Aim: Describe a pt on 2 cholinesterase inhibitors who developed intraoperative bradycardia</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p> <p>Size: 1</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia</p> <p>Results: Bradycardia (35 bpm) with induction of anesthesia</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradycardia (35 bpm) with induction of anesthesia

Wijeyesundera DN, et al. 2014 (321) 25091545	<p>Aim: ERC report on perioperative BB use</p> <p>Study type: Meta-analysis</p> <p>Size: N/A</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Varied among studies</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Varied among studies</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Bradycardia</p> <p>Results: Perioperative beta blockade started within 1 d or less before noncardiac surgery increases risks of intraoperative bradycardia (RR: 2.61; 95% CI: 2.18– 3.12).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perioperative beta blockade started within 1 d or less before noncardiac surgery increases risks of intraoperative bradycardia
---	---	---	--	--

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Morris D, et al. 1987 (322) 3675104	<p>Aim: Evaluate the incidence of CHB in pts with LBBB undergoing PA catheter placement</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 47 pts who underwent 82 PA catheter placements</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts with LBBB who underwent PA catheter placement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: CHB</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 episodes of CHB in the setting of old LBBB but none temporally related to PA catheter placement • 2 episodes of CHB in the setting of new LBBB but none temporally related to PA catheter insertion-though occurred while the catheter was in place 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors do not recommend prophylactic temporary transvenous pacing
Elliott CG, et al. 1979 (323) 510002	<p>Aim: Evaluate complications associated with PA catheter placement</p> <p>Study type: Prospective</p> <p>Size: 116 PA catheters</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing PA catheter placement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Arrhythmias, ECG changes, or complications</p> <p>Results: Transient RBBB in 3% of pts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient RBBB fairly rare
Unnikrishnan D, et al. 2003 (324) 14570803	<p>Aim: Describe complications associated with PA catheter placement</p> <p>Study type: Case report</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: N/A</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: N/A</p> <p>Results: Complete heart block with central venous line placement in a pt with LBBB</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient CHB may occur with placement of central venous catheter

	<u>Size:</u> 1			
--	----------------	--	--	--

Data Supplement 47. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of CABG (Section 8.1.2.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published' PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Bougioukas I, et al. 2017 (336) 28122567	Aim: Correlation of temporary pacing wire removal and bleeding Study type: Observational Size: 4244	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing cardiac surgery	Intervention: Cardiac surgery Comparator: Pts who underwent re-exploration unrelated to pacer removal 1° endpoint: 0.18% bleeding after temporary pacing wire removal Safety endpoint: 2 pts died after removal from tamponade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retrospective review • Not clear of decision to leave in and cut wires instead of removal
Bethea BT, et al. 2005 (337) 15620924	Aim: Determine need for temporary pacing Study type: Observational Size: 222	Inclusion criteria: CABG Exclusion criteria: OP-CABG	Intervention: CABG 1° endpoint: 3 risk factors related to need for pacing: DM, need for pacing at CPB separation, preop arrhythmia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • Even after risk factors eliminated 2.6% still needed wires.
Puskas JD, et al. 2003 (338) 14721993	Aim: Compare off-pump vs. on pump CABG Study type: RCT sub-analysis Size: 200	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing CABG Exclusion criteria: Addition of valve surgery recognized at time of operation	Intervention: Temporary pacing wires only placed if needed before chest closure 1° endpoint: Only 17% of pts need wires Safety endpoint: No adverse event in the non-pacing wire group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not intervention randomized • Small, retrospective • Does not discuss any need for pacing Swann • No adverse event reported from pulling wires

Caspi Y, et al. 1987 (339) 3493391	<p>Aim: Identify incidence of conduction block after CABG</p> <p>Study type: Observational</p> <p>Size: 316</p>	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing CABG	<p>Intervention: CABG</p> <p>Comparator: Pts who did not have conduction block</p> <p>1° endpoint: 17% had new bundle branch block associated with preop MI, low cardiac output and death</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • Potentially dated due to changes in surgical technique
Zeldis SM, et al. 1978 (340) 306190	<p>Aim: Identify frequency of new fascicular conduction disturbances after CABG</p> <p>Study type: Observational</p>	Inclusion criteria: Isolated CABG	<p>Intervention: CABG</p> <p>Comparator: Pts who did not have conduction block</p> <p>1° endpoint: 20% new disturbances, 6% RBBB, 6% LAH. Pts with transient or persistent LBB or L anterior hemiblock had increased late mortality and MI</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • Potentially dated due to changes in surgical technique
Cook DJ, et al. 2005 (341) 16242447	<p>Aim: Assess incidence of new conduction defects over time after isolated CABG</p> <p>Study type: Observational</p> <p>Size: 800</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Isolated CABG pts</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Pre-existing conduction defect, PM, peri-op AF</p>	<p>Intervention: CABG</p> <p>Comparator: Pts whose operations were performed in 1991 vs. 2001</p> <p>1° endpoint: Decline in conduction defects from 19% to 6%. Associated with year of operation, age, IABP use, number of vessels bypassed and crystalloid cardioplegia</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective
Tuzcu EM, et al. 1990 (342) 2387933	<p>Aim: Identify incidence and significance of new conduction defects after CABG</p> <p>Study type: Observational, matched</p>	Inclusion criteria: Isolated elective CABG	<p>Intervention: CABG</p> <p>Comparator: Matched pts w/o conduction defects</p> <p>1° endpoint: 5.5% new conduction block, 85% RBBB, 4% LBBB. No difference in late mortality or need for PM with matched group</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective

	<u>Size:</u> 2,000			
Ngaage DL, et al. 2007 (343) 17198809	<u>Aim:</u> Influence of preop AF on outcomes after CABG <u>Study type:</u> observational, matched <u>Size:</u> 526	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts undergoing CABG with preop AF <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Acute coronary syndrome	<u>Intervention:</u> CABG <u>Comparator:</u> Matched pts <u>1° endpoint:</u> AF pts: higher MACE, late mortality and late PM implantation (RR: 2.1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective
Yesil M, et al. 2008 (344) 18855876	<u>Aim:</u> Determine effect of revascularization on present conduction disturbances <u>Study type:</u> Observational <u>Size:</u> 53	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts with CAD and 3 rd degree block <u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Acute coronary syndrome	<u>Intervention:</u> Revascularization <u>Comparator:</u> Medical management of CAD <u>1° endpoint:</u> 81% in medical arm vs. 73% in revascularized arm still in 3 degree heart block	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective
Satinsky JD, et al. 1974 (345) 4843620	<u>Study type:</u> Retrospective case series <u>Size:</u> 280 pts	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts undergoing cardiac surgery	<u>1° endpoint:</u> New conduction defects after cardiac surgery <u>Results:</u> 6% of all pts had new conduction defects, 12% after valve surgery-only 0.7% of total, both valve pts required PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective, mixed group

Data Supplement 48. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Open Surgery for Atrial Fibrillation or Valvular Surgery (Section 8.1.2.2. and 8.1.2.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published' PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Dawkins S, et al. 2008 (332) 18154792	Aim: Determine incidence and predictors of PPM after AVR Study type: Observational Size: 354	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing AVR Exclusion criteria: Pts with preop pacemaker	Intervention: PM placement Comparator: No pacemaker required 1° endpoint: 8.5% required permanent pacemaker. Only predictor: preop conduction system disease (RR: 2.88).	• Small, retrospective
Limongelli G, et al. 2003 (346) 12860869	Aim: Identify incidence and predictors of PPM after AVR Study type: Observational cohort Size: 276	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing AVR	Intervention: PPM Comparator: No PPM 1° endpoint: 3.2% required PPM. Risk factors: preop AI, MI, PHTN, and postop electrolyte abnormalities.	• Small, retrospective • Did not control for preop conduction abnormalities
Bagur R, et al. 2011 (347) 21828221	Aim: Identify incidence and predictors of PPM after AVR in elderly Study type: Observational cohort Size: 780	Inclusion criteria: Pts ≥70 y undergoing isolated AVR Exclusion criteria: Age <70 y, preop PPM/AICD, ascending aortic replacement	Intervention: PPM Comparator: NO PPM 1° endpoint: 3.2% needed PPM, predicted by preop LBB or RBB. PPM associated with longer hospital stay but no survival difference at 30d or 5 y.	• Small, retrospective
Baraki H, et al. 2013 (348) 23300203	Aim: Determine if AVN function recovers after PPM post AVR Study type: Observational cohort Size: 138/2,106	Inclusion criteria: PPM post AVR Exclusion criteria: Death	Intervention: PM interrogation 1° endpoint: only 10% of survivors were no longer pacemaker-dependent	• Small, retrospective

Greason KL, et al. 2017 (349) 28433222	<p><u>Aim:</u> Determine if PPM after AVR effects survival</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational cohort</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 5,482</p>	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Pts undergoing AVR	<p><u>Intervention:</u> PPM within 30 d of surgery (N=146)</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> No PPM</p> <p><u>1° endpoint:</u> PPM associated with increased mortality (HR: 1.49).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective
Berdajs D, et al. 2008 (350) 18482844	<p><u>Aim:</u> Identify cause of conduction block after MV surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational cohort, autopsy</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 391/92/55</p>	<u>Inclusion criteria:</u> 2 populations: (1) those undergoing MV operations and (2) cadaver dissection	<p><u>Intervention:</u> (1) MVR +/- PPM: (2) dissection</p> <p><u>1° endpoint:</u> (1) 23% AVB, 4% needed PPM. (2) 23% of cadavers had AV nodal artery running near MV annulus</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amiodarone, sotalol, cross-clamp time risk factors for PPM; digoxin protective • AV nodal artery injury possible mechanism for block • Small, retrospective, dissections not on surgical pts.
Goldstein D, et al. 2016 (351) 26550689	<p><u>Aim:</u> Compare outcomes between chordal-sparing mitral replacement and mitral repair</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> RCT sub-analysis</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 256</p>	N/A	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Mitral valve repair or replacement</p> <p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Readmission higher in MV repair group largely due to higher rate of PPM/AICD placement (59 vs. 38)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small • Not designed to answer question
Saint LL, et al. 2013 (352) 23998785	<p><u>Aim:</u> Identify incremental risk of adding a maze operation in MV surgery</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Observational cohort</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 213</p>	N/A	<p><u>Intervention:</u> MV surgery plus Maze</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> MV surgery w/o Maze</p> <p><u>1° endpoint:</u> No difference in mortality, no difference in PM</p> <p><u>Safety endpoint:</u> Pts not offered a Maze had more</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • PPM 11% in Maze vs. 6% w/o-study likely not powered to show difference • Pts not offered a Maze had more serious comorbidities

Gammie JS, et al. 2008 (353) 18291169	<p>Aim: Identify if AF surgery increased risk in pts undergoing mitral surgery</p> <p>Study type: STS Database sub-analysis</p> <p>Size: 12,235</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts in database</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Non-mitral surgery</p>	<p>Intervention: MV surgery + preop AF + AF correction</p> <p>Comparator: MV surgery + preop AF - AF correction</p> <p>1° endpoint: Mortality same: PPM higher in Maze group (AOR: 1.26)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-randomized, no propensity match, probable selection bias
Gillinov AM, et al. 2015 (354) 25853744	<p>Aim: Determine if the addition of AF surgery to MV surgery is effective</p> <p>Study type: RCT</p> <p>Size: 260</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pre-op AF + MV surgery</p>	<p>Intervention: MV+ AF surgery</p> <p>Comparator: MV – AF surgery</p> <p>1° endpoint: Lower rate of AF post maze (63% vs. 24%), Higher need for PPM (21 vs. 8 per 100 pt y)</p> <p>Safety endpoint: No difference in mortality</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not standardized AF surgery • No analysis of repair vs. replace • Unclear if powered for PPM endpoint
Phan K, et al. 2014 (355) 24650881	<p>Aim: Determine efficacy of AF surgery</p> <p>Study type: Meta-analysis of 16 RCT</p> <p>Size: 1,082</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: RCT</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: RCT that did not include sinus restoration or AF-free survival</p>	<p>Intervention: AF surgery</p> <p>Comparator: No AF surgery</p> <p>1° endpoint: No difference in mortality, no difference in PPM, higher prevalence of SR in Maze group</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not standardized lesion set • Subgroup analysis on cardiac surgery type not available • Follow-up ECG or 24 h Holter
Chikwe J, et al. 2015 (356) 25936265	<p>Aim: To assess long-term effect of TV repair</p> <p>Study type: Observational cohort</p> <p>Size: 645</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Mitral surgery</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: 3 V CAD, AV surgery</p>	<p>Intervention: Tricuspid repair</p> <p>Comparator: No TV repair</p> <p>1° endpoint: No difference in morbidity, mortality or PPM (2.4% vs. 1.3%)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selection Bias • 99% MV repair, likely avoided anterior leaflet • Likely not powered for difference in PPM
Scully HE, et al. 1995 (357) 7776666	<p>Aim: Describe early and late results after tricuspid valve replacement</p> <p>Study type: Observational cohort</p> <p>Size: 60</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing tricuspid valve surgery</p>	<p>Intervention: Tricuspid replacement</p> <p>Comparator:</p> <p>1° endpoint: 22% required permanent epicardial lead placement</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • Potentially outdated surgical technique

Jokinen JJ, et al. 2009 (358) 19463599	Aim: Need for PPM after TV surgery and implications on morbidity Study type: Observational cohort Size: 136	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing tricuspid valve surgery-94% repaired	Intervention: PPM placement Comparator: TV surgery w/o PPM placement 1° endpoint: 21% needed PPM, PPM pts had better 5 y survival, MORE TIA and worse CHF and QOL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • Potentially outdated surgical technique
McCarthy PM, et al. 2004 (359) 15001895	Aim: Assess durability of TV repair Study type: Observational cohort Size: 790	Inclusion criteria: Tricuspid repair	Intervention: Tricuspid repair Comparator: Use of Ring vs. No ring 1° endpoint: Freedom from TR. In pts who need PPM after repair, Incidence of TR ≥3 is 42%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small, retrospective • Potentially outdated surgical technique

Data Supplement 49. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Conduction Abnormalities After TAVR (Section 8.1.2.4)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published' PMID	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Incidence of Conduction Abnormality and PPM				
Piazza N, et al. 2008 (360) 19463319	Study type: Retrospective Size: 40	Inclusion criteria: CoreValve TAVR 11/2005 3/2008	1° endpoint: Conduction abnormalities and the need for pacing Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LBBB: 15% pre, 55% post (p<0.001) • 18% required PPM 2 pts with RBBB required PPM (100%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant increase in LBBB • Pts with RBBB may be at risk for CHB
Roten L, et al. 2010 (361) 21059439	Study type: Observational Size: 67	Inclusion criteria: MDT or Edwards TAVI Follow-up: >30 d Exclusion criteria: Pre-existing PPM	1° endpoint: AV conduction abnormality and/or need for PPM Results: PPM in 34%, 3°HB in 22% 2° HB in 6%, new LBBB in 22%, 3°HB resolved in 64%, RBBB only predictor of CHB (OR: 7.3; 2.4–22.2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAVI associated with AV conduction impairment • 3°HB resolves in over half pts • Preexisting RBBB at risk for 3°HB

van der Boon, RM, et al. 2013 (362) 23295037	Study type: Observational Size: 167 pts from 11/2005–2/2011	Inclusion criteria: 36 who received new PPM after TAVR Exclusion criteria: Existing PPM or no new PPM	1° endpoint: Number pacer dependent at median follow-up 11.5 mo (IQR 5–18) Results (number dependent): 16 of 30 (53.3%) with HDAVB Overall 20 of 36 (55.6%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement in AV conduction occurs in over half of pts
Siontis, GC, et al. 2014 (363) 25011716	Study type: Meta-analysis Size: 41 studies encompassing 11,210 pts	Inclusion criteria: Studies reporting incidence of PPM after TAVR Exclusion criteria: 194 of 235 studies	1° endpoint: PPM post TAVR Results: Increased risk of PPM Men- RR: 1.23; p<0.01 1°block- RR: 1.52; p<0.01 L ant hemiblock-RR: 2.89; p<0.01 RBBB- RR: 2.89; p<0.01 AVB- RR: 3.49; p<0.01	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Male, baseline conduction disturbance, and intraprocedural AVB are predictors of PPM
Boerlage-Van Dijk K, 2014 (364) 25040838	Study type: Observational Size: 121	Inclusion criteria: Single center TAVR 10/2007–6/2011 Follow-up 1, 3 and 12 mo Exclusion criteria: Valve in valve	1° endpoint: Conduction abnormalities and new PPM Results: 38.8% new LBBB, half of which were temporary New PPM in 23 pts (19%) Predictors of new PPM: MAC (OR: 1.3, 1.05–1.56; p=0.02), RBBB (OR: 8.8, 1.61–44.91; p=0.01) At follow-up: 52% pacer dependent, 22% not paced, 26% intermittent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAC and RBBB predictors of new PPM Prosthesis size is a predictor of LBBB
Mortality and new PPM after TAVR				
Nazif TM, et al. 2015 (365) 25616819	Study type: Registry Size: 1973	Inclusion criteria: In PARTNER Registry Exclusion criteria: Pre-procedure PPM	1° endpoint: New PPM Results: 8% new PPM Predictors: RBBB (OR: 7.03, 4.92–10.06; p<0.0001), prosthesis/outflow tract diameter ratio (OR: 1.29, 1.10–1.51; p=0.002), New PPM not associated with increased 1 y mortality. EF at 1 y same in PPM vs. no PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8.8% required new PPM New PPM associated with: longer LOS, more re-hospitalization New PPM not associated with increased mortality or decreased EF at 1 y
FRANCE 2 Mouillet G, et al. 2015 (366)	Study type: Registry Size: 833	Inclusion criteria: 29 centers in FRANCE 2 registry	1° endpoint: Incidence of new PPM or Mortality at 242±179 d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All-cause mortality same in PPM vs. no PPM at mean follow-up of 8 mo

25573445	1/2010–10/2011	Exclusion criteria: Pre-existing PPM	Results: 30% rate of new PPM Mortality PPM vs.no PPM: 16.3 vs. 16.9; p=0.83	
Impact of Mitral Annular Calcification (MAC)				
Abramowitz Y, et al. 2017 (367) 28039339	Study type: Observational Size: 761 pts: 49.3% MAC Mild MAC 30.4% Mod MAC 9.5% Severe MAC 9.5%	Inclusion criteria: 3 y series of TAVR at Cedars-Sinai Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: 30 d mortality ± MAC Results: Severe MAC is a predictor of: overall mortality HR: 1.95 (1.24–3.07; p=0.004) Cardiovascular mortality HR: 2.35 (1.99–4.66; p=0.01) New PPM HR: 2.83 (1.08–7.47; p=0.03)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Severe MAC is associated with increased all-cause and cardiac mortality and with conduction abnormalities after TAVR Mild and moderate MAC are not predictors of adverse outcomes
Predictors and impact of new LBBB after TAVR				
Franzoni I, et al. 2013 (368) 23726173	Study type: Observational Size: 238 (2007–2011) San Raffaele, Milan MCRS N=87 ESV N=151	Inclusion criteria: TAVR Exclusion criteria: Previous: PPM, RBBB, LBBB	1° endpoint: New LBBB Results: New LBBB in 26.5% (ESV 13.5%, MCVS 50%) Persistent LBBB at discharge= 17.2% New PPM=12.7% (2° CHB, bradycardia)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LBBB was NOT a predictor of: PPM, overall mort, cardiac mort, at 1 y
Urena M, et al. 2012 (369) 23040577	Study type: Observational Size: 202, median follow-up 12 mo	Inclusion criteria: TAVR Exclusion criteria: No baseline conduction disturbances	1° endpoint: New onset LBBB Results: 30.2% new LBBB LBBB resolved in 37.7% at discharge LBBB resolved in 57.3% at 6–12 mo LBBB at discharge associated with: Higher rate of syncope (16.0% vs. 0.7%; p=0.001) CHB needing PPI (20 vs. 0.7%; p<0.001) no increase in global or cardiac mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pts with new LBBB at discharge are at 20% risk of receiving a new PPM but do not have increased all-cause or cardiac mortality LBBB persistent at discharge is associated with increased syncope, CHB
Testa L, et al. 2013 (370) 23443735	Study type: Observational Size: 818 10/2007 to 4/2011	Inclusion criteria: CoreValve TAVR Exclusion criteria: Baseline PPM or LBBB Received new PPM <48 h postop	1° endpoint: New LBBB Results: 27.4% new LBBB At 30 d and 1 y, LBBB not associated with higher all-cause or cardiac mortality At 30 d LBBB had higher rate of PPI (4.9% vs. 2%; p=0.02)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> new LBBB post TAVR not associated with higher all-cause or cardiac mortality New LBBB at discharge is associated with a higher rate of PPI at 30 d
Egger F, et al. 2014 (371)	Study type: Single center prospective	Inclusion criteria: TAVR	1° endpoint: Development of high degree AVB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In pts with LBBB after TAVR, intensified

25034184	Size: 50	10 with pre-existing LBBB and 7 with new LBBB received new DDD PMI	Results: 10 of 17 with LBBB developed episode of high degree AVB. In 5/17 (29.4%) the first episode of high degree AVB occurred after discharge (mean follow-up 578 d)	monitoring may be reasonable
Schymik G, et al. 2015 (372) 25388650	Study type: Observational 10/2008–4/2012 Size: 197	Inclusion criteria: New onset LBBB after TAVR Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Mortality Results: 31% new LBBB New LBBB independent predictor of all-cause mortality at 1 y (HR: 1.84; 1.35–2.02) At 1-y survival with PPM not different than survival w/o PPM (81.2% vs. 85.0%; p=0.377)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New onset LBBB is a predictor of increased 1 y all-cause mortality, but mortality is not altered by PPI
Regueiro A, et al. 2016 (373) 27169577	Study type: Meta-analysis Size: 17 studies 4,756 pts w/new LBBB 7,032 pts w/new LBBB&PPI	Inclusion criteria: New LBBB post TAVR Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: PPI or mortality at 1 y Results: New onset LBBB associated with: PPI (RR: 2.18, 1.28–3.70) Cardiac death (RR: 1.39, 1.04–1.86) No increase in all-cause mort (RR: 1.21, 0.98–1.50) Peri-procedural PPI post TAVR -> NO protective effect on cardiac death (RR: 0.78, 0.6–1.03)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New onset LBBB post TAVR is associated with increased cardiac death and need for PPI at 1 y • Peri-procedural PPI post TAVR did not decrease the risk of cardiac death at 1 y.
RBBB as predictor of PPI post TAVR				
Mauri V, et al. 2016 (374) 27832845	Study type: Observational Size: 229 8/2013–1/2016	Inclusion criteria: TAVR with Edwards SAPIEN 3 Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: PPI Results: Among other preprocedure nonconduction factors, RBBB is an independent predictor of 30 d PPI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confusing and self-contradictory paper. • Abstract says that RBBB is a predictor of PPI • Body of the paper just says that prior conduction abnormalities are predictive.
OCEAN-TAVI Wantanabe, Y, et al. 2016 (375) 27832846	Study type: Registry Size: 749, 102 with RBBB 10/2013–8/2015	Inclusion criteria: TAVR at 8 Japanese centers Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Incidence of PPI and death with pre-existent RBBB Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New PPI higher in RBBB (17.6% vs. 2.9%; p<.01) • Early survival RBBB vs. no RBBB (96% vs. 98.6; p=0.09) • Overall survival at 24mo lower in RBBB, log rank p=0.03 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with RBBB with and w/o PPM at higher risk of cardiac death early after discharge • Pts with RBBB should be carefully monitored

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cardiac survival lower in RBBB, log rank $p < .01$ • RBBB is a predictor of cardiac death: HR: 2.59 (1.15–5.86; $p = 0.021$) 	
Nazif TM, et al. 2015 (365) 25616819	Study type: Analysis of PARTNER Trial and Registry post hoc Size: 2559	Inclusion criteria: TAVR Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Requiring ppm Results: New PPM RBBB vs. no RBBB: 47.6% vs. 12.8%; $p < 0.001$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-existing RBBB is a predictor of PPI after TAVR
Auffret V, et al 2017 (376) 28734885	Study type: Multicenter Size: 3,527 pts, 362 with preexisting RBBB	Inclusion criteria: TAVR	1° endpoint: Complications and death Results: Preexisting RBBB associated with increased all-cause mortality (HR: 1.31) and CV mortality (HR: 1.45). Baseline RBBB associated with a higher 30-d rate of PPM implant (40% vs. 13.5%; $p < 0.001$)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preexisting RBBB associated with poorer outcomes in pts undergoing TAVR
Rampat R, et al. 2017 (377) 28641846	Study type: Retrospective multicenter Size: 228 pts	Inclusion criteria: LOTUS TAVR	1° endpoint: PPM Results: PPM in 64 pts for AVB or LBBB and first degree AVB. Preprocedural conduction abnormality associated with higher likelihood for PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with preprocedural conduction disturbance and noncalcified AV more likely to require PPM after LOTUS TAVR
Predictors of readmission post TAVR				
Nombela-Franco L, et al. 2015 (378) 26476610	Study type: Observational Size: 720 consecutive pts at 2 centers, follow-up 23 mo	Inclusion criteria: TAVR Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Early readmission < 30 d Late readmission 30–365 d Results: 4.9% readmitted Average 1.6 readmits /pt Noncardiac 59% vs. cardiac 41% BBB not a predictor of readmission but AF was ($p = 0.012$)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Although rhythm disturbances cause 21.2% of readmissions, BBB is not a predictor.
Predictors of Late Death after TAVR				
Urena, M, et al. 2015 (379) 25660921	Study type: Observational Size: 3726	Inclusion criteria: TAVR at 18 centers Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: Death from HF and SCD post TAVR mean follow-up 22 mo Results:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New onset persistent LBBB is a predictor of SCD post TAVR • PPI in LBBB is not protective against SCD

			<p>4% died of HF (15% of total deaths, 46.1% cardiac deaths)</p> <p>15% died of SCD (5.6% of all deaths, 16.9% of cardiac)</p> <p>Predictors of SCD:</p> <p>new LBBB HR: 2.26 (1.23–4.14; p=0.009)</p> <p>new LBBB and QRS >160 ms HR: 4.78 (1.56–14.63; p=0.006)</p> <p>NO difference in SCD between LBBB w/o ppm (N=471) and LBBB with PPI (N=92): HR: 3.13 (0.38–25.63; p=0.287)</p>	
<p>Dizon JM, et al. 2015 (380)</p> <p>26261157</p>	<p>Study type: Series of pts in PARTNER Trial and Registry- post hoc analysis</p> <p>Size:</p> <p>Prior ppm:586</p> <p>New ppm:173</p> <p>No ppm: 1612</p> <p>LBBB& no ppm: 160</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Trial and registry, 1-y follow-up</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 1 y mortality and re-hospitalization</p> <p>Results</p> <p>Prior PPM (p=0.001), new PPM (p=0.05) and LBBB/no ppm (p=0.02) all had higher mort than no PPM</p> <p>LBBB not a predictor of mortality</p> <p>new ppm HR: 1.38(1.0–1.89; p=0.05) and prior ppm HR: 1.31 (1.08–1.6; p=0.006) predict 1 y mort</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LBBB/no ppm was not compared to LBBB/new ppm • LBBB associated with worse outcomes but not an independent predictor of mort • Any PPM: higher 1 y mortality

Data Supplement 50. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Pacing after Heart Transplant (Section 8.1.2.5.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Mallidi HR, et al. 2017 (381) 28331443	Study type: Retrospective single center study Size: 1,450 transplants	Inclusion criteria: Heart transplant at Stanford Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Pacemaker implant Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 84/1,450 pts (5.8%) had a PPM placed • Of these 55 (65%) had the PPM placed within 30 d • Early PPM implant with shorter survival compared to late PPM (6.4 y vs. 7.7 y) • Incidence of PPM was 2% for bicaval and 9.1% for biatrial transplant • More rejection episodes with PPM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased PPM need with bicaval transplant • PPM more likely with older donor grafts
Wellmann P, et al. 2017 (382) 28101990	Study type: Retrospective single center study Size: 1,179 transplants	Inclusion criteria: Transplant Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: PPM Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 135/1,179 pts (11.5%) required a PPM • PPM more likely with prolonged operation and biatrial transplant (9.4% vs. 4.4 %) • Approximately 85% with SND and 15% with AVB • No survival differences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM mainly for SND • Requirement for PPM has decreased with bicaval transplant
Lee W, et al. 2016 (383) 26847073	Study type: Retrospective single center Size: 33 (2 pacing dependent)	Inclusion criteria: Requirement for pacing after transplant Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Clinical HF or LVEF <35% Results: 2 pts were PM dependent and developed HF 31 pts with a PPM but did not pace continuously did not have HF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB with high RV pacing burden associated with HF • Numbers small
El-Assaad I, et al. 2015 (384) 25956965	Study type: Retrospective UNOS database Size: 6,156	Inclusion criteria: Transplant UNOS <18 y old Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Acute PPM placement Results: 69/6,156 pts required a PPM acutely PPM use decreased over time	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM recipients with higher risk of infection and dialysis but similar survival

			PPM more likely with a biatrial anastomosis, higher donor age PPM pts more likely to have post-transplant infection (48% vs. 26%)	
Knight CS, et al. 2010 (385) 19144548	Study type: Case series Size: 6 (2 with autopsy)	Inclusion criteria: Pts identified from a transplant database with syncope due to bradycardia Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Pathologic evaluation Results: Autopsy revealed preferential severe rejection in the cardiac conduction system	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rejection can preferentially affect the conduction system
Braith RW, et al. 2000 (386) 11144044	Study type: Prospective treadmill testing Size: 8 pts with PPM	Inclusion criteria: Transplant with PPM Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Treadmill performance Results: Chronotropic support improves treadmill times (14.6 min vs. 12.4 min) and peak VO ₂ (18.9 vs. 15.4 mL/kg/min)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate adaption helpful
Bacal F, et al. 2000 (387) 10904516	Study type: Single center retrospective Size: 114 pts	Inclusion criteria: Transplant Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Temporary or permanent pacing Results: 14/114 (12%) required temporary pacing mainly for SND (78.5%), 4 pts required PPM, 3 for SND Rejection with AF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SND main reason for PPM or temporary pacing after transplant
Nagele H, et al. 1998 (388) 9773864	Study type: Single center retrospective Size: 112 pts	Inclusion criteria: Transplant and placement of epicardial biatrial pacing Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: NYHA class, hemodynamic parameters Results: Modest improvement with biatrial pacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biatrial pacing may be beneficial
Jones DG, et al. 2011 (389) 21783383	Study type: Single center retrospective Size: 48 pts	Inclusion criteria: PPM after transplant Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Prognosis Results: 48/309 pts required PPM after transplant (12.3%) 30 with PPM during hospitalization and 18 with late PPM (3 y after transplant) SND more common early and AVB later.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Late pacing not associated with rejection

			Late pacing not associated with rejection	
Cantillon DJ, et al. 2010 (390) 20601151	Study type: UNOS Size: 35,998 pts	Inclusion criteria: Transplant and PPM Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Outcomes Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3,940/35,987 (10.9%) required PPM • PPM recipients with improved survival (8 y vs.5.2 y) • Bicaval implant with less PPM (OR: 0.33; 95% CI: 0.29–0.36) • PPM associated with increasing donor age (OR: 1.04; 95% CI: 1.00–1.09; p<0.001) and recipient age (OR: 1.09; 95% CI: 1.0–1.12; p<0.001) • Transplant CAD (OR: 2.12; 95% CI: 0.92–2.33; p=0.409), donor heart ischemic time (OR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.97–1.04; p=0.880), and graft rejection requiring treatment (OR: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.84–1.07, P.367) were not associated with PPM requirement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM less common with bicaval • PPM not associated with rejection

Data Supplement 51. Nonrandomized Studies for Alcohol Septal Ablation/Septal Myectomy (Section 8.1.2.5.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
---	----------------------------------	--------------------	---	----------------------------------

<p>Liebrechts M, et al. 2017 (391) 28595881</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate use of ASA particularly in younger pts</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective analysis of 3 registries</p> <p>Size: 1,197 pts who underwent ASA</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: International multicenter study of pts who underwent ASA (National registries of Germany, Netherlands, Denmark)</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: All-cause mortality Adverse arrhythmic event (VT, VF, appropriate ICD shocks)</p> <p>2° endpoint: Periprocedural AVB (<30 d) PPM</p> <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean follow-up 5.4 y • Complete Heart block <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <50: 119/369 (32%) ◦ 51–64: 161/423 (39%) ◦ >65: 169/405 (42%) • PPM <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <50: 29/369 (8%) ◦ 51–64: 53/423 (13%) ◦ >65: 65/405 (16%) • ICD (rate support?) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <50: 21/369 (6%) ◦ 51–64: 18/423 (4%) ◦ >65: 11/405 (3%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart block more common in older pts • Authors conclude ASA safe in younger pts • Do not discuss PPM use 30 d after the procedure • Note that outcomes are better with lower dose alcohol use
<p>Poon SS, et al. 2017 (392) 28329292</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate outcomes between ASA and myectomy</p> <p>Study type: Systematic search</p> <p>Size: 15 articles-14 observational and 1 meta-analysis</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Systematic search-keywords: Cardiomyopathy and myectomy and ablation 218 studies</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: 15 studies chosen as best nonoverlapping studies</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Multiple depending on study</p> <p>Results PPM implant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASA: 1.7–22% • Myectomy: 2.4%–12.5% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors conclude both procedures reduce LVOT gradient
<p>Axelsson A, et al. 2014 (393) 24662414</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate AV conduction over time after ASA</p> <p>Study type:</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts who underwent ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Baseline CIED</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pacing and AV conduction over time</p> <p>Results:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Late PPM in 3 pts at variable times after ASA • About 40% of pts who need PPM will have recurrent 1:1 AV

	<p>Single center retrospective study</p> <p>Size: 87 pts</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24/87 (28%) pts had PPM paced after ASA • 10 lost to follow-up • 6/14 remaining pts had recovery of AV conduction at follow-up 6.2 y (2.1–9.4 y) • Pts with persistent AVB after ASA had longer PR intervals at baseline • Permanent AV conduction abnormalities in pts with baseline 1st degree AVB and persistent CHB • 3 pts who initially did not need a PPM later had a PPM implanted (8 mo, 9 y, and 9 y after the index ASA) 	<p>conduction at extended follow-up</p>
<p>Veselka J, et al. 2014 (394) 24360153</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate outcomes in pts with PPM for AVB after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective analysis</p> <p>Size: 167 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 167 consecutive pts with HCM who underwent ASA for LVOT gradients</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: Baseline CIED</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 17 pts (10%) required PPM placed 3–15 d after ASA</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At follow-up 11/17 (65%) had recovery of AV conduction at 6 mo. • In the nonpaced group 3/150 pts (2%) had PPM placed • Similar outcomes between the paced and nonpaced groups 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recovery of AV conduction was high at 6 mo. • Pacemakers placed if conduction block >24 h • In the nonpaced group 3/150 pts (2%) had PPM placed 12–53 mo after ASA • Pacing vs. nonpacing does not change clinical outcomes
<p>El-Jack SS, et al. 2007 (395) 17300408</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate ECG changes after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 50 pts who underwent ASA</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 50 pts who underwent ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG changes</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECG changes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New RBBB (57%) • Transient CHB with recovery <24 h: 10 pts (20%) • Persistent CHB (>24 h) requiring PPM: 9 pts (18%) • PPM more likely with baseline LBBB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM placed if CHB >24 h • PPM more likely with baseline LBBB • 7/9 were still PPM dependent at 14 d • New RBBB common (57%)
<p>McCann, GP et al. 2007 (396) 17293204</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate scarring after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG changes</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECG after ASA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 with persistent RBBB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New RBBB suggestive of more extensive septal infarct • At 6-mo follow-up no new PPM

	<p>Size: 27 pts evaluated with MRI at baseline and at 1 mo. 25 pts with baseline, 1 mo, 6 mo</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30 with normal QRS • 17 with new RBBB (1/17 required PPM) • 9 normal • 1 LBBB • New RBBB associated with >CPK and larger scar by MRI • No change in status at 6 mo (1 PPM in new RBBB pt) 	
<p>Faber L, et al. 2007 (397) 17067708</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate post ASA AV conduction abnormalities</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective cohort</p> <p>Size: 155 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 155 Consecutive pts who underwent ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG changes</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient AVB: 71/155 pts (46%) • Permanent pacing in 11/155 pts (7%) • 4/11 pt who required PPM had baseline LBBB • AV conduction returned in 4/11 pts who had PPM placed • No late development of AVB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Used a point score for identifying whether to put in a PPM rather than a prescribed time. Point score used AVB recovery but also baseline ECG and LVOT characteristics • Pt assessed at 48 h for whether PPM should be implanted
<p>Talreja DR, et al. 2004 (398) 15607394</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate effect of septal reduction therapies on conduction tissue</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 58 pts who underwent ASA; 117 pts who underwent myectomy</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Myectomy or ASA for HCM</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG changes</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RBBB: 21/58 (36%) • CHB requiring PPM: 6/58 (12%); 3 of these pts with baseline LBBB • Myectomy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New LBBB: 47/117 (40%) • CHB requiring PPM: 4/117 pts (3%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can use baseline conduction abnormalities to predict whether CHB will develop. • No specific protocol listed on when PPM implanted
<p>Wang S, et al. 2013 (399) 22761504</p>	<p>Aim: Evaluate pts after myectomy</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 93 pts underwent myectomy</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Consecutive pts undergoing myectomy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG changes</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECG changes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New LBBB: 44/93 (40%) • CHB requiring PPM: 3/93 (3%) • RBBB: 2/93 pts (2%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No late PPM identified-though follow-up only to 1 y.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During follow-up (10.7 mo), no progression of AV conduction abnormalities or PPM 	
Agarwal S, et al. 2013 (400) 20170823	<p>Aim: Meta-analysis of myectomy vs. ASA</p> <p>Study type: Meta-analysis</p> <p>Size: 12 studies</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All observational studies that compared ASA with myectomy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: 288 abstracts, 177 excluded for lack of a control/comparison group, 39 excluded because case report/case series</p>	<p>1° endpoint: 30 d all-cause mortality</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant difference in mortality (long-term or short-term), postintervention functional class, postintervention ventricular arrhythmias • ASA associated with increased risk for new RBBB (OR: 56.3; 95% CI: 11.6–273.9) • ASA associated with increased risk for PPM (OR: 2.6; 95% CI: 1.7–3.9) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant difference in mortality (long-term or short-term), postintervention functional class, postintervention ventricular arrhythmias • ASA associated with increased risk for new RBBB and PPM
Schuller JL, et al. 2015 (401) 25689552	<p>Aim: Evaluate predictors of late CHB after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 145 pts followed for 3.2±2.3 y</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 145 pts who underwent ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Late CHB (First identified >48 h after ASA)</p> <p>Safety endpoint:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Late CHB in 15/168 pts (8.9%) • Late CHB more likely: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple ASA procedures (OR: 4.14; 95% CI:1.24–13.9) • High resting or provokable LVOT (OR for each 10 mm Hg: 1.14; 95% CI:1.00–1.20) • High provokable LVOT gradient after Multivariate analysis • 3 unexplained deaths: new RBBB, found dead 5 mo after 2nd ASA, new LBBB, found dead 3 d after discharge, no change in QRS, found dead after 5 mo 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Late CHB can be seen in almost 10% of pts • Authors suggest post discharge ECG surveillance

Veselka J, et al. 2013 (402) 23927866	<p>Aim: Evaluate predictors of complications after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective multicenter</p> <p>Size: 421 pts from 8 European Centers</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: 421 pts who underwent ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: If outside 2003–5 (to include only “low dose” era)</p>	<p>1° endpoint: CHB >10 s Early <24 h, late >24 h</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transient CHB in 70/421 pts (17%), <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intraprocedural: 51 (12%) • Transient: 33 (8%) • Late: 12 (3%) • Recurrent: 9 (2%) • 97% CHB up to 5th d after ASA • PPM in 35% of pts • 6 pts required resuscitation, one 10 d after ASA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors suggest close post procedural monitoring and 5 d hospitalizations after ASA
Kim LK, et al. 2016 (403) 27438114	<p>Aim: Evaluate effect of hospital volume on complications after ASA or myectomy</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective evaluation of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample from 2003 to 2011</p> <p>Size: 11,248 patients underwent septal reduction procedures</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: All pts who underwent septal reduction procedures</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Mortality, PPM, bleeding</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM: myectomy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total: 9.8% • First tertile: 10% • Second tertile: 13.8% • Third tertile: 8.9% • PPM: ASA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total: 11.9 % • First tertile: 14.2 % • Second tertile: 12.4 % • Third tertile: 11.5 % 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM common in both myectomy and ASA at discharge • No data on post discharge outcomes after myectomy and ASA.
Liebegts M, et al. 2015 (404) 26454847	<p>Aim: Evaluate ASA or myectomy</p> <p>Study type: Systematic review</p> <p>Size: 16 myectomy cohorts and 15 ASA cohorts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Studies of myectomy of ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Mortality, PPM, SCD</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPM: • ASA: 10 % • Myectomy: 4.4% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASA with similar mortality compared to septal myectomy but with higher PPM rate and higher likelihood of repeat procedures

Balt JC, et al. 2015 (405) 25073885	<p>Aim: Evaluate use of continuous ECG monitoring after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 44 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing ASA with PPM or ILR</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: VT/VF or other events recorded on the ILR</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with VT/VF often had associated CHB (during hospitalization) • No late AVB identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ILR did not identify any arrhythmias with 3 y monitoring after ASA
Qin JX, et al. 2004 (406) 14715342	<p>Aim: Evaluate conduction tissue after ASA or myectomy</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 70 pts ASA; 134 myectomy</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing ASA or myectomy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 146 pts with normal QRS preprocedure had prolongation of the QRS (72%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RBBB in 62% of pts after ASA • LBBB in 93 % of pts after myectomy • 174 pts w/o a preexisting CIED • ASA: 22% required PPM • Myectomy: 10% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In pts with preexisting BBB, PPM more likely-approximately 60% (7/12) • Although PPM in 25 pts in the entire cohort-33% PPM dependent at follow-up
Chang SM, et al. 2003 (407) 12875767	<p>Aim: Evaluate conduction tissue after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 261 pts ASA, 224 w/o a CIED</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG/PPM</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Independent predictors for CHB: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Women (OR: 4.33) • Bolus injection (OR: 51) • >1septal (OR: 4.6) • Baseline LBBB (OR: 39) • Baseline 1st degree AVB (OR: 14) • Describe 1 pt who developed AVB 5 d after DC • 31/224 (14%) required new PPM: • At 2-y follow-up 25/31 PPM dependent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar hemodynamic benefit regardless of whether a PPM required or not
Chen AA, et al. 2006 (408) 16442376	<p>Aim: Evaluate conduction tissue after ASA</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing ASA</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: N/A</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG/PPM</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acute CHB in 62% of pts; all normalized within 24 h 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors conclude temporary pacing for 48 h ASA or after resolution of CHB • Authors conclude that pts w/o acute CHB or new IVCD are at low risk for subacute CHB

	Size: 52 pts ASA, 224 w/o a CIED		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurrent CHB in 13 pts (25%), 36±22 h) 	
Lawrenz T, et al. 2007 (409) 17572252	Aim: Evaluate conduction tissue after ASA Study type: Retrospective Size: 172 pts underwent simultaneous ASA and EPS	Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing ASA Exclusion criteria: N/A	1° endpoint: ECG/PPM Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intraprocedural AVB • Delayed AVB occurred in 15 pts (8.7%) 1–6 d after ASA. All of these pts showed lack of VA conduction • No pt with intact VA conduction after ASA developed delayed CHB • Risk factors for delayed AVB were advanced age, intraprocedural CHB, and prolonged QRSD before or after ASA • PPM in 20 pts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intact VA conduction a helpful sign for determining whether a PPM will be required

Data Supplement 52. Nonrandomized Studies for ICDs for Alcohol Septal Ablation/Septal Myectomy (Section 8.1.2.5.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Wang W, et al. 2017 (410) 28314849	Aim: Evaluate NSVT as a predictor for appropriate ICD therapy Study type: Retrospective analysis Size: 160 pts who underwent ICD implant	Inclusion criteria: Single center Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: ICD treated VT/VF Results: NSVT associated with appropriate ICD therapy: No NSVT: 10.2% NSVT: 47.4%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSVT confirmed to be a risk factor in pts with ICDs
Thavilkulwat AC, et al. 2016 (411) 27138377	Aim: Evaluate appropriate ICD use in HCM Study type: Retrospective Size: 135 pts with ICD	Inclusion criteria: Single center review of pts with HCM receiving an ICD Exclusion criteria: NR	1° endpoint: ICD treated VT/VF Results: Appropriate ICD therapy in 25 pts Primary prevention: 2.6%/y Secondary prevention: 9.8%/y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate ICD therapy lower than previously reported

Maron BJ, et al. 2007 (412) 17652294	Aim: Evaluate appropriate ICD use in HCM Study type: Retrospective Size: 506 pts with ICD	Inclusion criteria: Multicenter Registry of pts with HCM receiving an ICD Exclusion criteria: NR	1° endpoint: ICD treated VT/VF Results: Appropriate ICD therapy: Primary prevention: 3.6%/y Secondary prevention: 10.6%/y. Similar event rates for 1,2, or 3 risk factors for SCD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of any risk factor sufficient to confer risk
---	--	--	---	---

Data Supplement 53. Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Adult Congenital Heart Disease (ACHD) (Section 8.2)

Study Acronym; Author; Year	Aim of Study Study Type Study Size (N) Patient population	Primary endpoint results (p values OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Relevant 2° Endpoint (if any) Study limitations Adverse events	Outcomes
Gelatt M, et al. 1997 (413) 8996314	Aim: Examination of mortality after Mustard Study type: Retrospective observational; 534 pts – single center	Pacemaker implantation is required in 11% of these pts over 35-y follow-up.	No PM implant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of SR is associated with higher mortality • Late SND and PM implantation is common
Helbing WA, et al. 1994 (414) 8041184	Aim: Assess long-term results of atrial switch Study type: Retrospective observational; 122 atrial switch pts followed for a median duration of 16 y	Loss of SR occurred in 50–80% of pts depending on type of surgery	Sinus rhythm maintained	Loss of sinus node function is especially common in this group
Anand N, et al. 2006 (415) 16762984	Aim: Evaluate the association of bradycardia with atrial flutter Study type: Retrospective case-control; 84 pts; CHD and with or w/o atrial arrhythmias	Development of atrial arrhythmias	Pacemaker implant	Late postop atrial flutter is associated with chronotropic incompetence in CHD pts.
Diller G, et al. 2006 (416) 16979014	Aim: Assess the long-term outcomes in atrial switch pts	Heart rate reserve predicted mortality independently of	Pts who did not develop CHB	Blunted heart rate with exercise predicts an enhanced mortality risk

	Study type: Retrospective observational; 727 consecutive pts with CHD; longitudinal follow-up	antiarrhythmic therapy, functional class, and peak VO ₂		independently of antiarrhythmic medication
Janousek J, et al. 1994 (417) 7846933	Aim: Determine the natural history for pts with an atrial switch Study type: Retrospective observational; 359 pts with transposition and atrial switch; longitudinal follow-up	SND	N/A	SND occurred in 51% of pts
Fishberger S, et al. 1997 (418) 9011705	Aim: Identify factors that influence the development of atrial flutter after Fontan operation Study type: Retrospective observational; 334 pts with prior Fontan surgery; longitudinal follow-up	Development of atrial arrhythmias	N/A	The presence of SND was associated with a higher incidence of atrial flutter (p<0.001).
Michaëlsson M, et al. 1995 (216) 7634461	Aim: Longitudinal study of isolated congenital complete AVB in adult life Study type: Prospective follow-up 102 pts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean age at death: 38 y • SA attacks in 27 pts, (8 fatal) • 6 was first event • PM reduced the risk of death 	N/A	High incidence of unpredictable SA attacks
Dewey RC, et al. 1987 (419) 3821827	Aim: Define long-term natural Hx of congenital CHB Study type: 27 pts prospectively followed with frequent Holters for a mean of 8 y; longitudinal follow-up	No pts with a mean daytime heart rate of 50 bpm or more had an adverse clinical outcome	N/A	Mean daytime junctional rate below 50 bpm may represent a manifestation of junctional instability and should be viewed as a risk factor for sudden death or eventual need for a PPM
Lundtsrom U, et al. 1990 (420) 2337032	Aim: Natural Hx of ccTGA Study type: 111 pts with ccTGA 20-y follow-up	Major risk factor for early death: heart block	N/A	N/A
Connelly MS, et al. 1996 (283) 8609349	Aim: Clinical outcome of ccTGA Study type: Retrospective observational; 52 pts	40% required PPM	N/A	High rate of AVB

Graham TP, et al. 2000 (421) 10898443	Aim: Long-term outcome in ccTGA Study type: Multicenter retrospective 182 pts from 19 institutions	41% required PPM	N/A	PM implantation common. Also associated with systemic ventricular dysfunction
Khairy P, et al. 2006 (422) 16702467	Aim: Assess risk of thromboemboli in pts with transvenous pacing leads and intracardiac shunts Study type: Multicenter, retrospective cohort study of 202 pts with intracardiac shunts	Transvenous leads an independent predictor of systemic thromboemboli (HR: 2.6; p=0.0265)	N/A	Transvenous leads incur a >2-fold increased risk of systemic thromboemboli in pts with intracardiac shunts
DeSimone CV, et al. 2013 (423) 23946264	Aim: Stroke or TIA in pts with endocardial leads and a PFO Study type: Retrospective observational; 6,075 pts (364 with PFO)	1^o endpoint: Stroke/TIA: 30/364 (8.2%) PFO vs 117/5711 (2.0%) non-PFO (HR: 3.49; 95% CI: 2.33–5.25; p<0.0001)	N/A	Presence of a PFO is associated with a substantially increased risk of embolic stroke/TIA
Kim MH, et al. 2001 (424) 11230857	Aim: Assess prevalence and natural history of complete AVB after valvular heart surgery. Assess the optimal timing of PM implantation Study type: Retrospective observational; 155 pts with valvular surgery; 17 (11%) pts had complete AVB in the postop period	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At 1-y follow-up: • 5 of 9 pts (56%) remained in complete AVB • 2 of 9 pts (22%) had resolution of AVB • 2 of 9 (22%) lost to follow-up 	N/A	If complete AVB is present after aortic and mitral valve surgery within the first 24 h postop and persists for >48 h, it is unlikely to resolve within the next 1–2 wk
Glikson M, et al. 1997 (425) 9388104	Aim: Define long-term dependency in permanent pacing after cardiac surgery Study type: Retrospective observational; 120 adults post-cardiac surgery who received PPM	Postop complete AVB is the most important predictor of PM dependency	N/A	In pts with complete AVB, an early decision to implant a permanent PM is probably justified
Edwards W, et al. 1978 (426) 625125	Aim: Examination of postmortem findings of the sinus nodal tissue in pts with an atrial switch procedure	Sinus nodal artery damage	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sinus node showed acute necrosis or compression in 77% of cases

	Study type: 32 pts; atrial switch pts; postmortem pathological analyses			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Para-nodal areas were damaged in 100% of pts
Sanders P, et al. 2004 (427) 15007004	Aim: Atrial mapping in pts with SND Study type: 32 pts, 16 pts with SND, 16 controls; case control comparative analysis	SND	Normal hearts with no evidence of SND	SND is associated with diffuse atrial remodeling characterized by structural change, conduction abnormalities, and increased right atrial refractoriness. Also associated with caudal shift of PM
Bolens M and Friedli B 1984 (428) 6720586	Aim: EP mapping of sinus and AV nodal function in pts with secundum ASD Study type: Case control comparative analysis; 18 pts studied before and after surgical closure	Prior to surgery	Following ASD repair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinus nodal, atrial conduction, atrial refractory and AV nodal refractory times improved following surgery • Ectopic atrial rhythms developed postop in a third of pts
Gillette PC, et al. 1974 (429) 4818151	Aim: Electrophysiological examination of atrial, sinus and AV nodal function Study type: Prospective observational 16 pts studied following atrial switch surgery (Mustard)		N/A	SND was the primary abnormality detected
Garson A, et al. 1985 (430) 4031302	Aim: Identify predictors of death in younger pts (predominantly CHD) and atrial flutter Study type: Longitudinal retrospective observational; 380 pts followed long-term for morbidity and mortality		N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective control of atrial flutter was associated with improved outcomes • Surgical repair in CHD pts with atrial flutter results in a marked improvement in outcomes
Albin G, et al. 1985 (431) 4033231	Aim: SND in young adult pts: treatment by implantation of a PPM Study type: Retrospective observational; 39 pts, mean age 23 y; most commonly TGA; mean follow-up of 50.5 mo	No PM-related deaths	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanent pacing is an effective therapeutic modality • Prognosis seems to be excellent • Mortality unrelated to pacing

McLeod CJ, et al. 2010 (432) 20563634	<p>Aim: Epicardial versus endocardial permanent pacing in adults with congenital heart disease</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective observational; 106 pts and 259 PM procedures: SND in 20%, heart block (25%); followed for 11.6±14 y</p>	Re-intervention was driven primarily by lead failure (49%)	N/A	Epicardial systems were most likely to develop lead failure, predominantly in the ventricular lead
Walker F, et al. 2004 (433) 15145118	<p>Aim: Long-term outcomes of cardiac pacing in adults with congenital heart disease</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective observational; 168 adults with CHD, and with PMs; mean age at implant was 28 y; mean pacing duration 11 y at follow-up</p>		N/A	Lead complications were not significantly different for epicardial vs. endocardial improved lead survival in pts with endocardial leads
Bink-Boelkens M, et al. 1983 (434) 6869177	<p>Aim: Identification of surgical factors which affect the development of bradycardia and arrhythmias</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective observational; 204 pts with secundum ASD repair, 50 pts with atrial switch (Mustard)</p>		N/A	Postop atrial flutter is common occurring in 20–40% of the group Damage to the sinus node at surgery was considered a major predictor of SND
Stephenson E, et al. 2003 (435) 14516898	<p>Aim: Efficacy of atrial ATP in treating atrial flutter in ACHD pts</p> <p>Study type: 5 pts with atrial arrhythmias</p>	AT was appropriately detected and ATP was enabled for 167 treatable episodes, successfully converting 90 (54%)	N/A	Atrial tachycardias in congenital heart disease are amenable to ATP algorithms
Rhodes LA, et al. 1995 (436) 7659551	<p>Aim: Atrial ATP in ACHD after repair of congenital heart disease</p> <p>Study type: Prospective cohort 18 pts (2–32 y with a variety of antitachycardia congenital heart lesions underwent atrial PM</p>	Over 4–30 mo, 6 pts had 189 episodes of tachycardia successfully converted with atrial ATP	N/A	In selected cases, atrial ATP is a useful tool in the management of pts with congenital heart disease and atrial arrhythmias

	placement for recurrent atrial tachycardia			
Weindling SN, et al. 1998 (284) 9723647	Study type: Out of 2,698 cardiac surgeries 54 (2%) were complicated by CHB	Recovery of AV conduction occurred by postoperative d 9 in 97% of pts with transient heart block	The greatest risk for CHB occurred in surgery for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LVOT • ccTGA • VSD • Tetralogy of Fallot Majority were children	Heart block following surgery for congenital heart disease resolves in 2/3 of pts, usually by the 9th postop day
Ayyildiz P, et al. 2015 (437) 26517970	Aim: Evaluation for AVB following pediatric cardiac surgery for CHD Study type: Retrospective observational; 1,550 pts with CHD surgery between 2010–2015; median age 0.5–1 y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete AVB occurred (6.2%) in the early postop period • 97% of transient AVB recovered by d 10; 84% at 1 wk 	Tetralogy of Fallot and complete AV septal defect are at highest risk	Transient AVB occurred in 12%, and complete AVB in 6% Transient AVB recovered almost entirely by 10 d
Aziz PF, et al. 2013 (438) 23179430	Aim: Evaluation for AVB following pediatric cardiac surgery for CHD Study type: Retrospective, observational, single center, cohort; pediatric not adult group; 44 pts in this study who experienced TCHB - 37 recovered completely	All 37 subjects with transient heart block recovered AV conduction within 12 d	N/A	Delayed recovery of conduction after transient AVB (≥ 7 d) is a predictor of late block
Lin A, et al. 2010 (439) 20381087	Aim: Evaluation for AVB following pediatric cardiac surgery for CHD Study type: Retrospective, observational, single center; 922 pts, median age 6 mo	Postop AVB developed in 2.3% transient, with recovery at mean of 3 d in 1.4% permanent, with PPM implanted at mean 10 d 0.9%	N/A	By 10 d minimal recovery of transient AVB is present

Data Supplement 54. RCTs, Nonrandomized Trials, Observational Studies, and/or Registries of Bradycardia and Pacemaker Implantation in Patients with an Acute MI (Section 8.3)

Study Acronym; Author; Year	Aim of Study; Study Type	Patient Population	Study Intervention / Study Comparator	Primary endpoint results (p values OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comments
Domenghetti G, et al. 1980 (440) 7363920	Aim: Examine impact of acute intraventricular conduction abnormalities on survival following acute MI Study type: Retrospective observational	59 pts admitted to CCU – single center	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IV conduction disturbances • Mortality 13% if AVB present 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher mortality in the context of intraventricular conduction abnormalities following an MI. • This was evident short term and long term. • Mortality rate twice the comparator group
Col JJ and Weinberg SL 1972 (441) 5060806	Aim: Assess incidence and mortality of conduction defects following AMI Study type: Retrospective observational	212 consecutive pts admitted to CCU with MI	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IV conduction disturbances 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most common defect was LAH • Mortality rate among those with IV conduction abnormal – 47% vs. 21%
Ritter WS, et al. 1976 (442) 952264	Aim: Evaluate prognosis following permanent pacing in pts with trifascicular block following AMI Study type: Retrospective observational	18 pts with RBBB, LAH and transient CHB during AMI	Pts who received a PPM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5/6 pts w/o PPM died within 2.4 mo of discharge • 6/12 pts with a PPM survived (mean survival 18 mo) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prophylactic permanent pacing significantly improves the prognosis after MI in this select subgroup
Lamas GA, et al. 1986 (443) 3717016	Aim: Development of a method to predict CHB following AMI Study type: Retrospective observational	698 pts with AMI.	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts who developed CHB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHB risk score can predict risk of CHB development based on ECG findings.
Shaw, DB et al. 1980 (444) 7357290	Aim: Determine the natural Hx for pts with sick-sinus syndrome Study type: Prospective survey	381 pts with sinoatrial disease	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Longitudinal study of pts with sinus node disease 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sinoatrial dysfunction has a benign prognosis, and PPM implantation did not affect mortality – yet did improve symptoms • Acute MI during this follow-up did not affect

					mortality in a significant manner.
Hindman MC, et al. 1978 (445, 446) 688580 688579	Aim: To identify determinants of SCD or recurrent high degree AVB in pts following MI with BBB Study type: Retrospective observational	432 pts with AMI and BBB.	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mortality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with progression to 2nd or 3rd degree AV have increased mortality • Pts with transient high degree AVB during MI had a 28% incidence of sudden death or recurrent high degree block during the first year of follow-up • At highest risk were those pts with RBBB and left fascicular block
Ginks WR, et al. 1977 (233) 836733	Aim: Assess long-term prognosis of AMI with AVB Study type: Retrospective observational	52 pts with CHB and AMI	21 hospital survivors w/o PPM followed for 49 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 /14 survived w/o PPM • PPM failed to prevent sudden death in 2/4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation: PPM implant is not justified in pts with partial bilateral bundle-branch block following AMI
The Birmingham Trial Watson RD, et al. 1984 (231) 6475712	Aim: To determine whether permanent pacing reduces mortality in pts with fascicular block ≥14 d post-MI, and whether measurement of intracardiac conduction times predicts later death. Study type: RCT Size: 50 pts	Inclusion criteria: Survived at least 14 d after AMI; RBBB alone or in combination with left anterior or left posterior hemiblock or left posterior hemiblock alone Exclusion criteria: Age ≥70 y; previous ECG evidence of conduction disorder, LBBB	Intervention: Permanent pacing Comparator: No permanent pacing Resting intracardiac conduction times were measured in both groups prior to pacing	1° endpoint: No difference in mortality Safety endpoint: N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progression of conduction disease was not observed • Measurement of infranodal conduction time (HV interval) did not predict outcome • Ventricular arrhythmia was an important cause of death
Meine TJ, et al. 2005 (447)	Aim: Incidence, predictors, and outcomes of high-	70,742 pts with STEMI compared	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of AVB was 6.9% 	In the thrombolytic era:

15990751	degree AVB AMI treated with thrombolytics Study type: Meta-analysis from 4 studies	with 5,251 pts with STEMI and AVB		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB and inferior MI, mortality OR: 2.2 (95% CI: 1.7–2.7) • AVB and anterior MI, mortality OR: 3.0 (95% CI: 2.2–4.1) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB in the setting of STEMI is common • It is associated with higher mortality
Gang UJ, et al. 2012 (448) 22645234	Aim: High-grade AVB in STEMI pts treated with PCI Study type: Retrospective observational	2073 STEMI pts with primary PCI from Danish National Registry	All-cause mortality was the primary endpoint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High-grade AVB: 3.2% • Early mortality higher • Yet equal mortality at 30 d compared with pts w/o AVB 	In primary PCI era: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • incidence of AVB in STEMI pts treated with PCI has been reduced • If pts survive to 30 d: mortality is equal to non-AVB pts
Auffret V, et al. 2016 (449) 26660871	Aim: High-grade AVB complicating STEMI (2006–2013) Study type: Large prospective registry	6,662 pts with STEMI	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB in 3.5% • AVB at admission or in first 24 h had higher mortality rates (18.1% and 28.6%) 	Combine thrombolytic and primary PCI: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HAVB was not independently associated with in-hospital mortality
Kim HL, et al. 2014 (450) 25304975	Aim: High-grade AVB on 30-d outcome following AMI in the drug-eluting stent era Study type: Retrospective observational	13,862 pts with AMI, registered in the nation-wide AMI database from 2005–2013	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart block occurred in 2.7% • Pts with heart block showed worse clinical parameters at admission, • presence of AVB associated with 30 d MACE in univariate but not multivariate after adjustment 	STEMI treated with DES: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heart block was not an independent risk factor for 30-d MACE in adjusted analyses • LAD culprit was an independent risk factor for 30-d MACE among pts with heart block
Singh SM, et al. 2015 (234) 25205530	Aim: High-grade AVB in acute coronary syndromes Study type: GRACE registry	59,229 pts with ACS between 1999 and 2007	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.9% of pts had HAVB • High in-hospital death (23%) • Pts with AVB surviving to discharge had similar adjusted survival at 6 mo compared with those w/o AVB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AVB continues to decrease • Mortality dictated by type of MI and time to reperfusion
Ranganathan N, et al. 1972 (451) 5009474	Aim: Determine the validity of His bundle recordings in managing BBB	20 pts with BBB and 13 pts w/o BBB	EPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abnormal His-Purkinje conduction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BBB may be associated with infra-nodal conduction abnormalities as evidenced by an abnormal His recording

	Study type: Prospective observational				
Scheinman MM, et al. 1975 (82) 1157275	Aim: Use of atropine in pts with acute MI and sinus bradycardia Study type: Retrospective observational	56pts with AMI and sinus brady	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atropine improved AV conduction in 11 of 13 pts (85%) acute inferior MIs (with 2nd or 3rd degree AVB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atropine recommended as drug of choice for sinus brady and AMI 7 pts developed 10 side effects: VT/VF, ventricular ectopy
Swart G, et al. 1999 (80) 10597081	Aim: Use of atropine in acute MI in prehospital setting Study type: Retrospective Observational	131 pts with acute MI and associated bradycardia	Atropine	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No difference in response to atropine between AMI vs. non-AMI pts MI pts are more likely to recover conduction in hospital
Feigl D, et al. 1984 (160) 6736451	Aim: Early and late AVB in acute inferior MI Study type: Single center retrospective cohort	34 pts with 2nd or 3rd degree AVB developing in course of AMI who survived >72 h	Atropine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Of 15 pts with early AVB (<6 h). Atropine normalized conduction in 20%, increased V-rate in others. 5 had normalization with isoproterenol. 14 pts had late AVB – less response to atropine (mean 16 bpm). 50% required TPM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No adverse events to drug therapy reported
Bertolet BD, et al. 1995 (163) 7661495	Aim: Theophylline for the treatment of AVB after MI Study type: Single center retrospective cohort	8 pts with significant AVB developing within 4 h of admission for acute inferior MI, resistant to atropine, given IV theophylline up to 250 mg	Aminophylline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All 8 pts had restoration of 1–1 AV conduction within 3 min lasting at least 24 h 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potentially safe Efficacy in very small study
Altun A, et al. 1998 (164) 9789698	Aim: Effect of aminophylline in pts with atropine-resistant later advanced AVB during acute inferior MI	8 pts with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB after IMI for at least 1 h, resistant to atropine.	Given 2 doses of aminophylline 240 mg 1 h apart	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aminophylline restored 1-1 AV conduction in 7 pts and Mobitz I AVB in 1. No adverse effects. AVB relapsed in 1 pt only 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very small, single-center experience

	Study type: Retrospective observational				
Hatle L, et al. 1971 (167) 5557475	Aim: Conservative treatment of AVB in AMI Study type: Results in 105 consecutive pts	Pts with acute MI treated with 2 nd or 3 rd degree AVB	Treated with isoproterenol, generally 1–3 mcg/min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In hospital mortality 48%. 60 pts received isoproterenol: 38 (63%) had increase in heart rate and BP; 12 (20%) had increased in heart rate but minimal change in BP; 8 (13%) had minimal change; 2 (3%) isoproterenol terminated due to ventricular ectopy. 3 pts had ventricular fibrillation on isoproterenol, 1 of which died. 14 pts treated with TVP, 3 of whom died from ventricular fibrillation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extremely high mortality In this group, isoproterenol appeared safe compared with TVP
Hynes JK, et al. 1983 (115) 6823157	Aim: 5-y experience with TPM therapy in the coronary care unit. Study type: Retrospective, observational, single-center Size: N = 1,022	1,022 pts in the coronary care unit with TTVP.	Temporary transvenous pacing	1° endpoint: Clinical outcomes Results: Access was antecubital in 59%, subclavian in 17%, right internal jugular in 11%, and femoral in 5%. Complications occurred in 13.7% with no deaths. The right internal jugular approach was associated with a decreased risk of complications.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TTVP was associated with an overall risk of complications in approximately 14% of pts.
Jowett NJ, et al. 1989 (120) 2594596	Aim: Temporary transvenous cardiac pacing: 6 y experience in 1 coronary care unit Study type: Retrospective, observational, single-center	162 pts admitted to coronary care unit who underwent TTVP.	Temporary transvenous pacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The majority of TTVP was for CHB and MI (84.6%). 15.4% of TTVPs were placed for symptomatic bradycardia, including SND. Complications occurred in 19.8%, including arrhythmias during insertion, dislodgement, pneumothorax, and perforation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TTVP was associated with a 19.8% complication rate. Some TTVP was prophylactic and may not have been indicated. A minority of TTVP was performed for SND (15%)
Rotman M, et al. 1972 (452) 4551931	Aim: Bradyarrhythmias in AMI	539 pts with acute MI (prethrombolytic and pre-PCI)	Short- and long-term outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incidence of sinus bradycardia 26% 3-fold more frequent in the setting of inferior infarction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sinus brady is common Sinus bradycardia not associated with worse outcomes

	Study type: Retrospective, observational, single-center			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall mortality of 539 pts was 20%. • In those pts with sinus bradycardia the mortality was 10%. 	
--	--	--	--	---	--

Data Supplement 55. Nonrandomized Data for Predicting Bradycardia Associated with Seizures (Section 8.4.1)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
Bestawros M, et al. 2015 (453) 25391254	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of ictal asystole</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective evaluation of an epilepsy database</p> <p>Size: 10 ictal asystole events from 5,312 video-EEG/ECG studies</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Database searched for pts with ictal asystole defined as RR interval >3s and >2-fold lengthening over the prior RR interval</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Ictal asystole</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 pts with 76 seizures with 26 ictal asystole events, 15 of which had associated syncope • Seizure with asystole duration >6 s associated with syncope • All with temporal lobe seizure • 8 pts received a PPM with resolution of syncope 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanent pacing can be considered if seizure poorly controlled by drug or surgery
Lanz M, et al. 2011 (454) 21183363	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of ictal asystole</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 2,003 pts undergoing video EEG/ECG studies</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: >3 s</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: >3 s pause</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7/2,003 pts with bradycardia • 1 pt with insular seizure prolonged, the rest were self-limited though durations of 5, 6, 25, 29, 34, 35, and 77 s and from the temporal lobe • Sinus arrest in 3. CHB in 4 • Pacemakers in 6 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No cases of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy with a mean follow-up of 5.6 y
Schuele SU, et al. 2007 (455) 17664402	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of ictal asystole</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 6,825 pts undergoing video EEG/ECG studies</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: >3 s and >2-fold lengthening over the prior RR interval</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: >3 s pause</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 pts with ictal asystole • 8 temporal • Pacemakers in 6 of 8 pts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific data on response to pacing

Tenyi D, et al. 2017 (456) 27988965	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of ictal asystole using a systematic review</p> <p>Study type: Systematic review</p> <p>Size: 157 cases of ictal asystole pts undergoing video EEG/ECG studies</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: >3 s and >2-fold lengthening over the prior RR interval</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: >3 s pause</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Localization: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Temporal: 80–82% ○ Frontal: 6–10% ○ Insular: 3–5% ○ Other 3–11% • Duration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <30 s: 90% ○ >30 s: 10% • Rx: • Pacemaker: 35/68 • Adjusted AED: 25/33 pts who did not receive a PPM • Surgery: 8/33 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Rx response: ○ Pacemaker: no asystole falls, 14/33 with recurrent seizures, 19/33 w/o recurrent seizures ○ Adjusted AED: 5/23 with recurring asystolic falls, 6/23 with recurrent seizures (w/o asystole), 12/23 w/o recurrent seizures ○ Surgery: ○ No asystolic falls, 2/8 with recurring nonasystolic seizures, 6/8 w/o recurrent seizures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific data on response to pacing
---	--	--	---	--

Data Supplement 56. Nonrandomized Data for Device Type (Section 9)

Study Acronym; Author; Year Published	Study Type/Design; Study Size	Patient Population	Primary Endpoint and Results (P values; OR or RR; & 95% CI)	Summary/Conclusion Comment(s)
---	----------------------------------	--------------------	---	----------------------------------

Ogunbayo GO, et al. 2017 (457) 28735733	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of pneumothorax associated with CIED implant</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective evaluation of National Inpatient Sample</p> <p>Size: 3.7 million people underwent CIED implant</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Database searched for pts with primary implantation of a CIED with at least 1 vascular access</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Pneumothorax</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pneumothorax occurred in 1.6% of cases • Pneumothorax associated with increased length of stay and increased mortality (1.2% vs. 0.7%) • Pneumothorax associated with older age, female sex, chronic obstructive lung disease, DC device 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pneumothorax remains an important complication
Sochala M, et al. 2017 (458) 28598855	<p>Aim: Evaluate risk of complication after CIED implant for myotonic dystrophy</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 914 pts with myotonic dystrophy Type 1–23 with an ICD and 46 with a PPM</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Myotonic dystrophy type 1 and CIED placement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • After 6-y follow-up device related complications in 9 ICD pts (Inappropriate shocks 5, lead dysfunction 5, infection 2) and 3 PPM pts (lead dysfunction) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased risk of complications with ICD though small numbers
Bai Y, et al. 2017 (459) 28587353	<p>Aim: Evaluate incidence of hematoma after CIED</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 339 pts from a single center undergoing CIED placement</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pts undergoing CIED placement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Hematoma</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History of allergy associated with hematoma • Hematoma more common with larger devices (ICD and CRT): 30% vs. 8%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger devices type though do not address vascular access • Only 27 pts with larger device
Hosseini SM, et al. 2017 (460) 28329322	<p>Aim: Evaluate complications associated with CRT</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 439,010 pts from National Inpatient Sample undergoing CRT</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: CRT device</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: In-hospital complications</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6.1% of pts with at least one complication • Complications more likely in older pts, women, elective admission, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased complication rate over time • No difference between CRT-P and CRT-D

			and increased comorbidities (Charlson)	
Gupta N, et al. 2016 (461) 26961369	Aim: Evaluate complications associated different CIED Study type: Retrospective-Kaiser database Size: 11,924 ICDs, 33,519 PPMs, 4,472 CRT	Inclusion criteria: CIED implant Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: In-hospital complications, 30 d failure rates, outcomes Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CIED failure<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ PM: 0.85%○ ICD: 2.17%○ CRT: 4.93%	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increased complication rate over time• No difference between CRT-P and CRT-D
Friedman DJ, et al. 2015 (462) 26670062	Aim: Evaluate complications associated CRT in pts with moderate renal dysfunction Study type: Retrospective-NCDR and matched to Medicare Size: 9,525 CRT-D vs. propensity matched ICD only (1,421)	Inclusion criteria: CRT eligible pts with stage 3–5 CKD Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Mortality, HFH Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• HFH or death: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78–0.91• Death: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.77–0.93	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• CRT associated with improved outcomes
Witt CT, et al. 2016 (463) 26378089	Aim: Evaluate complications associated ICD Rx with CRT Study type: Retrospective-single center Size: 917 HF pts-427 with NICM and 490 with ICM	Inclusion criteria: CRT and HF Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Mortality Results: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Median follow-up 4 y• Mortality:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ NICM: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.60–1.51; p=0.85○ ICM: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.56–0.97; p=0.03	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ICD associated with better outcomes in ICM but not NICM
Gadler F, et al. 2015 (464) 25336667	Aim: Evaluate complications associated with CIED implant Study type: Retrospective-multicenter registry (Sweden) Size: 6,617 PPM. 1,298 ICD	Inclusion criteria: First CIED implant Exclusion criteria: None	1° endpoint: Complications Results: Complications (1 y) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PM: 5.3%• ICD: 10.1%	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ICD associated with higher complication rate

Essebag V, et al. 2015 (465) 25417892	<p>Aim: Evaluate complications associated with CRT upgrade vs. de novo implant</p> <p>Study type: Subgroup analysis of the Canadian cohort</p> <p>Size: Pts with CRT: 644 de Novo and 80 upgrade</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Randomized to CRT-D</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications</p> <p>Results: Success rate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • de Novo: 95% • Upgrade: 96% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar results for CRT-D whether de Novo or as an upgrade
Chung MK, et al. 2014 (466) 25221331	<p>Aim: Evaluate mortality associated with different cardiac procedures</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective subanalysis</p> <p>Size: 1,744 pts with CIED replacement</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: CIED replacement</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications/mortality</p> <p>Results: Mortality due to comorbidities and not device type</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complications, mortality due to comorbidities
Adelstein E, et al. 2014 (467) 24657426	<p>Aim: Evaluate risks and benefits with device upgrades</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective subanalysis</p> <p>Size: 157 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Pacemaker dependent with CRT upgrade</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications/mortality</p> <p>Results: Pts w/o CAD had fewer comorbidities, longer survival, and low risk of appropriate shocks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better outcomes in the absence of CAD
Kirkfeldt RE, et al. 2014 (468) 24347317	<p>Aim: Evaluate risks and benefits with different device types</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective subanalysis</p> <p>Size: 5,918 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: CIED implant in Denmark</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Complications/mortality</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall complication rate was 9.5% • More complex procedures with worse outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Dual chamber: 2.0; 95% CI: 1.4–2.7 ◦ CRT-D: 2.6; 95% CI: 1.9–3.4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complications are relatively common, particularly with complex devices
Acosta J, et al. 2017 (469) 28780194	<p>Aim: Evaluate use of defibrillator capabilities in pts who were eligible for CRT</p> <p>Study type: Prospective, nonrandomized</p> <p>Size: 217 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Class I indication for CRT and cardiac MRI</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Appropriate ICD therapy or SCD</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1° endpoint in 11.5% of cases • No 1° endpoint in pts w/o myocardial scar 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MRI may be helpful for identifying those pts at risk for sustained ventricular arrhythmias

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scar mass, “channel mass,” were predictors of 1° endpoint 	
Martens P, et al. 2017 (470) 28716973	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate use of defibrillator capabilities in pts who were eligible for CRT</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 687 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> CRT implant</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Mortality</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All-cause mortality was higher in pts with CRT-P vs. CRT-D (21% vs. 12%; p=0.003), even after adjusting for baseline characteristics (HR: 2.5; 95% CI: 1.36–4.60; p=0.003). • Multivariate analysis revealed that age >80 y, New York Heart Association class IV, intolerance to BBs and underlying nonischemic CMP were independently associated with little incremental value of a primary prevention ICD on top of CRT. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weighing the risk of arrhythmia and nonarrhythmia risk helpful
Yokoshiki H, et al. 2017 (471) 28626201	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate use of defibrillator capabilities in pts who were eligible for CRT</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 717 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> CRT implant</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Mortality</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combined events for all-cause death or HFH (whichever came first) diverged between the CRT-D (N=620) and CRT-P(N=97) groups with a rate of 22% vs. 42%, respectively, at 24 mo (p=0.0011). • Did not remain statistically significant after controlling for baseline variables 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weighing the risk of arrhythmia and nonarrhythmia risk helpful

Ip JE, et al. 2017 (472) 28185354	<p>Aim: Evaluate ECG suitability of a subcutaneous ICD in pts with PMs</p> <p>Study type: Prospective</p> <p>Size: 100 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Transvenous CIED</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: ECG analysis</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 58% of pts would still be possible candidates for subcutaneous ICD based on ECG morphology. • RV septal pacing or CRT more likely to qualify vs. RV apical pacing (67% and 80% vs. 37%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transvenous pacing and subcutaneous ICD may be compatible
Maisel WH, et al. 2006 (473) 16639048	<p>Aim: Evaluate ICD and PPM malfunction from annual manufacturer FDA reports</p> <p>Study type: Retrospective</p> <p>Size: 2.25 million PM implants and 416,000 ICDs from 1990–2002; 17,323 explanted due to malfunction</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: Manufacturer report for explant</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Device failures, deaths</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Battery/capacitor abnormalities (4,085 malfunctions [23.6%]) and electrical issues (4,708 malfunctions [27.1%]) accounted for half of the total device failures. • Overall, the annual ICD malfunction replacement rate was significantly higher than the PM malfunction replacement rate (mean [SD]: 20.7 [11.6] vs. 4.6 [2.2] replacements per 1,000 implants; $p<0.001$; rate ratio, 5.9; 95% CI: 2.7–9.1). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Device malfunction has affected pt healthcare outcomes
Maisel WH, 2006. (474) 16639052	<p>Aim: Evaluate ICD and PPM malfunction from published meta-analyses</p> <p>Study type: Meta-analyses</p> <p>Size: 100 pts</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria: ICD or PPM implant</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: None</p>	<p>1° endpoint: Device failure</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There were 2,981 PM and 384 ICD generator malfunctions. • Morphology Overall, the mean annual ICD malfunction rate was about 20-fold higher than the PM malfunction rate (26.5 [3.8] vs 1.3 [0.1] malfunctions per 1000 person-y; $p<0.001$). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CD failures more common than PM failures

<p>Maron BJ, et al. 2007 (412)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17652294 	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate appropriate ICD use in HCM</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 506 pts with ICD</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Multicenter Registry of pts with HCM receiving an ICD</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> NR</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> ICD treated VT/VF</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate ICD therapy: • Primary prevention: 3.6%/y • Secondary prevention: 10.6%/y <p>Similar event rates for 1,2, or 3 risk factors for SCD</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of any risk factor sufficient to confer risk
<p>Sochala M, et al. 2017 (458)</p> <p>28598855</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 914 pts with 23 pts with an ICD matched with 46 pts with a PPM</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> Matched</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Arrhythmias (bradycardia, tachycardia), complications</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over a 6-y follow-up period, we observed device-related complications in 9 ICD recipients (inappropriate shocks in 5, lead dysfunction in 5, infection in 2) and in 3 PM recipients (lead dysfunction in 3). Pts with an ICD had, compared to those with a PM, higher rates of complications (39.1% vs. 6.5%; p=0.0006) and more frequent complications requiring hospitalization and/or re-intervention (respectively 30.4% and 21.7% vs. 0%). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICD associated with higher complication rates
<p>Benhayon D, et al. 2015 (475)</p> <p>25546341</p>	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 37 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Arrhythmias (bradycardia, tachycardia)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with MD1 were more likely to have evidence of conduction disease abnormalities (40% vs. 8.3%; p=NS) and had a higher all-cause mortality (16% vs. 0%) than those with MD2 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presence of AV conduction abnormalities in the setting of myotonic dystrophy associated with ventricular arrhythmias

Takaya Y, et al. 2015 (223) 25529542	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with cardiac sarcoidosis</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 53 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Cardiac sarcoidosis</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Arrhythmias (bradycardia, tachycardia)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar rates of SCD regardless of whether presenting with HF/ventricular arrhythmias or high-grade AVB 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICD should be considered in all pts with cardiac sarcoidosis
Anselme F, et al. 2013 (275) 23811080	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with lamin A/C mutation</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 47 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Lamin A/C mutation</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Arrhythmias (bradycardia, tachycardia)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 21 pts received an ICD for severe conduction disorders • Among ICD recipients, no pt died suddenly and 11 (52%) pts required appropriate ICD therapy during a median follow-up of 62 mo. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICD should be considered in all pts with lamin A/C mutations
Ha AH, et al. 2012 (206) 22385162	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 226 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Arrhythmias (bradycardia, tachycardia)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pacemakers or defibrillators were implanted in 14% of all pts, including 65% of pts with severe ECG abnormalities. • During 57±46 mo, 13 pts died (1.16%/y), including 3 pts who died suddenly, 2 of whom had normally functioning PMs. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with PM may have sudden cardiac death
Bhakta D, et al. 2012 (209) 22035077	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 406 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> Myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Arrhythmias (bradycardia, tachycardia)</p> <p><u>Results:</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with PM may have sudden cardiac death

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 46 (11.3%) had or received a PM and 21 (5.2%) received an ICD. • 5 (10.9%) PM pts underwent upgrade to an ICD, 3 for LV systolic dysfunction, 1 for VT/VF, and 1 for progressive conduction disease. • 24 (52.2%) PM pts died including 13 of respiratory failure and 7 of sudden death. 7 (33.3%) ICD pts died including 2 of respiratory failure and 3 of sudden death. The pts with ICDs and sudden death all had LV systolic dysfunction and 1 death was documented due to inappropriate therapies. 	
Faber TS, et al. 2007 (476) 17636308	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate presence of ventricular arrhythmias in pts with a PPM for bradycardia</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 231 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> PPM</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Ventricular arrhythmias</p> <p><u>Results:</u> In 54 (25.7%) of 210 pts with at least 1 follow-up, episodes of nonsustained VT were documented by stored ECGs. 1 pt received an ICD</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pts with PM will have NSVT
Lazarus A, et al. 2002 (207) 12427418	<p><u>Aim:</u> Evaluate arrhythmias in pts with myotonic dystrophy</p> <p><u>Study type:</u> Retrospective</p> <p><u>Size:</u> 49 pts</p>	<p><u>Inclusion criteria:</u> PPM implant</p> <p><u>Exclusion criteria:</u> None</p>	<p><u>1° endpoint:</u> Mortality</p> <p><u>Results:</u> Paroxysmal arrhythmias in 84% of pts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrhythmias particularly in the setting of infraHisian disease associated with arrhythmias

References

1. Linzer M, Yang EH, Estes NA, 3rd, et al. Diagnosing syncope. Part 1: Value of history, physical examination, and electrocardiography. Clinical Efficacy Assessment Project of the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 1997;126:989-96.
2. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Hess EP, Turko E, et al. Defining abnormal electrocardiography in adult emergency department syncope patients: the Ottawa Electrocardiographic Criteria. CJEM. 2012;14:248-58.

3. Del Rosso A, Ungar A, Maggi R, et al. Clinical predictors of cardiac syncope at initial evaluation in patients referred urgently to a general hospital: the EGSYS score. *Heart*. 2008;94:1620-6.
4. Perez-Rodon J, Martinez-Alday J, Baron-Esquivias G, et al. Prognostic value of the electrocardiogram in patients with syncope: data from the group for syncope study in the emergency room (GESINUR). *Heart Rhythm*. 2014;11:2035-44.
5. Lauer MS, Francis GS, Okin PM, et al. Impaired chronotropic response to exercise stress testing as a predictor of mortality. *JAMA*. 1999;281:524-9.
6. Savonen KP, Kiviniemi V, Laukkanen JA, et al. Chronotropic incompetence and mortality in middle-aged men with known or suspected coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29:1896-902.
7. Doi A, Tsuchihashi K, Kyuma M, et al. Diagnostic implications of modified treadmill and head-up tilt tests in exercise-related syncope: comparative studies with situational and/or vasovagal syncope. *Can J Cardiol*. 2002;18:960-6.
8. Woelfel AK, Simpson RJ, Jr., Gettes LS, et al. Exercise-induced distal atrioventricular block. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1983;2:578-81.
9. Boran KJ, Oliveros RA, Boucher CA, et al. Ischemia-associated intraventricular conduction disturbances during exercise testing as a predictor of proximal left anterior descending coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 1983;51:1098-102.
10. Oliveros RA, Seaworth J, Weiland FL, et al. Intermittent left anterior hemiblock during treadmill exercise test. Correlation with coronary arteriogram. *Chest*. 1977;72:492-4.
11. Bobba P, Salerno JA, Casari A. Transient left posterior hemiblock. Report of four cases induced by exercise test. *Circulation*. 1972;46:931-8.
12. Bharati S, Dhingra RC, Lev M, et al. Conduction system in a patient with Prinzmetal's angina and transient atrioventricular block. *Am J Cardiol*. 1977;39:120-5.
13. Coplan NL, Morales MC, Romanello P, et al. Exercise-related atrioventricular block. Influence of myocardial ischemia. *Chest*. 1991;100:1728-30.
14. Gibson TC, Heitzman MR. Diagnostic efficacy of 24-hour electrocardiographic monitoring for syncope. *Am J Cardiol*. 1984;53:1013-7.
15. Linzer M, Yang EH, Estes NA, 3rd, et al. Diagnosing syncope. Part 2: Unexplained syncope. Clinical Efficacy Assessment Project of the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 1997;127:76-86.
16. Reiffel JA, Schwarzberg R, Murry M. Comparison of autotriggered memory loop recorders versus standard loop recorders versus 24-hour Holter monitors for arrhythmia detection. *Am J Cardiol*. 2005;95:1055-9.
17. Sivakumaran S, Krahn AD, Klein GJ, et al. A prospective randomized comparison of loop recorders versus Holter monitors in patients with syncope or presyncope. *Am J Med*. 2003;115:1-5.
18. Brown AP, Dawkins KD, Davies JG. Detection of arrhythmias: use of a patient-activated ambulatory electrocardiogram device with a solid-state memory loop. *Br Heart J*. 1987;58:251-3.
19. Cumbee SR, Pryor RE, Linzer M. Cardiac loop ECG recording: a new noninvasive diagnostic test in recurrent syncope. *South Med J*. 1990;83:39-43.
20. Locati ET, Moya A, Oliveira M, et al. External prolonged electrocardiogram monitoring in unexplained syncope and palpitations: results of the SYNARR-Flash study. *Europace*. 2016;18:1265-72.
21. Barrett PM, Komatireddy R, Haaser S, et al. Comparison of 24-hour Holter monitoring with 14-day novel adhesive patch electrocardiographic monitoring. *Am J Med*. 2014;127:95.e11-7.

22. Rosenberg MA, Samuel M, Thosani A, et al. Use of a noninvasive continuous monitoring device in the management of atrial fibrillation: a pilot study. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2013;36:328-33.
23. Turakhia MP, Hoang DD, Zimetbaum P, et al. Diagnostic utility of a novel leadless arrhythmia monitoring device. *Am J Cardiol.* 2013;112:520-4.
24. Joshi AK, Kowey PR, Prystowsky EN, et al. First experience with a Mobile Cardiac Outpatient Telemetry (MCOT) system for the diagnosis and management of cardiac arrhythmia. *Am J Cardiol.* 2005;95:878-81.
25. Rothman SA, Laughlin JC, Seltzer J, et al. The diagnosis of cardiac arrhythmias: a prospective multi-center randomized study comparing mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry versus standard loop event monitoring. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2007;18:241-7.
26. Linzer M, Pritchett EL, Pontinen M, et al. Incremental diagnostic yield of loop electrocardiographic recorders in unexplained syncope. *Am J Cardiol.* 1990;66:214-9.
27. Schneider JF, Thomas HE, Jr., Kreger BE, et al. Newly acquired left bundle-branch block: the Framingham study. *Ann Intern Med.* 1979;90:303-10.
28. Schneider JF, Thomas HE, Kreger BE, et al. Newly acquired right bundle-branch block: The Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 1980;92:37-44.
29. Schneider JF, Thomas HE, Jr., Sorlie P, et al. Comparative features of newly acquired left and right bundle branch block in the general population: the Framingham study. *Am J Cardiol.* 1981;47:931-40.
30. Eriksson P, Hansson PO, Eriksson H, et al. Bundle-branch block in a general male population: the study of men born 1913. *Circulation.* 1998;98:2494-500.
31. Fahy GJ, Pinski SL, Miller DP, et al. Natural history of isolated bundle branch block. *Am J Cardiol.* 1996;77:1185-90.
32. Imanishi R, Seto S, Ichimaru S, et al. Prognostic significance of incident complete left bundle branch block observed over a 40-year period. *Am J Cardiol.* 2006;98:644-8.
33. Dhingra R, Pencina MJ, Wang TJ, et al. Electrocardiographic QRS duration and the risk of congestive heart failure: the Framingham Heart Study. *Hypertension.* 2006;47:861-7.
34. Rotman M, Triebwasser JH. A clinical and follow-up study of right and left bundle branch block. *Circulation.* 1975;51:477-84.
35. Froelicher VF, Jr., Thompson AJ, Wolthuis R, et al. Angiographic findings in asymptomatic aircrewmen with electrocardiographic abnormalities. *Am J Cardiol.* 1977;39:32-8.
36. Rabkin SW, Mathewson FA, Tate RB. Natural history of left bundle-branch block. *Br Heart J.* 1980;43:164-9.
37. Zhang ZM, Rautaharju PM, Soliman EZ, et al. Mortality risk associated with bundle branch blocks and related repolarization abnormalities (from the Women's Health Initiative [WHI]). *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;110:1489-95.
38. Bogale N, Orn S, James M, et al. Usefulness of either or both left and right bundle branch block at baseline or during follow-up for predicting death in patients following acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;99:647-50.
39. Baldasseroni S, Opasich C, Gorini M, et al. Left bundle-branch block is associated with increased 1-year sudden and total mortality rate in 5517 outpatients with congestive heart failure: a report from the Italian network on congestive heart failure. *Am Heart J.* 2002;143:398-405.
40. Erne P, Iglesias JF, Urban P, et al. Left bundle-branch block in patients with acute myocardial infarction: Presentation, treatment, and trends in outcome from 1997 to 2016 in routine clinical practice. *Am Heart J.* 2017;184:106-13.
41. Yeo KK, Li S, Amsterdam EA, et al. Comparison of clinical characteristics, treatments and outcomes of patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction with versus without new or presumed new left bundle branch block (from NCDR(R)). *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;109:497-501.

42. Dhingra R, Ho Nam B, Benjamin EJ, et al. Cross-sectional relations of electrocardiographic QRS duration to left ventricular dimensions: the Framingham Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;45:685-9.
43. Talreja D, Gruver C, Sklenar J, et al. Efficient utilization of echocardiography for the assessment of left ventricular systolic function. *Am Heart J.* 2000;139:394-8.
44. Mendu ML, McAvay G, Lampert R, et al. Yield of diagnostic tests in evaluating syncopal episodes in older patients. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:1299-305.
45. Recchia D, Barzilai B. Echocardiography in the evaluation of patients with syncope. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1995;10:649-55.
46. Sarasin FP, Junod AF, Carballo D, et al. Role of echocardiography in the evaluation of syncope: a prospective study. *Heart.* 2002;88:363-7.
47. Dagres N, Bongiorni MG, Dobreanu D, et al. Current investigation and management of patients with syncope: results of the European Heart Rhythm Association survey. *Europace.* 2013;15:1812-5.
48. Badheka AO, Singh V, Patel NJ, et al. QRS duration on electrocardiography and cardiovascular mortality (from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey-III). *Am J Cardiol.* 2013;112:671-7.
49. Chiu DT, Shapiro NI, Sun BC, et al. Are echocardiography, telemetry, ambulatory electrocardiography monitoring, and cardiac enzymes in emergency department patients presenting with syncope useful tests? A preliminary investigation. *J Emerg Med.* 2014;47:113-8.
50. Menozzi C, Brignole M, Alboni P, et al. The natural course of untreated sick sinus syndrome and identification of the variables predictive of unfavorable outcome. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;82:1205-9.
51. Brodsky M, Wu D, Denes P, et al. Arrhythmias documented by 24 hour continuous electrocardiographic monitoring in 50 male medical students without apparent heart disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 1977;39:390-5.
52. Bjerregaard P. Premature beats in healthy subjects 40-79 years of age. *Eur Heart J.* 1982;3:493-503.
53. Clarke JM, Hamer J, Shelton JR, et al. The rhythm of the normal human heart. *Lancet.* 1976;1:508-12.
54. Fleg JL, Kennedy HL. Cardiac arrhythmias in a healthy elderly population: detection by 24-hour ambulatory electrocardiography. *Chest.* 1982;81:302-7.
55. Meytes I, Kaplinsky E, Yahini JH, et al. Wenckebach A-V block: a frequent feature following heavy physical training. *Am Heart J.* 1975;90:426-30.
56. Viitasalo MT, Kala R, Eisalo A. Ambulatory electrocardiographic recording in endurance athletes. *Br Heart J.* 1982;47:213-20.
57. Northcote RJ, Canning GP, Ballantyne D. Electrocardiographic findings in male veteran endurance athletes. *Br Heart J.* 1989;61:155-60.
58. Tilkian AG, Guilleminault C, Schroeder JS, et al. Sleep-induced apnea syndrome. Prevalence of cardiac arrhythmias and their reversal after tracheostomy. *Am J Med.* 1977;63:348-58.
59. Guilleminault C, Connolly SJ, Winkle RA. Cardiac arrhythmia and conduction disturbances during sleep in 400 patients with sleep apnea syndrome. *Am J Cardiol.* 1983;52:490-4.
60. Shepard JW, Jr., Garrison MW, Grither DA, et al. Relationship of ventricular ectopy to oxyhemoglobin desaturation in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest.* 1985;88:335-40.
61. Hoffstein V, Mateika S. Cardiac arrhythmias, snoring, and sleep apnea. *Chest.* 1994;106:466-71.
62. Boudoulas H, Schmidt HS, Clark RW, et al. Anthropometric characteristics, cardiac abnormalities and adrenergic activity in patients with primary disorders of sleep. *J Med.* 1983;14:223-38.

63. Mehra R, Benjamin EJ, Shahar E, et al. Association of nocturnal arrhythmias with sleep-disordered breathing: The Sleep Heart Health Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2006;173:910-6.
64. Miller WP. Cardiac arrhythmias and conduction disturbances in the sleep apnea syndrome. Prevalence and significance. *Am J Med*. 1982;73:317-21.
65. Flemons WW, Remmers JE, Gillis AM. Sleep apnea and cardiac arrhythmias. Is there a relationship? *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1993;148:618-21.
66. Becker H, Brandenburg U, Peter JH, et al. Reversal of sinus arrest and atrioventricular conduction block in patients with sleep apnea during nasal continuous positive airway pressure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1995;151:215-8.
67. Koehler U, Fus E, Grimm W, et al. Heart block in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: pathogenetic factors and effects of treatment. *Eur Respir J*. 1998;11:434-9.
68. Grimm W, Koehler U, Fus E, et al. Outcome of patients with sleep apnea-associated severe bradyarrhythmias after continuous positive airway pressure therapy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2000;86:688-92, a9.
69. Harbison J, O'Reilly P, McNicholas WT. Cardiac rhythm disturbances in the obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure therapy. *Chest*. 2000;118:591-5.
70. Stegman SS, Burroughs JM, Henthorn RW. Asymptomatic bradyarrhythmias as a marker for sleep apnea: appropriate recognition and treatment may reduce the need for pacemaker therapy. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1996;19:899-904.
71. Garrigue S, Pepin JL, Defaye P, et al. High prevalence of sleep apnea syndrome in patients with long-term pacing: the European Multicenter Polysomnographic Study. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1703-9.
72. Krahn AD, Klein GJ, Yee R, et al. Randomized assessment of syncope trial: conventional diagnostic testing versus a prolonged monitoring strategy. *Circulation*. 2001;104:46-51.
73. Farwell DJ, Freemantle N, Sulke AN. Use of implantable loop recorders in the diagnosis and management of syncope. *Eur Heart J*. 2004;25:1257-63.
74. Podoleanu C, DaCosta A, Defaye P, et al. Early use of an implantable loop recorder in syncope evaluation: a randomized study in the context of the French healthcare system (FRESH study). *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014;107:546-52.
75. Denniss AR, Ross DL, Richards DA, et al. Electrophysiologic studies in patients with unexplained syncope. *Int J Cardiol*. 1992;35:211-7.
76. Smith I, Monk TG, White PF. Comparison of transesophageal atrial pacing with anticholinergic drugs for the treatment of intraoperative bradycardia. *Anesth Analg*. 1994;78:245-52.
77. Sodeck GH, Domanovits H, Meron G, et al. Compromising bradycardia: management in the emergency department. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:96-102.
78. Aghamohammadi H, Mehrabi S, Mohammad Ali Beigi F. Prevention of bradycardia by atropine sulfate during urological laparoscopic surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Urol J*. 2009;6:92-5.
79. Brady WJ, Swart G, DeBehnke DJ, et al. The efficacy of atropine in the treatment of hemodynamically unstable bradycardia and atrioventricular block: prehospital and emergency department considerations. *Resuscitation*. 1999;41:47-55.
80. Swart G, Brady WJ, Jr., DeBehnke DJ, et al. Acute myocardial infarction complicated by hemodynamically unstable bradyarrhythmia: prehospital and ED treatment with atropine. *Am J Emerg Med*. 1999;17:647-52.
81. Warren JV, Lewis RP. Beneficial effects of atropine in the pre-hospital phase of coronary care. *Am J Cardiol*. 1976;37:68-72.

82. Scheinman MM, Thorburn D, Abbott JA. Use of atropine in patients with acute myocardial infarction and sinus bradycardia. *Circulation*. 1975;52:627-33.
83. Ogawa H, Inoue T, Miwa S, et al. Heart rate responses to autonomic drugs in sick sinus syndrome--correlation with syncope and electrophysiologic data. *Jpn Circ J*. 1991;55:15-23.
84. Mandel WJ, Hayakawa H, Allen HN, et al. Assessment of sinus node function in patients with the sick sinus syndrome. *Circulation*. 1972;46:761-9.
85. Strauss HC, Bigger JT, Saroff AL, et al. Electrophysiologic evaluation of sinus node function in patients with sinus node dysfunction. *Circulation*. 1976;53:763-76.
86. Morrison LJ, Long J, Vermeulen M, et al. A randomized controlled feasibility trial comparing safety and effectiveness of prehospital pacing versus conventional treatment: 'PrePACE'. *Resuscitation*. 2008;76:341-9.
87. Howarth DM, Dawson AH, Smith AJ, et al. Calcium channel blocking drug overdose: an Australian series. *Hum Exp Toxicol*. 1994;13:161-6.
88. Ramoska EA, Spiller HA, Winter M, et al. A one-year evaluation of calcium channel blocker overdoses: toxicity and treatment. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1993;22:196-200.
89. St-Onge M, Dube PA, Gosselin S, et al. Treatment for calcium channel blocker poisoning: a systematic review. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2014;52:926-44.
90. Love JN, Sachdeva DK, Bessman ES, et al. A potential role for glucagon in the treatment of drug-induced symptomatic bradycardia. *Chest*. 1998;114:323-6.
91. Bailey B. Glucagon in beta-blocker and calcium channel blocker overdoses: a systematic review. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol*. 2003;41:595-602.
92. Engebretsen KM, Kaczmarek KM, Morgan J, et al. High-dose insulin therapy in beta-blocker and calcium channel-blocker poisoning. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2011;49:277-83.
93. Greene SL, Gawarammana I, Wood DM, et al. Relative safety of hyperinsulinaemia/euglycaemia therapy in the management of calcium channel blocker overdose: a prospective observational study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33:2019-24.
94. Eddleston M, Rajapakse S, Rajakanthan, et al. Anti-digoxin Fab fragments in cardiotoxicity induced by ingestion of yellow oleander: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2000;355:967-72.
95. Lapostolle F, Borron SW, Verdier C, et al. Digoxin-specific Fab fragments as single first-line therapy in digitalis poisoning. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:3014-8.
96. Lapostolle F, Borron SW, Verdier C, et al. Assessment of digoxin antibody use in patients with elevated serum digoxin following chronic or acute exposure. *Intensive Care Med*. 2008;34:1448-53.
97. Chan BS, Buckley NA. Digoxin-specific antibody fragments in the treatment of digoxin toxicity. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2014;52:824-36.
98. Smith TW, Butler VP, Jr., Haber E, et al. Treatment of life-threatening digitalis intoxication with digoxin-specific Fab antibody fragments: experience in 26 cases. *N Engl J Med*. 1982;307:1357-62.
99. Wenger TL, Butler VP, Jr., Haber E, et al. Treatment of 63 severely digitalis-toxic patients with digoxin-specific antibody fragments. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1985;5:118a-23a.
100. Antman EM, Wenger TL, Butler VP, Jr., et al. Treatment of 150 cases of life-threatening digitalis intoxication with digoxin-specific Fab antibody fragments. Final report of a multicenter study. *Circulation*. 1990;81:1744-52.

101. Hickey AR, Wenger TL, Carpenter VP, et al. Digoxin Immune Fab therapy in the management of digitalis intoxication: safety and efficacy results of an observational surveillance study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1991;17:590-8.
102. Wenger TL. Experience with digoxin immune Fab (ovine) in patients with renal impairment. *Am J Emerg Med*. 1991;9:21-3; discussion 33-4.
103. Mowry JB, Burdmann EA, Anseeuw K, et al. Extracorporeal treatment for digoxin poisoning: systematic review and recommendations from the EXTRIP Workgroup. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2016;54:103-14.
104. Abu-Laban RB, McIntyre CM, Christenson JM, et al. Aminophylline in bradysystolic cardiac arrest: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2006;367:1577-84.
105. Hurley KF, Magee K, Green R. Aminophylline for bradysystolic cardiac arrest in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;Cd006781.
106. Redmond JM, Zehr KJ, Gillinov MA, et al. Use of theophylline for treatment of prolonged sinus node dysfunction in human orthotopic heart transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1993;12:133-8; discussion 8-9.
107. Bertolet BD, Eagle DA, Conti JB, et al. Bradycardia after heart transplantation: reversal with theophylline. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1996;28:396-9.
108. Rothman SA, Jeevanandam V, Seeber CP, et al. Electrophysiologic effects of intravenous aminophylline in heart transplant recipients with sinus node dysfunction. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1995;14:429-35.
109. Heinz G, Kratochwill C, Buxbaum P, et al. Immediate normalization of profound sinus node dysfunction by aminophylline after cardiac transplantation. *Am J Cardiol*. 1993;71:346-9.
110. Pasnoori VR, Leeser MA. Use of aminophylline in the treatment of severe symptomatic bradycardia resistant to atropine. *Cardiol Rev*. 2004;12:65-8.
111. Sadaka F, Naydenov SK, Ponzillo JJ. Theophylline for bradycardia secondary to cervical spinal cord injury. *Neurocrit Care*. 2010;13:389-92.
112. Schulz-Stubner S. The use of small-dose theophylline for the treatment of bradycardia in patients with spinal cord injury. *Anesth Analg*. 2005;101:1809-11.
113. Ferguson JD, Banning AP, Bashir Y. Randomised trial of temporary cardiac pacing with semirigid and balloon-flotation electrode catheters. *Lancet*. 1997;349:1883.
114. López Ayerbe J, Villuendas Sabaté R, García García C, et al. Temporary Pacemakers: Current Use and Complications. *Revista Española de Cardiología (English Edition)*. 2004;57:1045-52.
115. Hynes JK, Holmes DR, Jr., Harrison CE. Five-year experience with temporary pacemaker therapy in the coronary care unit. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 1983;58:122-6.
116. Murphy JJ. Current practice and complications of temporary transvenous cardiac pacing. *Bmj*. 1996;312:1134.
117. Austin JL, Preis LK, Crampton RS, et al. Analysis of pacemaker malfunction and complications of temporary pacing in the coronary care unit. *Am J Cardiol*. 1982;49:301-6.
118. Muñoz Bono J, Prieto Palomino MA, Macías Guarasa I, et al. Efficacy and safety of non-permanent transvenous pacemaker implantation in an intensive care unit. *Medicina Intensiva (English Edition)*. 2011;35:410-6.
119. Betts TR. Regional survey of temporary transvenous pacing procedures and complications. *Postgrad Med J*. 2003;79:463-5.
120. Jowett NI, Thompson DR, Pohl JE. Temporary transvenous cardiac pacing: 6 years experience in one coronary care unit. *Postgrad Med J*. 1989;65:211-5.

121. Weinstein J, Gnoj J, Mazzara JT, et al. Temporary transvenous pacing via the percutaneous femoral vein approach. A prospective study of 100 cases. *Am Heart J*. 1973;85:695-705.
122. Garcia Guerrero JJ, Fernandez de la Concha Castaneda J, Lopez Quero D, et al. Lower incidence of venous thrombosis with temporary active-fixation lead implantation in mobile patients. *Europace*. 2010;12:1604-7.
123. Nolewajka AJ, Goddard MD, Brown TC. Temporary transvenous pacing and femoral vein thrombosis. *Circulation*. 1980;62:646-50.
124. Jou YL, Hsu HP, Tuan TC, et al. Trends of temporary pacemaker implant and underlying disease substrate. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2010;33:1475-84.
125. McCann P. A review of temporary cardiac pacing wires. *Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J*. 2007;7:40-9.
126. Bjornstad CC, Gjertsen E, Thorup F, et al. Temporary cardiac pacemaker treatment in five Norwegian regional hospitals. *Scand Cardiovasc J*. 2012;46:137-43.
127. Barthell E, Troiano P, Olson D, et al. Prehospital external cardiac pacing: a prospective, controlled clinical trial. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1988;17:1221-6.
128. Sherbino J, Verbeek PR, MacDonald RD, et al. Prehospital transcutaneous cardiac pacing for symptomatic bradycardia or bradysystolic cardiac arrest: a systematic review. *Resuscitation*. 2006;70:193-200.
129. Hedges JR, Feero S, Shultz B, et al. Prehospital transcutaneous cardiac pacing for symptomatic bradycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1991;14:1473-8.
130. Zoll PM, Zoll RH, Falk RH, et al. External noninvasive temporary cardiac pacing: clinical trials. *Circulation*. 1985;71:937-44.
131. Clinton JE, Zoll PM, Zoll R, et al. Emergency noninvasive external cardiac pacing. *J Emerg Med*. 1985;2:155-62.
132. Lamas GA, Knight JD, Sweeney MO, et al. Impact of rate-modulated pacing on quality of life and exercise capacity--evidence from the Advanced Elements of Pacing Randomized Controlled Trial (ADEPT). *Heart Rhythm*. 2007;4:1125-32.
133. Alboni P, Menozzi C, Brignole M, et al. Effects of permanent pacemaker and oral theophylline in sick sinus syndrome the THEOPACE study: a randomized controlled trial. *Circulation*. 1997;96:260-6.
134. Sasaki Y, Shimotori M, Akahane K, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with sick sinus syndrome: a comparison of clinical aspects among unpaced, ventricular inhibited paced, and physiologically paced groups. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1988;11:1575-83.
135. Goldberger JJ, Johnson NP, Gidea C. Significance of asymptomatic bradycardia for subsequent pacemaker implantation and mortality in patients >60 years of age. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;108:857-61.
136. Teichman SL, Felder SD, Matos JA, et al. The value of electrophysiologic studies in syncope of undetermined origin: report of 150 cases. *Am Heart J*. 1985;110:469-79.
137. Seidl K, Rameken M, Breunung S, et al. Diagnostic assessment of recurrent unexplained syncope with a new subcutaneously implantable loop recorder. Reveal-Investigators. *Europace*. 2000;2:256-62.
138. Nielsen JC, Thomsen PE, Hojberg S, et al. A comparison of single-lead atrial pacing with dual-chamber pacing in sick sinus syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:686-96.
139. Andersen HR, Nielsen JC, Thomsen PE, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients from a randomised trial of atrial versus ventricular pacing for sick-sinus syndrome. *Lancet*. 1997;350:1210-6.

140. Lamas GA, Orav EJ, Stambler BS, et al. Quality of life and clinical outcomes in elderly patients treated with ventricular pacing as compared with dual-chamber pacing. Pacemaker Selection in the Elderly Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;338:1097-104.
141. Lamas GA, Lee KL, Sweeney MO, et al. Ventricular pacing or dual-chamber pacing for sinus-node dysfunction. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346:1854-62.
142. Connolly SJ, Kerr CR, Gent M, et al. Effects of physiologic pacing versus ventricular pacing on the risk of stroke and death due to cardiovascular causes. Canadian Trial of Physiologic Pacing Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:1385-91.
143. Sweeney MO, Bank AJ, Nsah E, et al. Minimizing ventricular pacing to reduce atrial fibrillation in sinus-node disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:1000-8.
144. Healey JS, Toff WD, Lamas GA, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes with atrial-based pacing compared with ventricular pacing: meta-analysis of randomized trials, using individual patient data. *Circulation*. 2006;114:11-7.
145. Andersen HR, Thuesen L, Bagger JP, et al. Prospective randomised trial of atrial versus ventricular pacing in sick-sinus syndrome. *Lancet*. 1994;344:1523-8.
146. Sweeney MO, Hellkamp AS, Ellenbogen KA, et al. Adverse effect of ventricular pacing on heart failure and atrial fibrillation among patients with normal baseline QRS duration in a clinical trial of pacemaker therapy for sinus node dysfunction. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2932-7.
147. Nielsen JC, Kristensen L, Andersen HR, et al. A randomized comparison of atrial and dual-chamber pacing in 177 consecutive patients with sick sinus syndrome: echocardiographic and clinical outcome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;42:614-23.
148. Brandt NH, Kirkfeldt RE, Nielsen JC, et al. Single lead atrial vs. dual chamber pacing in sick sinus syndrome: extended register-based follow-up in the DANPACE trial. *Europace*. 2016;
149. Kenneback G, Tabrizi F, Lindell P, et al. High-degree atrioventricular block during anti-arrhythmic drug treatment: use of a pacemaker with a bradycardia-detection algorithm to study the time course after drug withdrawal. *Europace*. 2007;9:186-91.
150. Knudsen MB, Thogersen AM, Hjortshøj SP, et al. The impact of drug discontinuation in patients treated with temporary pacemaker due to atrioventricular block. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2013;24:1255-8.
151. Osmonov D, Erdinler I, Ozcan KS, et al. Management of patients with drug-induced atrioventricular block. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2012;35:804-10.
152. Zeltser D, Justo D, Halkin A, et al. Drug-induced atrioventricular block: prognosis after discontinuation of the culprit drug. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;44:105-8.
153. Risgaard B, Elming H, Jensen GV, et al. Waiting for a pacemaker: is it dangerous? *Europace*. 2012;14:975-80.
154. Farre N, Bazan V, Garcia-Garcia C, et al. Long-term outcome of transitory "reversible" complete atrio-ventricular block unrelated to myocardial ischemia. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;172:503-5.
155. Sadek MM, Yung D, Birnie DH, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review. *Can J Cardiol*. 2013;29:1034-41.
156. Kandolin R, Lehtonen J, Kupari M. Cardiac sarcoidosis and giant cell myocarditis as causes of atrioventricular block in young and middle-aged adults. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2011;4:303-9.
157. Ozcan KS, Osmonov D, Erdinler I, et al. Atrioventricular block in patients with thyroid dysfunction: prognosis after treatment with hormone supplementation or antithyroid medication. *J Cardiol*. 2012;60:327-32.
158. Forrester JD, Mead P. Third-degree heart block associated with lyme carditis: review of published cases. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59:996-1000.
159. van der Linde MR. Lyme carditis: clinical characteristics of 105 cases. *Scand J Infect Dis Suppl*. 1991;77:81-4.

160. Feigl D, Ashkenazy J, Kishon Y. Early and late atrioventricular block in acute inferior myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1984;4:35-8.
161. Sclarovsky S, Strasberg B, Hirshberg A, et al. Advanced early and late atrioventricular block in acute inferior wall myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J*. 1984;108:19-24.
162. Chihrin SM, Mohamed U, Yee R, et al. Utility of isoproterenol in unmasking latent escape rhythm in pacemaker dependent patients undergoing pacemaker replacement. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;101:631-3.
163. Bertolet BD, McMurtrie EB, Hill JA, et al. Theophylline for the treatment of atrioventricular block after myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med*. 1995;123:509-11.
164. Altun A, Kirdar C, Ozbay G. Effect of aminophylline in patients with atropine-resistant late advanced atrioventricular block during acute inferior myocardial infarction. *Clin Cardiol*. 1998;21:759-62.
165. Goodfellow J, Walker PR. Reversal of atropine-resistant atrioventricular block with intravenous aminophylline in the early phase of inferior wall acute myocardial infarction following treatment with streptokinase. *Eur Heart J*. 1995;16:862-5.
166. Dhingra RC, Winslow E, Pouget JM, et al. The effect of isoproterenol on atrioventricular and intraventricular conduction. *Am J Cardiol*. 1973;32:629-36.
167. Hatle L, Rokseth R. Conservative treatment of AV block in acute myocardial infarction. Results in 105 consecutive patients. *Br Heart J*. 1971;33:595-600.
168. Cummins RO, Graves JR, Larsen MP, et al. Out-of-hospital transcutaneous pacing by emergency medical technicians in patients with asystolic cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328:1377-82.
169. Hedges JR, Syverud SA, Dalsey WC, et al. Prehospital trial of emergency transcutaneous cardiac pacing. *Circulation*. 1987;76:1337-43.
170. Birkhahn RH, Gaeta TJ, Tloczkowski J, et al. Emergency medicine-trained physicians are proficient in the insertion of transvenous pacemakers. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2004;43:469-74.
171. Mahapatra S, Bybee KA, Bunch TJ, et al. Incidence and predictors of cardiac perforation after permanent pacemaker placement. *Heart Rhythm*. 2005;2:907-11.
172. Lang R, David D, Klein HO, et al. The use of the balloon-tipped floating catheter in temporary transvenous cardiac pacing. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1981;4:491-6.
173. Winner S, Boon N. Clinical problems with temporary pacemakers prior to permanent pacing. *J R Coll Physicians Lond*. 1989;23:161-3.
174. Pinneri F, Freja S, Najd K, et al. Echocardiography-guided versus fluoroscopy-guided temporary pacing in the emergency setting: an observational study. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)*. 2013;14:242-6.
175. Braun MU, Rauwolf T, Bock M, et al. Percutaneous lead implantation connected to an external device in stimulation-dependent patients with systemic infection--a prospective and controlled study. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2006;29:875-9.
176. de Cock CC, Van Campen CM, In't Veld JA, et al. Utility and safety of prolonged temporary transvenous pacing using an active-fixation lead: comparison with a conventional lead. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2003;26:1245-8.
177. Kawata H, Pretorius V, Phan H, et al. Utility and safety of temporary pacing using active fixation leads and externalized re-usable permanent pacemakers after lead extraction. *Europace*. 2013;15:1287-91.
178. Chihrin SM, Mohammed U, Yee R, et al. Utility and cost effectiveness of temporary pacing using active fixation leads and an externally placed reusable permanent pacemaker. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006;98:1613-5.

179. Lever N, Ferguson JD, Bashir Y, et al. Prolonged temporary cardiac pacing using subcutaneous tunnelled active-fixation permanent pacing leads. *Heart*. 2003;89:209-10.
180. Kornberger A, Schmid E, Kalender G, et al. Bridge to recovery or permanent system implantation: an eight-year single-center experience in transvenous semipermanent pacing. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2013;36:1096-103.
181. Zei PC, Eckart RE, Epstein LM. Modified temporary cardiac pacing using transvenous active fixation leads and external re-sterilized pulse generators. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47:1487-9.
182. Santini M, Castro A, Giada F, et al. Prevention of syncope through permanent cardiac pacing in patients with bifascicular block and syncope of unexplained origin: the PRESS study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2013;6:101-7.
183. Guerrero-Marquez FJ, Arana-Rueda E, Pedrote A. Idiopathic Paroxysmal Atrio-Ventricular Block. What is The Mechanism? *J Atr Fibrillation*. 2016;9:1449.
184. Brignole M, Deharo JC, De Roy L, et al. Syncope due to idiopathic paroxysmal atrioventricular block: long-term follow-up of a distinct form of atrioventricular block. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:167-73.
185. Brignole M, Menozzi C, Moya A, et al. Mechanism of syncope in patients with bundle branch block and negative electrophysiological test. *Circulation*. 2001;104:2045-50.
186. Carano N, Bo I, Tchana B, et al. Adams-Stokes attack as the first symptom of acute rheumatic fever: report of an adolescent case and review of the literature. *Ital J Pediatr*. 2012;38:61.
187. Ando G, Versaci F. Ventriculo-atrial gradient due to first degree atrio-ventricular block: a case report. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2005;5:23.
188. Maeno K, Kasai A, Setsuda M, et al. Advanced atrioventricular block induced by obstructive sleep apnea before oxygen desaturation. *Heart Vessels*. 2009;24:236-40.
189. Moya A, Garcia-Civera R, Croci F, et al. Diagnosis, management, and outcomes of patients with syncope and bundle branch block. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:1535-41.
190. Panic G, Stanulovic V, Popov T. Atrio-ventricular block as the first presentation of disseminated Lyme disease. *Int J Cardiol*. 2011;150:e104-6.
191. Carroz P, Delay D, Girod G. Pseudo-pacemaker syndrome in a young woman with first-degree atrio-ventricular block. *Europace*. 2010;12:594-6.
192. Marti-Almor J, Cladellas M, Bazan V, et al. Long-term mortality predictors in patients with chronic bifascicular block. *Europace*. 2009;11:1201-7.
193. Barold SS. Indications for permanent cardiac pacing in first-degree AV block: class I, II, or III? *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1996;19:747-51.
194. Barold SS, Ilercil A, Leonelli F, et al. First-degree atrioventricular block. Clinical manifestations, indications for pacing, pacemaker management & consequences during cardiac resynchronization. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*. 2006;17:139-52.
195. Barra SN, Providencia R, Paiva L, et al. A review on advanced atrioventricular block in young or middle-aged adults. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2012;35:1395-405.
196. Dhingra RC, Denes P, Wu D, et al. The significance of second degree atrioventricular block and bundle branch block. Observations regarding site and type of block. *Circulation*. 1974;49:638-46.
197. Shaw DB, Eraut D. Prevalence and morbidity of heart block in Devon. *Br Med J*. 1970;1:144-7.
198. Simon AB, Zloto AE. Atrioventricular block: natural history after permanent ventricular pacing. *Am J Cardiol*. 1978;41:500-7.
199. Strasberg B, Amat YLF, Dhingra RC, et al. Natural history of chronic second-degree atrioventricular nodal block. *Circulation*. 1981;63:1043-9.

200. Edhag O, Swahn A. Prognosis of patients with complete heart block or arrhythmic syncope who were not treated with artificial pacemakers. A long-term follow-up study of 101 patients. *Acta Med Scand*. 1976;200:457-63.
201. Shaw DB, Kekwick CA, Veale D, et al. Survival in second degree atrioventricular block. *Br Heart J*. 1985;53:587-93.
202. Wahbi K, Meune C, Porcher R, et al. Electrophysiological study with prophylactic pacing and survival in adults with myotonic dystrophy and conduction system disease. *Jama*. 2012;307:1292-301.
203. Buckley AE, Dean J, Mahy IR. Cardiac involvement in Emery Dreifuss muscular dystrophy: a case series. *Heart*. 1999;82:105-8.
204. Kitaguchi T, Matsubara S, Sato M, et al. A missense mutation in the exon 8 of lamin A/C gene in a Japanese case of autosomal dominant limb-girdle muscular dystrophy and cardiac conduction block. *Neuromuscul Disord*. 2001;11:542-6.
205. Finsterer J, Stollberger C. Heart Disease in Disorders of Muscle, Neuromuscular Transmission, and the Nerves. *Korean Circ J*. 2016;46:117-34.
206. Ha AH, Tarnopolsky MA, Bergstra TG, et al. Predictors of atrio-ventricular conduction disease, long-term outcomes in patients with myotonic dystrophy types I and II. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2012;35:1262-9.
207. Lazarus A, Varin J, Babuty D, et al. Long-term follow-up of arrhythmias in patients with myotonic dystrophy treated by pacing: a multicenter diagnostic pacemaker study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;40:1645-52.
208. Facenda-Lorenzo M, Hernandez-Afonso J, Rodriguez-Esteban M, et al. Cardiac manifestations in myotonic dystrophy type 1 patients followed using a standard protocol in a specialized unit. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2013;66:193-7.
209. Bhakta D, Shen C, Kron J, et al. Pacemaker and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator use in a US myotonic dystrophy type 1 population. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2011;22:1369-75.
210. Groh WJ, Groh MR, Saha C, et al. Electrocardiographic abnormalities and sudden death in myotonic dystrophy type 1. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:2688-97.
211. Groh WJ. Arrhythmias in the muscular dystrophies. *Heart Rhythm*. 2012;9:1890-5.
212. Kabunga P, Lau AK, Phan K, et al. Systematic review of cardiac electrical disease in Kearns-Sayre syndrome and mitochondrial cytopathy. *Int J Cardiol*. 2015;181:303-10.
213. Saxon LA, Albert BH, Uretz EF, et al. Permanent pacemaker placement in chronic atrial fibrillation associated with intermittent AV block and cerebral symptoms. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1990;13:724-9.
214. Hilgard J, Ezri MD, Denes P. Significance of ventricular pauses of three seconds or more detected on twenty-four-hour Holter recordings. *Am J Cardiol*. 1985;55:1005-8.
215. Ector H, Rolies L, De Geest H. Dynamic electrocardiography and ventricular pauses of 3 seconds and more: etiology and therapeutic implications. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1983;6:548-51.
216. Michaelsson M, Jonzon A, Riesenfeld T. Isolated congenital complete atrioventricular block in adult life. A prospective study. *Circulation*. 1995;92:442-9.
217. Sholler GF, Walsh EP. Congenital complete heart block in patients without anatomic cardiac defects. *Am Heart J*. 1989;118:1193-8.
218. Kim YH, O'Nunain S, Trouton T, et al. Pseudo-pacemaker syndrome following inadvertent fast pathway ablation for atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 1993;4:178-82.
219. Alboni P, Holz A, Brignole M. Vagally mediated atrioventricular block: pathophysiology and diagnosis. *Heart*. 2013;99:904-8.

220. Massie B, Scheinman MM, Peters R, et al. Clinical and electrophysiologic findings in patients with paroxysmal slowing of the sinus rate and apparent Mobitz type II atrioventricular block. *Circulation*. 1978;58:305-14.
221. Mosqueda-Garcia R, Furlan R, Tank J, et al. The elusive pathophysiology of neurally mediated syncope. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2898-906.
222. Kato Y, Morimoto S, Uemura A, et al. Efficacy of corticosteroids in sarcoidosis presenting with atrioventricular block. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis*. 2003;20:133-7.
223. Takaya Y, Kusano KF, Nakamura K, et al. Outcomes in patients with high-degree atrioventricular block as the initial manifestation of cardiac sarcoidosis. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;115:505-9.
224. Padala SK, Peaslee S, Sidhu MS, et al. Impact of early initiation of corticosteroid therapy on cardiac function and rhythm in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017;227:565-70.
225. Birnie DH, Nery PB, Ha AC, et al. Cardiac Sarcoidosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:411-21.
226. Zhou Y, Lower EE, Li HP, et al. Cardiac Sarcoidosis: The Impact of Age and Implanted Devices on Survival. *Chest*. 2017;151:139-48.
227. Sayed RH, Rogers D, Khan F, et al. A study of implanted cardiac rhythm recorders in advanced cardiac AL amyloidosis. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:1098-105.
228. Reisinger J, Dubrey SW, Lavalley M, et al. Electrophysiologic abnormalities in AL (primary) amyloidosis with cardiac involvement. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;30:1046-51.
229. Benditt DG, Benson DW, Jr., Kreitt J, et al. Electrophysiologic effects of theophylline in young patients with recurrent symptomatic bradyarrhythmias. *Am J Cardiol*. 1983;52:1223-9.
230. Nimura A, Sato N, Sakuragi H, et al. Recovery of advanced atrioventricular block by cilostazol. *Intern Med*. 2011;50:1957-61.
231. Watson RD, Glover DR, Page AJ, et al. The Birmingham Trial of permanent pacing in patients with intraventricular conduction disorders after acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J*. 1984;108:496-501.
232. Tans AC, Lie KI, Durrer D. Clinical setting and prognostic significance of high degree atrioventricular block in acute inferior myocardial infarction: a study of 144 patients. *Am Heart J*. 1980;99:4-8.
233. Ginks WR, Sutton R, Oh W, et al. Long-term prognosis after acute anterior infarction with atrioventricular block. *Br Heart J*. 1977;39:186-9.
234. Singh SM, FitzGerald G, Yan AT, et al. High-grade atrioventricular block in acute coronary syndromes: insights from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:976-83.
235. Kostic T, Momcilovic S, Perisic ZD, et al. Manifestations of Lyme carditis. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017;232:24-32.
236. Robinson ML, Kobayashi T, Higgins Y, et al. Lyme carditis. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2015;29:255-68.
237. Unterberg C, Luthje L, Szych J, et al. Atrial overdrive pacing compared to CPAP in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 2005;26:2568-75.
238. Garrigue S, Bordier P, Jais P, et al. Benefit of atrial pacing in sleep apnea syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346:404-12.
239. Kinlay S, Leitch JW, Neil A, et al. Cardiac event recorders yield more diagnoses and are more cost-effective than 48-hour Holter monitoring in patients with palpitations. A controlled clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 1996;124:16-20.
240. Giada F, Gulizia M, Francese M, et al. Recurrent unexplained palpitations (RUP) study comparison of implantable loop recorder versus conventional diagnostic strategy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:1951-6.
241. Katritsis DG, Josephson ME. Electrophysiological Testing for the Investigation of Bradycardias. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev*. 2017;6:24-8.

242. Mangiardi LM, Bonamini R, Conte M, et al. Bedside evaluation of atrioventricular block with narrow QRS complexes: usefulness of carotid sinus massage and atropine administration. *Am J Cardiol.* 1982;49:1136-45.
243. Twidale N, Heddle WF, Tonkin AM. Procainamide administration during electrophysiology study--utility as a provocative test for intermittent atrioventricular block. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 1988;11:1388-97.
244. Bogossian H, Frommeyer G, Gobbert K, et al. Is there a prognostic relevance of electrophysiological studies in bundle branch block patients? *Clin Cardiol.* 2017;40:575-9.
245. Kalscheur MM, Donateo P, Wenzke KE, et al. Long-Term Outcome of Patients with Bifascicular Block and Unexplained Syncope Following Cardiac Pacing. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2016;39:1126-31.
246. Morady F, Higgins J, Peters RW, et al. Electrophysiologic testing in bundle branch block and unexplained syncope. *Am J Cardiol.* 1984;54:587-91.
247. Click RL, Gersh BJ, Sugrue DD, et al. Role of invasive electrophysiologic testing in patients with symptomatic bundle branch block. *Am J Cardiol.* 1987;59:817-23.
248. Brignole M, Menozzi C, Bottoni N, et al. Mechanisms of syncope caused by transient bradycardia and the diagnostic value of electrophysiologic testing and cardiovascular reflexivity maneuvers. *Am J Cardiol.* 1995;76:273-8.
249. Dhingra RC, Wyndham C, Bauernfeind R, et al. Significance of block distal to the His bundle induced by atrial pacing in patients with chronic bifascicular block. *Circulation.* 1979;60:1455-64.
250. Zipes DP. Second-degree atrioventricular block. *Circulation.* 1979;60:465-72.
251. Shetty RK, Agarwal S, Ganiga Sanjeeva NC, et al. Trifascicular block progressing to complete AV block on exercise: a rare presentation demonstrating the usefulness of exercise testing. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2015;2015:
252. Toeda T, Suetake S, Tsuchida K, et al. Exercise induced atrioventricular block with gap phenomenon in atrioventricular conduction. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2000;23:527-9.
253. Chokshi SK, Sarmiento J, Nazari J, et al. Exercise-provoked distal atrioventricular block. *Am J Cardiol.* 1990;66:114-6.
254. Bakst A, Goldberg B, Schamroth L. Significance of exercise-induced second degree atrioventricular block. *Br Heart J.* 1975;37:984-6.
255. Egred M, Jafary F, Rodrigues E. Exercise induced atrio-ventricular (AV) block: important but uncommon phenomenon. *Int J Cardiol.* 2004;97:559-60.
256. Fisher JD. Role of electrophysiologic testing in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with known and suspected bradycardias and tachycardias. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 1981;24:25-90.
257. Toff WD, Camm AJ, Skehan JD. Single-chamber versus dual-chamber pacing for high-grade atrioventricular block. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;353:145-55.
258. Kerr CR, Connolly SJ, Abdollah H, et al. Canadian Trial of Physiological Pacing: Effects of physiological pacing during long-term follow-up. *Circulation.* 2004;109:357-62.
259. Curtis AB, Worley SJ, Adamson PB, et al. Biventricular pacing for atrioventricular block and systolic dysfunction. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:1585-93.
260. Gierula J, Cubbon RM, Jamil HA, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in pacemaker-dependent patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *Europace.* 2013;15:1609-14.

261. Kindermann M, Hennen B, Jung J, et al. Biventricular versus conventional right ventricular stimulation for patients with standard pacing indication and left ventricular dysfunction: the Homburg Biventricular Pacing Evaluation (HOBIPACE). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47:1927-37.
262. Wilkoff BL, Cook JR, Epstein AE, et al. Dual-chamber pacing or ventricular backup pacing in patients with an implantable defibrillator: the Dual Chamber and VVI Implantable Defibrillator (DAVID) Trial. *Jama*. 2002;288:3115-23.
263. Doshi RN, Daoud EG, Fellows C, et al. Left ventricular-based cardiac stimulation post AV nodal ablation evaluation (the PAVE study). *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2005;16:1160-5.
264. Brignole M, Botto G, Mont L, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients undergoing atrioventricular junction ablation for permanent atrial fibrillation: a randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:2420-9.
265. Brignole M, Gammage M, Puggioni E, et al. Comparative assessment of right, left, and biventricular pacing in patients with permanent atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2005;26:712-22.
266. Brignole M, Botto GL, Mont L, et al. Predictors of clinical efficacy of 'Ablate and Pace' therapy in patients with permanent atrial fibrillation. *Heart*. 2012;98:297-302.
267. Dretzke J, Toff WD, Lip GY, et al. Dual chamber versus single chamber ventricular pacemakers for sick sinus syndrome and atrioventricular block. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2004;Cd003710.
268. Vatankulu MA, Goktekin O, Kaya MG, et al. Effect of long-term resynchronization therapy on left ventricular remodeling in pacemaker patients upgraded to biventricular devices. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009;103:1280-4.
269. Kiehl EL, Makki T, Kumar R, et al. Incidence and predictors of right ventricular pacing-induced cardiomyopathy in patients with complete atrioventricular block and preserved left ventricular systolic function. *Heart Rhythm*. 2016;13:2272-8.
270. Ellenbogen KA, Hellkamp AS, Wilkoff BL, et al. Complications arising after implantation of DDD pacemakers: the MOST experience. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;92:740-1.
271. Udo EO, Zuithoff NP, van Hemel NM, et al. Incidence and predictors of short- and long-term complications in pacemaker therapy: the FOLLOWPACE study. *Heart Rhythm*. 2012;9:728-35.
272. Ellenbogen KA, Stambler BS, Orav EJ, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients intolerant to VVIR pacing. *Am J Cardiol*. 2000;86:59-63.
273. Link MS, Hellkamp AS, Estes NA, 3rd, et al. High incidence of pacemaker syndrome in patients with sinus node dysfunction treated with ventricular-based pacing in the Mode Selection Trial (MOST). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:2066-71.
274. Arbustini E, Pilotto A, Repetto A, et al. Autosomal dominant dilated cardiomyopathy with atrioventricular block: a lamin A/C defect-related disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39:981-90.
275. Anselme F, Moubarak G, Savoure A, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators in lamin A/C mutation carriers with cardiac conduction disorders. *Heart Rhythm*. 2013;10:1492-8.
276. Hasselberg NE, Edvardsen T, Petri H, et al. Risk prediction of ventricular arrhythmias and myocardial function in Lamin A/C mutation positive subjects. *Europace*. 2014;16:563-71.
277. Mahmod M, Karamitsos TD, Suttie JJ, et al. Prevalence of cardiomyopathy in asymptomatic patients with left bundle branch block referred for cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2012;28:1133-40.
278. McAnulty JH, Rahimtoola SH, Murphy E, et al. Natural history of "high-risk" bundle-branch block: final report of a prospective study. *N Engl J Med*. 1982;307:137-43.

279. Kwok CS, Rashid M, Beynon R, et al. Prolonged PR interval, first-degree heart block and adverse cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2016;102:672-80.
280. Boriani G, Gallina M, Merlini L, et al. Clinical relevance of atrial fibrillation/flutter, stroke, pacemaker implant, and heart failure in Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy: a long-term longitudinal study. *Stroke*. 2003;34:901-8.
281. Mymin D, Mathewson FA, Tate RB, et al. The natural history of primary first-degree atrioventricular heart block. *N Engl J Med*. 1986;315:1183-7.
282. Huhta JC, Maloney JD, Ritter DG, et al. Complete atrioventricular block in patients with atrioventricular discordance. *Circulation*. 1983;67:1374-7.
283. Connelly MS, Liu PP, Williams WG, et al. Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries in the adult: functional status and complications. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1996;27:1238-43.
284. Weindling SN, Saul JP, Gamble WJ, et al. Duration of complete atrioventricular block after congenital heart disease surgery. *Am J Cardiol*. 1998;82:525-7.
285. Meune C, Van Berlo JH, Anselme F, et al. Primary prevention of sudden death in patients with lamin A/C gene mutations. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354:209-10.
286. van Rijsingen IA, Arbustini E, Elliott PM, et al. Risk factors for malignant ventricular arrhythmias in lamin a/c mutation carriers a European cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59:493-500.
287. Maury P, Rollin A, Sacher F, et al. Prevalence and prognostic role of various conduction disturbances in patients with the Brugada syndrome. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;112:1384-9.
288. O'Mahony C, Coats C, Cardona M, et al. Incidence and predictors of anti-bradycardia pacing in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease. *Europace*. 2011;13:1781-8.
289. Polak PE, Zijlstra F, Roelandt JR. Indications for pacemaker implantation in the Kearns-Sayre syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 1989;10:281-2.
290. Khambatta S, Nguyen DL, Beckman TJ, et al. Kearns-Sayre syndrome: a case series of 35 adults and children. *Int J Gen Med*. 2014;7:325-32.
291. Ali H, Furlanello F, Lupo P, et al. Clinical and Electrocardiographic Features of Complete Heart Block Following Blunt Cardiac Injury: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Heart Rhythm*. 2017;
292. Chierichini A, Frassanito L, Vergari A, et al. The effect of norepinephrine versus epinephrine in irrigation fluid on the incidence of hypotensive/bradycardic events during arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with interscalene block in the sitting position. *Arthroscopy*. 2015;31:800-6.
293. Marrocco-Trischitta MM, Mazzone P, Vitale R, et al. Temporary Transvenous Pacemaker Implantation during Carotid Endarterectomy in Patients with Trifascicular Block. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2016;34:206-11.
294. Cheung CC, Martyn A, Campbell N, et al. Predictors of intraoperative hypotension and bradycardia. *Am J Med*. 2015;128:532-8.
295. Bauer AM, Smith RB, Thorell WE. Implications of carotid sinus hypersensitivity following preoperative embolization of a carotid body tumor. An indication for prophylactic intraoperative cardiac pacing. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2014;140:459-63.
296. Fritsch G, Flamm M, Hepner DL, et al. Abnormal pre-operative tests, pathologic findings of medical history, and their predictive value for perioperative complications. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2012;56:339-50.
297. Pereira ID, Grando MM, Vianna PT, et al. Retrospective analysis of risk factors and predictors of intraoperative complications in neuraxial blocks at Faculdade de Medicina de Botucatu-UNESP. *Rev Bras Anesthesiol*. 2011;61:568-81, 311-8.

298. Mitar MD, Ratner S, Lavi S. Heart block and temporary pacing during rotational atherectomy. *Can J Cardiol*. 2015;31:335-40.
299. Im SH, Han MH, Kim SH, et al. Transcutaneous temporary cardiac pacing in carotid stenting: noninvasive prevention of angioplasty-induced bradycardia and hypotension. *J Endovasc Ther*. 2008;15:110-6.
300. Bush RL, Lin PH, Bianco CC, et al. Reevaluation of temporary transvenous cardiac pacemaker usage during carotid angioplasty and stenting: a safe and valuable adjunct. *Vasc Endovascular Surg*. 2004;38:229-35.
301. Harrop JS, Sharan AD, Benitez RP, et al. Prevention of carotid angioplasty-induced bradycardia and hypotension with temporary venous pacemakers. *Neurosurgery*. 2001;49:814-20; discussion 20-2.
302. Gauss A, Hubner C, Meierhenrich R, et al. Perioperative transcutaneous pacemaker in patients with chronic bifascicular block or left bundle branch block and additional first-degree atrioventricular block. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1999;43:731-6.
303. Killeavy ES, Ferguson JJ, 3rd. The use of temporary transvenous pacing catheters during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *Tex Heart Inst J*. 1990;17:37-41.
304. Chowdhury T, Ahuja N, Schaller B. Severe Bradycardia During Neurosurgical Procedure: Depth of Anesthesia Matters and Leads to a New Surrogate Model of the Trigemino-cardiac Reflex: A Case Report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015;94:e2118.
305. Yong J, Hibbert P, Runciman WB, et al. Bradycardia as an early warning sign for cardiac arrest during routine laparoscopic surgery. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2015;27:473-8.
306. Vimala S, Arulvelan A. Sudden Bradycardia and Hypotension in Neurosurgery: Trigemino-cardiac Reflex (TCR) and More. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol*. 2016;28:175-6.
307. Mohan S, Flis DW, O'Leary MA. A Case of Trigemino-cardiac Reflex During Infrastructure Maxillectomy. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2014;140:563-4.
308. Ishii D, Satow T, Murao K, et al. Efficacy of cilostazol in prevention of bradycardia during carotid artery stenting. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2014;23:662-6.
309. Schipke JD, Cleveland S, Caspers C. Computer-assisted paranasal sinus operation induces diving bradycardia. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2013;34:353-4.
310. Haldar R, Gyanesh P, Bettaswamy G. Isolated bradycardia due to skull pin fixation: an unusual occurrence. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol*. 2013;25:206-7.
311. Seo KC, Park JS, Roh WS. Factors contributing to episodes of bradycardia hypotension during shoulder arthroscopic surgery in the sitting position after interscalene block. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2010;58:38-44.
312. Jeyabalan G, Saba S, Baril DT, et al. Bradyarrhythmias during rheolytic pharmacomechanical thrombectomy for deep vein thrombosis. *J Endovasc Ther*. 2010;17:416-22.
313. Usami K, Kamada K, Kunii N, et al. Transient asystole during surgery for posterior fossa meningioma caused by activation of the trigemino-cardiac reflex: three case reports. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. 2010;50:339-42.
314. Lubbers HT, Zweifel D, Gratz KW, et al. Classification of potential risk factors for trigemino-cardiac reflex in craniomaxillofacial surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2010;68:1317-21.
315. Christensen RE, Reynolds PI, Bukowski BK, et al. Anaesthetic management and outcomes in patients with surgically corrected D-transposition of the great arteries undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010;104:12-5.

316. Jacques F, Elkouri S, Bracco D, et al. Regional anesthesia for carotid surgery: less intraoperative hypotension and vasopressor requirement. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2009;23:324-9.
317. Hanss R, Renner J, Ilies C, et al. Does heart rate variability predict hypotension and bradycardia after induction of general anaesthesia in high risk cardiovascular patients? *Anaesthesia*. 2008;63:129-35.
318. Reddy MK, Arivazhagan A, Chandramouli BA. Intractable hypotension and bradycardia during surgical positioning in atlantoaxial dislocation. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol*. 2008;20:71.
319. Ardesch JJ, Buschman HP, van der Burgh PH, et al. Cardiac responses of vagus nerve stimulation: intraoperative bradycardia and subsequent chronic stimulation. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2007;109:849-52.
320. Jones PM, Soderman RM. Intra-operative bradycardia in a patient with Alzheimer's disease treated with two cholinesterase inhibitors. *Anaesthesia*. 2007;62:201.
321. Wijeyesundera DN, Duncan D, Nkonde-Price C, et al. Perioperative beta blockade in noncardiac surgery: a systematic review for the 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:2406-25.
322. Morris D, Mulvihill D, Lew WY. Risk of developing complete heart block during bedside pulmonary artery catheterization in patients with left bundle-branch block. *Arch Intern Med*. 1987;147:2005-10.
323. Elliott CG, Zimmerman GA, Clemmer TP. Complications of pulmonary artery catheterization in the care of critically ill patients. A prospective study. *Chest*. 1979;76:647-52.
324. Unnikrishnan D, Idris N, Varshneya N. Complete heart block during central venous catheter placement in a patient with pre-existing left bundle branch block. *Br J Anaesth*. 2003;91:747-9.
325. Mouillet G, Lellouche N, Lim P, et al. Patients without prolonged QRS after TAVI with CoreValve device do not experience high-degree atrio-ventricular block. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;81:882-7.
326. Rabinovitz E, Finkelstein A, Ben Assa E, et al. Norton scale for predicting prognosis in elderly patients undergoing trans-catheter aortic valve implantation: A historical prospective study. *J Cardiol*. 2016;67:519-25.
327. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack M, et al. Transcatheter aortic-valve implantation for aortic stenosis in patients who cannot undergo surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363:1597-607.
328. Kogan A, Sternik L, Beinart R, et al. Permanent pacemaker insertion following isolated aortic valve replacement before and after the introduction of TAVI. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2015;38:424-30.
329. Rivard L, Schram G, Asgar A, et al. Electrocardiographic and electrophysiological predictors of atrioventricular block after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *Heart Rhythm*. 2015;12:321-9.
330. Rene AG, Sastry A, Horowitz JM, et al. Recovery of atrioventricular conduction after pacemaker placement following cardiac valvular surgery. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2013;24:1383-7.
331. Steyers CM, 3rd, Khera R, Bhav P. Pacemaker Dependency after Cardiac Surgery: A Systematic Review of Current Evidence. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0140340.
332. Dawkins S, Hobson AR, Kalra PR, et al. Permanent pacemaker implantation after isolated aortic valve replacement: incidence, indications, and predictors. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2008;85:108-12.

333. Viles-Gonzalez JF, Enriquez AD, Castillo JG, et al. Incidence, predictors, and evolution of conduction disorders and atrial arrhythmias after contemporary mitral valve repair. *Cardiol J*. 2014;21:569-75.
334. Merin O, Ilan M, Oren A, et al. Permanent pacemaker implantation following cardiac surgery: indications and long-term follow-up. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2009;32:7-12.
335. Petrac D, Radic B, Birtic K, et al. Prospective evaluation of infrahisal second-degree AV block induced by atrial pacing in the presence of chronic bundle branch block and syncope. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1996;19:784-92.
336. Bougioukas I, Jebran AF, Grossmann M, et al. Is there a correlation between late re-exploration after cardiac surgery and removal of epicardial pacemaker wires? *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2017;12:3.
337. Bethea BT, Salazar JD, Grega MA, et al. Determining the utility of temporary pacing wires after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2005;79:104-7.
338. Puskas JD, Sharoni E, Williams WH, et al. Is routine use of temporary epicardial pacing wires necessary after either OPCAB or conventional CABG/CPB? *Heart Surg Forum*. 2003;6:E103-6.
339. Caspi Y, Safadi T, Ammar R, et al. The significance of bundle branch block in the immediate postoperative electrocardiograms of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1987;93:442-6.
340. Zeldis SM, Morganroth J, Horowitz LN, et al. Fascicular conduction disturbances after coronary bypass surgery. *Am J Cardiol*. 1978;41:860-4.
341. Cook DJ, Bailon JM, Douglas TT, et al. Changing incidence, type, and natural history of conduction defects after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2005;80:1732-7.
342. Tuzcu EM, Emre A, Goormastic M, et al. Incidence and prognostic significance of intraventricular conduction abnormalities after coronary bypass surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1990;16:607-10.
343. Ngaage DL, Schaff HV, Mullany CJ, et al. Does preoperative atrial fibrillation influence early and late outcomes of coronary artery bypass grafting? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2007;133:182-9.
344. Yesil M, Bayata S, Arikan E, et al. Should we revascularize before implanting a pacemaker? *Clin Cardiol*. 2008;31:498-501.
345. Satinsky JD, Collins JJ, Jr., Dalen JE. Conduction defects after cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. 1974;50:1170-4.
346. Limongelli G, Ducceschi V, D'Andrea A, et al. Risk factors for pacemaker implantation following aortic valve replacement: a single centre experience. *Heart*. 2003;89:901-4.
347. Bagur R, Manazzoni JM, Dumont É, et al. Permanent pacemaker implantation following isolated aortic valve replacement in a large cohort of elderly patients with severe aortic stenosis. *Heart*. 2011;97:1687-94.
348. Baraki H, Al Ahmad A, Jeng-Singh S, et al. Pacemaker dependency after isolated aortic valve replacement: do conductance disorders recover over time? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2013;16:476-81.
349. Greason KL, Lahr BD, Stulak JM, et al. Long-Term Mortality Effect of Early Pacemaker Implantation After Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2017;104:1259-64.
350. Berdajs D, Schurr UP, Wagner A, et al. Incidence and pathophysiology of atrioventricular block following mitral valve replacement and ring annuloplasty. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2008;34:55-61.
351. Goldstein D, Moskowitz AJ, Gelijns AC, et al. Two-Year Outcomes of Surgical Treatment of Severe Ischemic Mitral Regurgitation. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2016;374:344-53.

352. Saint LL, Damiano RJ, Jr., Cuculich PS, et al. Incremental risk of the Cox-maze IV procedure for patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing mitral valve surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;146:1072-7.
353. Gammie JS, Haddad M, Milford-Beland S, et al. Atrial fibrillation correction surgery: lessons from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Cardiac Database. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:909-14.
354. Gillinov AM, Gelijns AC, Parides MK, et al. Surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation during mitral-valve surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372:1399-409.
355. Phan K, Xie A, La Meir M, et al. Surgical ablation for treatment of atrial fibrillation in cardiac surgery: a cumulative meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Heart.* 2014;100:722-30.
356. Chikwe J, Itagaki S, Anyanwu A, et al. Impact of Concomitant Tricuspid Annuloplasty on Tricuspid Regurgitation, Right Ventricular Function, and Pulmonary Artery Hypertension After Repair of Mitral Valve Prolapse. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;65:1931-8.
357. Scully HE, Armstrong CS. Tricuspid valve replacement. Fifteen years of experience with mechanical prostheses and bioprostheses. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1995;109:1035-41.
358. Jokinen JJ, Turpeinen AK, Pitkanen O, et al. Pacemaker therapy after tricuspid valve operations: implications on mortality, morbidity, and quality of life. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;87:1806-14.
359. McCarthy PM, Bhudia SK, Rajeswaran J, et al. Tricuspid valve repair: durability and risk factors for failure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2004;127:674-85.
360. Piazza N, Onuma Y, Jesserun E, et al. Early and persistent intraventricular conduction abnormalities and requirements for pacemaking after percutaneous replacement of the aortic valve. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2008;1:310-6.
361. Roten L, Wenaweser P, Delacretaz E, et al. Incidence and predictors of atrioventricular conduction impairment after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;106:1473-80.
362. van der Boon RM, Van Mieghem NM, Theuns DA, et al. Pacemaker dependency after transcatheter aortic valve implantation with the self-expanding Medtronic CoreValve System. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;168:1269-73.
363. Siontis GC, Juni P, Pilgrim T, et al. Predictors of permanent pacemaker implantation in patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing TAVR: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;64:129-40.
364. Boerlage-Van Dijk K, Kooiman KM, Yong ZY, et al. Predictors and permanency of cardiac conduction disorders and necessity of pacing after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2014;37:1520-9.
365. Nazif TM, Dizon JM, Hahn RT, et al. Predictors and clinical outcomes of permanent pacemaker implantation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: the PARTNER (Placement of AoRtic TraNscathetER Valves) trial and registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:60-9.
366. Mouillet G, Lellouche N, Yamamoto M, et al. Outcomes following pacemaker implantation after transcatheter aortic valve implantation with CoreValve((R)) devices: Results from the FRANCE 2 Registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;86:E158-66.
367. Abramowitz Y, Kazuno Y, Chakravarty T, et al. Concomitant mitral annular calcification and severe aortic stenosis: prevalence, characteristics and outcome following transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:1194-203.
368. Franzoni I, Latib A, Maisano F, et al. Comparison of incidence and predictors of left bundle branch block after transcatheter aortic valve implantation using the CoreValve versus the Edwards valve. *Am J Cardiol.* 2013;112:554-9.
369. Urena M, Mok M, Serra V, et al. Predictive factors and long-term clinical consequences of persistent left bundle branch block following transcatheter aortic valve implantation with a balloon-expandable valve. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:1743-52.

370. Testa L, Latib A, De Marco F, et al. Clinical impact of persistent left bundle-branch block after transcatheter aortic valve implantation with CoreValve Revalving System. *Circulation*. 2013;127:1300-7.
371. Egger F, Nurnberg M, Rohla M, et al. High-degree atrioventricular block in patients with preexisting bundle branch block or bundle branch block occurring during transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2014;11:2176-82.
372. Schymik G, Tzamalīs P, Bramlage P, et al. Clinical impact of a new left bundle branch block following TAVI implantation: 1-year results of the TAVIK cohort. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2015;104:351-62.
373. Regueiro A, Abdul-Jawad Altisent O, Del Trigo M, et al. Impact of new-onset left bundle branch block and periprocedural permanent pacemaker implantation on clinical outcomes in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:e003635.
374. Mauri V, Reimann A, Stern D, et al. Predictors of Permanent Pacemaker Implantation After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement With the SAPIEN 3. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:2200-9.
375. Watanabe Y, Kozuma K, Hioki H, et al. Pre-Existing Right Bundle Branch Block Increases Risk for Death After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement With a Balloon-Expandable Valve. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9:2210-6.
376. Auffret V, Webb JG, Eltchaninoff H, et al. Clinical Impact of Baseline Right Bundle Branch Block in Patients Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:1564-74.
377. Rampat R, Khawaja MZ, Hilling-Smith R, et al. Conduction Abnormalities and Permanent Pacemaker Implantation After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Using the Repositionable LOTUS Device: The United Kingdom Experience. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017;10:1247-53.
378. Nombela-Franco L, del Trigo M, Morrison-Polo G, et al. Incidence, Causes, and Predictors of Early (≤ 30 Days) and Late Unplanned Hospital Readmissions After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015;8:1748-57.
379. Urena M, Webb JG, Eltchaninoff H, et al. Late cardiac death in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement: incidence and predictors of advanced heart failure and sudden cardiac death. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:437-48.
380. Dizon JM, Nazif TM, Hess PL, et al. Chronic pacing and adverse outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Heart*. 2015;101:1665-71.
381. Mallidi HR, Bates M. Pacemaker Use Following Heart Transplantation. *Ochsner J*. 2017;17:20-4.
382. Wellmann P, Herrmann FE, Hagl C, et al. A Single Center Study of 1,179 Heart Transplant Patients-Factors Affecting Pacemaker Implantation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2017;40:247-54.
383. Lee W, Tay A, Walker BD, et al. Accelerated graft dysfunction in heart transplant patients with persistent atrioventricular conduction block. *Europace*. 2016;18:1837-41.
384. El-Assaad I, Al-Kindi SG, Oliveira GH, et al. Pacemaker implantation in pediatric heart transplant recipients: Predictors, outcomes, and impact on survival. *Heart Rhythm*. 2015;12:1776-81.
385. Knight CS, Tallaj JA, Rayburn BK, et al. Bradycardia and syncope as a presentation of cardiac allograft rejection involving the conducting system. *Cardiovasc Pathol*. 2010;19:117-20.
386. Braith RW, Clapp L, Brown T, et al. Rate-responsive pacing improves exercise tolerance in heart transplant recipients: a pilot study. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil*. 2000;20:377-82.

387. Bacal F, Bocchi EA, Vieira ML, et al. Permanent and temporary pacemaker implantation after orthotopic heart transplantation. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2000;74:5-12.
388. Nagele H, Doring V, Kalmar P, et al. Long-term hemodynamic benefit of atrial synchronization with A2A2D or A2A2T pacing in sinus node syndrome after orthotopic heart transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 1998;17:906-12.
389. Jones DG, Mortsell DH, Rajaruthnam D, et al. Permanent pacemaker implantation early and late after heart transplantation: clinical indication, risk factors and prognostic implications. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2011;30:1257-65.
390. Cantillon DJ, Tarakji KG, Hu T, et al. Long-term outcomes and clinical predictors for pacemaker-requiring bradyarrhythmias after cardiac transplantation: analysis of the UNOS/OPTN cardiac transplant database. *Heart Rhythm.* 2010;7:1567-71.
391. Liebrechts M, Faber L, Jensen MK, et al. Outcomes of Alcohol Septal Ablation in Younger Patients With Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:1134-43.
392. Poon SS, Field M, Gupta D, et al. Surgical septal myectomy or alcohol septal ablation: which approach offers better outcomes for patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2017;24:951-61.
393. Axelsson A, Weibring K, Havndrup O, et al. Atrioventricular conduction after alcohol septal ablation for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown).* 2014;15:214-21.
394. Veselka J, Krejci J, Tomasov P, et al. Outcome of patients after alcohol septal ablation with permanent pacemaker implanted for periprocedural complete heart block. *Int J Cardiol.* 2014;171:e37-8.
395. El-Jack SS, Nasif M, Blake JW, et al. Predictors of complete heart block after alcohol septal ablation for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and the timing of pacemaker implantation. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2007;20:73-6.
396. McCann GP, Van Dockum WG, Beek AM, et al. Extent of myocardial infarction and reverse remodeling assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance in patients with and without right bundle branch block following alcohol septal ablation for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;99:563-7.
397. Faber L, Welge D, Fassbender D, et al. Percutaneous septal ablation for symptomatic hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy: managing the risk of procedure-related AV conduction disturbances. *Int J Cardiol.* 2007;119:163-7.
398. Talreja DR, Nishimura RA, Edwards WD, et al. Alcohol septal ablation versus surgical septal myectomy: comparison of effects on atrioventricular conduction tissue. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:2329-32.
399. Wang S, Luo M, Sun H, et al. A retrospective clinical study of transaortic extended septal myectomy for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in China. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2013;43:534-40.
400. Agarwal S, Tuzcu EM, Desai MY, et al. Updated meta-analysis of septal alcohol ablation versus myectomy for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:823-34.
401. Schuller JL, Zipse MM, Krantz MJ, et al. Incidence and predictors of late complete heart block after alcohol septal ablation treatment of hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2015;28:90-7.
402. Veselka J, Lawrenz T, Stellbrink C, et al. Low incidence of procedure-related major adverse cardiac events after alcohol septal ablation for symptomatic hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *Can J Cardiol.* 2013;29:1415-21.
403. Kim LK, Swaminathan RV, Looser P, et al. Hospital Volume Outcomes After Septal Myectomy and Alcohol Septal Ablation for Treatment of Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: US Nationwide Inpatient Database, 2003-2011. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2016;1:324-32.

404. Liebrechts M, Vriesendorp PA, Mahmoodi BK, et al. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Long-Term Outcomes After Septal Reduction Therapy in Patients With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2015;3:896-905.
405. Balt JC, Wijffels MC, Boersma LV, et al. Continuous rhythm monitoring for ventricular arrhythmias after alcohol septal ablation for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Heart*. 2014;100:1865-70.
406. Qin JX, Shiota T, Lever HM, et al. Conduction system abnormalities in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy following septal reduction interventions. *Am J Cardiol*. 2004;93:171-5.
407. Chang SM, Nagueh SF, Spencer WH, 3rd, et al. Complete heart block: determinants and clinical impact in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy undergoing nonsurgical septal reduction therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;42:296-300.
408. Chen AA, Palacios IF, Mela T, et al. Acute predictors of subacute complete heart block after alcohol septal ablation for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006;97:264-9.
409. Lawrenz T, Lieder F, Bartelsmeier M, et al. Predictors of complete heart block after transcatheter ablation of septal hypertrophy: results of a prospective electrophysiological investigation in 172 patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49:2356-63.
410. Wang W, Lian Z, Rowin EJ, et al. Prognostic Implications of Nonsustained Ventricular Tachycardia in High-Risk Patients With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2017;10:
411. Thavikulwat AC, Tomson TT, Knight BP, et al. Appropriate Implantable Defibrillator Therapy in Adults With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2016;27:953-60.
412. Maron BJ, Spirito P, Shen WK, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and prevention of sudden cardiac death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Jama*. 2007;298:405-12.
413. Gelatt M, Hamilton RM, McCrindle BW, et al. Arrhythmia and mortality after the Mustard procedure: a 30-year single-center experience. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;29:194-201.
414. Helbing WA, Hansen B, Ottenkamp J, et al. Long-term results of atrial correction for transposition of the great arteries. Comparison of Mustard and Senning operations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1994;108:363-72.
415. Anand N, McCrindle BW, Chiu CC, et al. Chronotropic incompetence in young patients with late postoperative atrial flutter: a case-control study. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:2069-73.
416. Diller GP, Dimopoulos K, Okonko D, et al. Heart rate response during exercise predicts survival in adults with congenital heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1250-6.
417. Janousek J, Paul T, Luhmer I, et al. Atrial baffle procedures for complete transposition of the great arteries: natural course of sinus node dysfunction and risk factors for dysrhythmias and sudden death. *Z Kardiol*. 1994;83:933-8.
418. Fishberger SB, Wernovsky G, Gentles TL, et al. Factors that influence the development of atrial flutter after the Fontan operation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1997;113:80-6.
419. Dewey RC, Capeless MA, Levy AM. Use of ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring to identify high-risk patients with congenital complete heart block. *N Engl J Med*. 1987;316:835-9.
420. Lundstrom U, Bull C, Wyse RK, et al. The natural and "unnatural" history of congenitally corrected transposition. *Am J Cardiol*. 1990;65:1222-9.
421. Graham TP, Jr., Bernard YD, Mellen BG, et al. Long-term outcome in congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries: a multi-institutional study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2000;36:255-61.

422. Khairy P, Landzberg MJ, Gatzoulis MA, et al. Transvenous pacing leads and systemic thromboemboli in patients with intracardiac shunts: a multicenter study. *Circulation*. 2006;113:2391-7.
423. DeSimone CV, Friedman PA, Noheria A, et al. Stroke or transient ischemic attack in patients with transvenous pacemaker or defibrillator and echocardiographically detected patent foramen ovale. *Circulation*. 2013;128:1433-41.
424. Kim MH, Deeb GM, Eagle KA, et al. Complete atrioventricular block after valvular heart surgery and the timing of pacemaker implantation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2001;87:649-51, a10.
425. Glikson M, Dearani JA, Hyberger LK, et al. Indications, effectiveness, and long-term dependency in permanent pacing after cardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol*. 1997;80:1309-13.
426. Edwards WD, Edwards JE. Pathology of the sinus node in d-transposition following the Mustard operation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1978;75:213-8.
427. Sanders P, Morton JB, Kistler PM, et al. Electrophysiological and electroanatomic characterization of the atria in sinus node disease: evidence of diffuse atrial remodeling. *Circulation*. 2004;109:1514-22.
428. Bolens M, Friedli B. Sinus node function and conduction system before and after surgery for secundum atrial septal defect: an electrophysiologic study. *Am J Cardiol*. 1984;53:1415-20.
429. Gillette PC, el-Said GM, Sivarajan N, et al. Electrophysiological abnormalities after Mustard's operation for transposition of the great arteries. *Br Heart J*. 1974;36:186-91.
430. Garson A, Jr., Bink-Boelkens M, Hesslein PS, et al. Atrial flutter in the young: a collaborative study of 380 cases. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1985;6:871-8.
431. Albin G, Hayes DL, Holmes DR, Jr. Sinus node dysfunction in pediatric and young adult patients: treatment by implantation of a permanent pacemaker in 39 cases. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 1985;60:667-72.
432. McLeod CJ, Attenhofer Jost CH, Warnes CA, et al. Epicardial versus endocardial permanent pacing in adults with congenital heart disease. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*. 2010;28:235-43.
433. Walker F, Siu SC, Woods S, et al. Long-term outcomes of cardiac pacing in adults with congenital heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:1894-901.
434. Bink-Boelkens MT, Velvis H, van der Heide JJ, et al. Dysrhythmias after atrial surgery in children. *Am Heart J*. 1983;106:125-30.
435. Stephenson EA, Casavant D, Tuzi J, et al. Efficacy of atrial antitachycardia pacing using the Medtronic AT500 pacemaker in patients with congenital heart disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;92:871-6.
436. Rhodes LA, Walsh EP, Gamble WJ, et al. Benefits and potential risks of atrial antitachycardia pacing after repair of congenital heart disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1995;18:1005-16.
437. Ayyildiz P, Kasar T, Ozturk E, et al. Evaluation of Permanent or Transient Complete Heart Block after Open Heart Surgery for Congenital Heart Disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2016;39:160-5.
438. Aziz PF, Serwer GA, Bradley DJ, et al. Pattern of recovery for transient complete heart block after open heart surgery for congenital heart disease: duration alone predicts risk of late complete heart block. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2013;34:999-1005.
439. Lin A, Mahle WT, Frias PA, et al. Early and delayed atrioventricular conduction block after routine surgery for congenital heart disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2010;140:158-60.

440. Domenighetti G, Perret C. Intraventricular conduction disturbances in acute myocardial infarction: short- and long-term prognosis. *Eur J Cardiol.* 1980;11:51-9.
441. Col JJ, Weinberg SL. The incidence and mortality of intraventricular conduction defects in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1972;29:344-50.
442. Ritter WS, Atkins JM, Blomqvist CG, et al. Permanent pacing in patients with transient trifascicular block during acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1976;38:205-8.
443. Lamas GA, Muller JE, Turi ZG, et al. A simplified method to predict occurrence of complete heart block during acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1986;57:1213-9.
444. Shaw DB, Holman RR, Gowers JI. Survival in sinoatrial disorder (sick-sinus syndrome). *Br Med J.* 1980;280:139-41.
445. Hindman MC, Wagner GS, JaRo M, et al. The clinical significance of bundle branch block complicating acute myocardial infarction. 2. Indications for temporary and permanent pacemaker insertion. *Circulation.* 1978;58:689-99.
446. Hindman MC, Wagner GS, JaRo M, et al. The clinical significance of bundle branch block complicating acute myocardial infarction. 1. Clinical characteristics, hospital mortality, and one-year follow-up. *Circulation.* 1978;58:679-88.
447. Meine TJ, Al-Khatib SM, Alexander JH, et al. Incidence, predictors, and outcomes of high-degree atrioventricular block complicating acute myocardial infarction treated with thrombolytic therapy. *Am Heart J.* 2005;149:670-4.
448. Gang UJ, Hvelplund A, Pedersen S, et al. High-degree atrioventricular block complicating ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in the era of primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Europace.* 2012;14:1639-45.
449. Auffret V, Loirat A, Leurent G, et al. High-degree atrioventricular block complicating ST segment elevation myocardial infarction in the contemporary era. *Heart.* 2016;102:40-9.
450. Kim HL, Kim SH, Seo JB, et al. Influence of second- and third-degree heart block on 30-day outcome following acute myocardial infarction in the drug-eluting stent era. *Am J Cardiol.* 2014;114:1658-62.
451. Ranganathan N, Dhurandhar R, Phillips JH, et al. His Bundle electrogram in bundle-branch block. *Circulation.* 1972;45:282-94.
452. Rotman M, Wagner GS, Wallace AG. Bradyarrhythmias in acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 1972;45:703-22.
453. Bestawros M, Darbar D, Arain A, et al. Ictal asystole and ictal syncope: insights into clinical management. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2015;8:159-64.
454. Lanz M, Oehl B, Brandt A, et al. Seizure induced cardiac asystole in epilepsy patients undergoing long term video-EEG monitoring. *Seizure.* 2011;20:167-72.
455. Schuele SU, Bermeo AC, Alexopoulos AV, et al. Video-electrographic and clinical features in patients with ictal asystole. *Neurology.* 2007;69:434-41.
456. Tenyi D, Gyimesi C, Kupo P, et al. Ictal asystole: A systematic review. *Epilepsia.* 2017;58:356-62.
457. Ogunbayo GO, Charnigo R, Darrat Y, et al. Incidence, predictors, and outcomes associated with pneumothorax during cardiac electronic device implantation: A 16-year review in over 3.7 million patients. *Heart Rhythm.* 2017;14:1764-70.
458. Sochala M, Wahbi K, Sorbets E, et al. Risk for Complications after Pacemaker or Cardioverter Defibrillator Implantations in Patients with Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1. *J Neuromuscul Dis.* 2017;4:175-81.

459. Bai Y, Duan J, Wang L, et al. Clinical analysis of the effect of anti-allergy treatment on pocket-related complications following pacemaker implantation. *Exp Ther Med*. 2017;13:2876-82.
460. Hosseini SM, Moazzami K, Rozen G, et al. Utilization and in-hospital complications of cardiac resynchronization therapy: trends in the United States from 2003 to 2013. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:2122-8.
461. Gupta N, Kiley ML, Anthony F, et al. Multi-Center, Community-Based Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices Registry: Population, Device Utilization, and Outcomes. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5:e002798.
462. Friedman DJ, Singh JP, Curtis JP, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of CRT-D Versus Defibrillator Alone in HF Patients With Moderate-to-Severe Chronic Kidney Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66:2618-29.
463. Witt CT, Kronborg MB, Nohr EA, et al. Adding the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator to cardiac resynchronization therapy is associated with improved long-term survival in ischaemic, but not in non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. *Europace*. 2016;18:413-9.
464. Gadler F, Valzania C, Linde C. Current use of implantable electrical devices in Sweden: data from the Swedish pacemaker and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator registry. *Europace*. 2015;17:69-77.
465. Essebag V, Joza J, Birnie DH, et al. Incidence, predictors, and procedural results of upgrade to resynchronization therapy: the RAFT upgrade substudy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2015;8:152-8.
466. Chung MK, Holcomb RG, Mittal S, et al. REPLACE DARE (Death After Replacement Evaluation) score: determinants of all-cause mortality after implantable device replacement or upgrade from the REPLACE registry. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2014;7:1048-56.
467. Adelstein E, Schwartzman D, Bazaz R, et al. Outcomes in pacemaker-dependent patients upgraded from conventional pacemakers to cardiac resynchronization therapy-defibrillators. *Heart Rhythm*. 2014;11:1008-14.
468. Kirkfeldt RE, Johansen JB, Nohr EA, et al. Complications after cardiac implantable electronic device implantations: an analysis of a complete, nationwide cohort in Denmark. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1186-94.
469. Acosta J, Fernandez-Armenta J, Borrás R, et al. Scar Characterization to Predict Life-Threatening Arrhythmic Events and Sudden Cardiac Death in Patients With Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: The GAUDI-CRT Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;
470. Martens P, Verbrugge FH, Nijst P, et al. Incremental benefit of cardiac resynchronisation therapy with versus without a defibrillator. *Heart*. 2017;103:1977-84.
471. Yokoshiki H, Shimizu A, Mitsuhashi T, et al. Survival and Heart Failure Hospitalization in Patients With Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy With or Without a Defibrillator for Primary Prevention in Japan- Analysis of the Japan Cardiac Device Treatment Registry Database. *Circ J*. 2017;81:1798-806.
472. Ip JE, Wu MS, Kennel PJ, et al. Eligibility of Pacemaker Patients for Subcutaneous Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2017;28:544-8.
473. Maisel WH, Moynahan M, Zuckerman BD, et al. Pacemaker and ICD generator malfunctions: analysis of Food and Drug Administration annual reports. *Jama*. 2006;295:1901-6.
474. Maisel WH. Pacemaker and ICD generator reliability: meta-analysis of device registries. *Jama*. 2006;295:1929-34.
475. Benhayon D, Lugo R, Patel R, et al. Long-term arrhythmia follow-up of patients with myotonic dystrophy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2015;26:305-10.
476. Faber TS, Gradingier R, Treusch S, et al. Incidence of ventricular tachyarrhythmias during permanent pacemaker therapy in low-risk patients results from the German multicentre EVENTS study. *Eur Heart J*. 2007;28:2238-42.